

Darlene R. Miles, Individually
P.O. Box 218335
Columbus, Ohio 43221
Phone: 216.469.4327
Email: drmiles01@aol.com

FILED
RICHARD W. NAGEL
CLERK OF COURT

2015 MAR 26 PM 3:04

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Darlene R. Miles, Individually and Pro Se
PLAINTIFF
And On behalf of The People of The United States of America

2:15 CV 1082

Judge Graham

MAGISTRATE JUDGE DEEVERS

Contents

A. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	7
B. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION	8
FEDERAL STATUTES AND ADDITIONAL VIOLATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS COMPLIANT	9
C. NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS	11
D. PARTIES TO THIS ACTION	12
E. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF CLAIM	16
F. FACTS PERTAINING TO THIS CLAIM	21
G. FURTHER FACTS PERTAINING TO THE CASE/DEFENDANTS	23
H. ADDITIONAL FACTS PERTAINING TO THE COMPLIANT	27
IRS Agent Fraud and Targeting of the Plaintiff for Financial Distress	29
J. Defense Directive 5240.1-r – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation	39
for Intelligence Purposes (on all U.S. Persons and others)	39
K. GOVERNMENT SURPLUS WEAPONRY HANDED TO LOCAL POLICE	43
L. ADDITIONAL FACTS PERTAINING TO THE COMPLIANT	50
M. The Plaintiffs, physical attacks, physical damages and symptoms include, but are not limited to the following:	51
N. Examples of documented research supporting the Plaintiff Compliant:	52

M. THE FBI HAS IMPROPERLY USED NATIONAL SECURITY LETTERS IN THE PAST, THAT INCLUDES HOMELAND SECURITY FUSION CENTERS	56
N. FACTS PERTAINING TO THE CASE AND THE 'Criminal Enterprise' Network.....	62
O. LAW AND ANALYSIS (AND IMPLANTATION OF FOREIGN DEVICES).....	80
Q. Plaintiff incorporates the covert and overt acts of fraud in this matter AS WELL:	88
340. IN SUMMARY.....	96
COUNT I.....	99
COUNT II.....	101
COUNT III.....	103
COUNT IV.....	104
COUNT V.....	104
COUNT VI.....	104
COUNT VII.....	105
COUNT VIII.....	105
COUNT IX.....	106
COUNT X.....	107
COUNT XI.....	107
COUNT XII.....	108
COUNT XIII.....	108
COUNT XIV.....	110
Participation in the enterprise.....	114
COUNT XV.....	120
ASSAULT AND MEDICAL BATTERY	120
CAUSE OF ACTIONS	121
EXHIBITS.....	127
EXHIBIT A. Series the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles photos showing physical health damages and police harassment.....	129

EXHIBIT – B.; Second letter to Congress and Senator Dick Durbin who was conducting Human and Civil Rights Hearings. A letter of ‘Collaborated Victims of Covert War Weaponry Crimes as proof of Treason’ by government funded agencies, corporations, researchers, and others as ‘Criminal Enterprise’ crimes.	145
EXHIBIT B1 – Original version of the ‘Collaborated Victims of Covert War Weaponry Crimes letter to Congress’ as proof of Treason by government funded agencies, corporations, researchers, and others as ‘Criminal Enterprise’ crimes.	154
EXHIBIT C.; UAV/UAS Nano Sensor types and details. GPS nano sensors to track the human body, IR Infrared Sensors to light the human body, Chemical and Biological to inject bodies and living things with chemicals and biological chemicals remotely and without consent.	161
EXHIBIT D.; (DOD ORG CHART) Department of Defense organization chart linking all divisions of the military, the Secretary of Defense, the Inspector General, Joint Chiefs over each military agency, field agencies, Defense Agencies and Combatant Commands including Special Operations amongst others	170
EXHIBIT – E (DOJ ORG CHART) with structure where no citizen could even obtain protection for the very same structure that has created a directive (DOD Directive 5240.1-r – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation on all U.S. Person for Intelligence Purposes);	171
EXHIBIT F.; Examples of U.S. Patents and Senate Appropriations Budgets Approved that included testing and evaluation within, and across the United States of America.	172
43. U.S. Patents Perfected by Government Agencies	172
EXHIBIT G.; One example of an outrageous Senate Appropriations Authorization for Brain Experimentation,..	174
EXHIBIT H.; – The below symptoms highlight a fraction of the vast array of Nano technologies, Nano Sensors and Neuro-Electromagnetic (Microwave, Radiation, Chemical and other devices as well)	175
EXHIBIT I: ‘Letter of Intent to Sue’ the IRS, State of Ohio Department of Taxation and Others regarding Tax Fraud and Targeting of the Plaintiff into Financial Distress	177
2. NSA'S DOMESTIC ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE NETWORK	190
3. NSA SIGNALS INTELLIGENCE USE OF EMF BRAIN STIMULATION	191
4. NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY SIGNALS INTELLIGENCE ELECTRONIC BRAIN LINK TECHNOLOGY	193
6. NSA TECHNIQUES AND RESOURCES REMOTE MONITORING AND TRACKING OF INDIVIDUALS	195
PRAYER FOR RELIEF	198
DESIGNATION OF TRIAL AND JURY DEMAND	200

RESOURCES	201
CASE REFERENCES	203
Defendants Addresses:	204
U.S. Senator Barbara A. Mikulski, (D-Md.), Chairwoman	209
Congressional Committee Members on Senate Appropriations-(and unnamed co-conspirators),	209

Darlene R. Miles, Individually
P.O. Box 218335
Columbus, Ohio 43221
Phone: 216.469.4327
Email: drmiles01@aol.com

FILED
RICHARD W. NAGEL
CLERK OF COURT

2015 MAR 26 PM 3:09

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Darlene R. Miles, Individually and Pro Se

PLAINTIFF

**And On behalf of The People of
The United States of America**

vs.

) CASE NO: **2:15 CV 1082**

) CASE ASSIGNED TO:
) JUDGE: **Judge Graham**

) DEPT: **MAGISTRATE JUDGE DEEVERS**

) **COMPLIANT**

The United States of America –
President Barack H. Obama; and,

United States Department of Defense –
Robert O. Work, Secretary of Defense; and,

United States Department of Justice –
United States Attorney General
Eric H. Holder, Jr.

Central Intelligence Agency –
John O. Brennan, Director; and,

United States Department of Homeland
Security –
The Honorable Jeh Johnson, Secretary; and,

National Security Agency (NSA) –
Keith B. Alexander, Director; and,

Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al. –
Robert S. Mueller III; and,

Health and Human Services (HHS) –

Sylvia Mathews Burwell, Director; (and)
unnamed co-conspirators); and,)
)
(IRS) Internal Revenue Service –)
Commissioner, Internal Revenue)
John Koskinen; (and unnamed)
co-conspirators); and,)
)
)
U.S. Senator Barbara A. Mikulski, (D-Md.),)
Chairwoman - Congressional Committee)
Member on Senate Appropriations-)
(and unnamed co-conspirators); and)
)
Department of DOD/DOJ)
Homeland Security Northeast)
Ohio Regional Fusion Center)
Bill Schenkelberg, Director; (and)
unnamed co-conspirators); and,)
)
Colonel Marcus Brown –)
Acting Homeland Security Advisor)
Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center)
– (and unnamed conspirators); and)
Ohio Strategic Analysis and Information)
Center (and unnamed co-conspirators);)
and,)
)
Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine)
(and unnamed co-conspirators; and,)
)
Lisa Iannotta,)
Chief Collection Enforcement for)
Ohio Attorney General)
Andrew Littlefield, Executive Assistant)
(and unnamed co-conspirators); and,)
)
The Ohio Department of Taxation)
Joseph W. Testa, Tax Commissioner)
(and unnamed co-conspirators))

and,)
)
 City of Cleveland Heights Ohio –)
 Jim Juliano, Law Director,)
 Police, Detective Bureau and Fire)
 Departments (and unnamed co-conspirators); and,)
)
 City of Youngstown Ohio Police and Fire –)
 Martin Hume, Law Director)
 (and unnamed co-conspirators); and,)
)
 City of Campbell Ohio Police)
 Law Director, Brian J. Macala)
 (and unnamed co-conspirators); and,)
)
 City of Columbus Police and Fire)
 Richard C. Pfeiffer, Jr.)
 Columbus City Attorney)
 Police and Fire Department –)
 (and unnamed co-conspirators); and,)
)
 (UH) University Hospitals – Information)
 Technology Management Center)
 Nathan Hunt, Director)
 Shaker Heights Ohio (and unnamed co-conspirators;)
 and,)
)
 Grace Vaughn Evans –)
 (and unnamed co-conspirators); and,)
)
 And unnamed defendants 1 to 100,000 plus,)
 who participated as unnamed co-conspirators)

DEFENDENTS

A. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1. VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF CIVIL RIGHTS; AND
2. VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF HUMAN RIGHTS; AND
3. VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF CIVIL LIBERTIES; AND
4. UNAUTHORIZED NON-CONSENSUAL HUMAN EXPERIMENTATION; AND

5. CIVIL CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE PLAINTIFF CIVIL RIGHTS; AND
6. CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE PLAINTIFF HUMAN RIGHTS; AND
7. CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE PLAINTIFF CIVIL LIBERTIES; AND
8. FORCED DRUGGING; AND
9. IDENTITY THEFT; AND
10. AGGREGATED ASSAULT AND BATTERY; AND
11. MUTILATION; AND
12. POISONING CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL; AND
13. INTENTIONAL AND PURPOSEFUL INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS; AND
14. CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY WITH NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS; AND
15. HUMAN TORTURE; AND
16. TERRORISM (ALLOWING DOMESTIC AGENCIES, CORPORATIONS AND GROUPS TO FLOURISH AS TERROR IS DECLARED UPON MULTIPLE CITIZENS COVERTLY; AND
17. WAR CRIMES WEAPONRY VIOLATIONS; AND
18. VIOLATIONS UNDER THE FORIEGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE ACT; AND
19. VIOLATIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF by other persons in government funded entities, government funded corporations, government funded business, government funded groups, government funded individuals, government funded entities, with agendas of federal obstruction, corruption and more, per USC Title 18, Section 1512 that includes the PLAINTIFF REAL, PERSONAL AND TANGIBLE PROPERTIES to also include the PLAINTIFF MAIL, CAREER, BANK ACCOUNT, AND HEALTH AMONGST OTHER VIOLATIONS
20. VIOLATIONS UNDER 'RICO ACT' Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act

B. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

21. This court has jurisdiction over the PLAINTIFF complaint because it arises under the laws of the United States of America. The PLAINTIFF case belongs in federal court under diversity jurisdiction because the Plaintiff does not live in the same state as some of the defendants AND the amount of damages are more than \$75,000.

42 U.S.C. SECTION 1985 – CONSPIRACY TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE AND INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS

Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 1985, 1986, 1987 CIVIL RIGHTS AND WHISTLEBLOWER CASE LAWS

28 U.S.C. § 1343(3) A CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT ALLEGING THE DEFENDANT(S) ACTING UNDER THE COLOR OF STATE LAW, DEPRIVED THE PLAINTIFF OF RIGHTS SECURED BY FEDERAL LAW OR THE CONSTITUTION

28 U.S.C. § 1331 DISTRICT COURT SHALL HAVE ORIGINAL JURISDICTION OF A LAWSUIT ARISING UNDER THE CONSTITUTION, LAWS, OR TREATIES OF THE UNITED STATES

28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) A LAWSUIT BETWEEN CITIZENS OF DIFFERENT STATES WHERE THE MATTER IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS \$75,000

28 U.S.C. § 1367 – SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION

28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) JUDICIAL DISTRICT AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 in that this action arises under “the Constitution, laws, and or treaties of the United States. This Court also has jurisdiction over state claims in that the additional claims for relief “are so related to claims in the action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article II, THE PLAINTIFF and of the United States Constitution.” 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and founded on (1) Plaintiff’s information and belief that some or all off the defendants reside in this judicial district; (2) “a substantial part of the events, or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred” in this judicial district; and (3) “a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated” in this judicial district.

FEDERAL STATUTES AND ADDITIONAL VIOLATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS COMPLIANT

22. Additional Federal Statutes, State Statutes/Laws and Violations including; U.S. Constitutional Violations, Civil Rights Violations, Civil Liberties Violations, and Human Rights Laws/Violations, amongst others that apply to this PLAINTIFF complaint

Federal Statutes

18 U.S.C. 1961 – All Definitions.

18 U.S.C. 1962 -- Prohibited racketeering activities.

18 U.S.C. 1963 -- Criminal penalties.

18 U.S.C. 1964 -- Civil remedies.

18 U.S.C. 1965 -- Venue and process.

18 U.S.C. 1966 -- Expedition of actions.

18 U.S.C. 1967 -- Evidence.

18 U.S.C. 1968 -- Civil investigative demand.

Titles 18 U.S.C § 1961(1)(a)(b)(c) RACKETEERING INFLUENCE CORRUPTION ORGANIZATIONS

Titles 18 U.S.C § 1962(a)(b)(c)(d) RACKETEERING INFLUENCE CORRUPTION ORGANIZATIONS

Titles 18 U.S.C § 1964(a) RACKETEERING INFLUENCE CORRUPTION ORGANIZATIONS

Titles 18 U.S.C § 1951(a) RACKETEERING INFLUENCE CORRUPTION ORGANIZATIONS

Title 18 U.S.C. § 241 CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS OF SOVEREIGN, FREE, GOD CREATED, SPIRIT AND SOUL BEINGS

Title 18 U.S.C. § 242 DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW

Title 18 U.S.C. § 247 DAMAGE TO RELIGIOUS PROPERTY; OBSTRUCTION OF PERSONS IN THE FREE EXERCISE TO RELIGIOUS BELIEFS

Title 18 U.S.C. § 226 (1a) INTERSTATE STALKING

Title 18 U.S.C. § 249 HATE CRIMES ACT

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1927 THROUGH 18 USC 1968 (RICO RACKETEERING, INFLUENCE, CORRUPTION, ORGANIZATION ACT

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1901, 1905, 1911, 1952, 1956, 1957, 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, 1964 (RICO) CIVIL RICO – CONTINUOUS CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE ACT (CCE)

Title 18 U.S.C. § 25 IMPARTING OR CONVEYING FALSE INFORMATION, SCIENTIFIC OBSTRUCTIONS, OBSTRUCTIVE MEDICAL RESEARCH, DECEPTIVE PRACTICES, GHOST WRITING (the use of any false writings), FRAUD, MALFEASANCE, PREMEDITATED and preplanned crimes, INFLICTED TORTURE, AND CRIMINAL FRAUD

Title 18 U.S.C. § SEC 1509 IMPEDING DUE EXERCISE OF RIGHTS BY ATTEMPTING TO PREVENT, OBSTRUCT, IMPEDE, AND INTERFERE WITH SAME

Title 18 U.S.C. § SEC 1510 OBSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS

Title 18 U.S.C. § SEC 1511 OBSTRUCTION OF STATE OR LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT

Title 18 U.S.C. § SEC 1511 TAMPERING WITH A WITNESS, VICTIM, OR AN INFORMANT

Title 18 U.S.C. § SEC 1513 RETALIATION AGAINST A WITNESS / VICTIM

Title 18 U.S.C. § 2381 TREASON; relating to the U.S Constitution – Article 3 Section 3 committed against the Plaintiff and the United States of America; the levying of War against them, and or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort, a person or persons can be convicted of Treason on the **Testimony of TWO witnesses to the same overt Act**, or on Confession in OPEN COURT. The combined statements and attached Letter (EXHIBIT II), and sent to Congress, ON BEHALF OF MULTIPLE VICTIMS OF COVERT TORTURE AND NON-CONSENSUAL EXPERIMENTATION, and Request for a Congressional Investigation and Hearing into the Torture of Citizens with and by War Technologies warrants the title of 'Treason' AND AN ADDITIONAL VIOLATION against the Plaintiff and others named.

Crimes Against Humanity – Nuremburg Code (as stated on the National Institute of Health web site - <http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/nuremberg.html>) The voluntary consent of the human subject is essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonable to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.....

Crimes against humanity, as defined by the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court Explanatory Memorandum, "are particularly odious offenses in that they constitute a serious attack on human dignity or **grave humiliation or a degradation of one or more human beings**. They are not isolated or sporadic events, but are part either of a government policy (although the perpetrators need not identify themselves with this policy) or of a wide practice of atrocities tolerated or condoned by a government or a de facto authority. Murder; extermination; torture; rape; political, racial, or religious persecution and other inhumane acts reach the threshold of crimes against humanity only if they are part of a widespread or systematic practice. Isolated inhumane acts of this nature may constitute grave infringements of human rights, or depending on the circumstances, war crimes, but may fall short of falling into the category of crimes under discussion. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crimes_against_humanity

C. NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

23. This case belongs in Federal Court under federal question jurisdiction because it is about Federal law(s) and Federal right(s), and Crimes Against the Plaintiff, and Crimes against Humanity which have **NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS**; Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity agree to not allow limitations claims for these crimes. Article 29 of the Rome Statute of the

International Criminal Court states genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes "shall not be subject to any statute of limitations".

D. PARTIES TO THIS ACTION

- 24. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles**, is an individual representing herself *pro se* with residence located at P.O. Box 218335, Columbus Ohio 43221. Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles files this **COMPLAINT** on behalf of herself and is hereinafter referred to same, Darlene R. Miles, as 'I', as 'we', as 'herself', as 'her person', as 'her person', as 'civilian', as 'United States or U.S. citizen(s)', and as 'Plaintiff'.
- 25. Defendant, President Barack Obama** is the current President of The United States of America with a residence of The Whitehouse, West Wing – 2nd Floor, 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington D.C. 20500. President Barack Obama may be served by certified mail at his business residence and place of business, which is known as 'The Whitehouse' West Wing – 2nd Floors, located at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington D.C. President Barack Obama is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'President Barack Obama,' or 'the government'.
- 26. Defendant, the United States Department of Defense, et al**, with a primary address and place of business of 1400 Defense Pentagon, Washington D.C. 20301. Ash Carter, Secretary of Defense may be served by certified mail at his principle place of business located at 1400 Defense Pentagon, Washington D.C., 20301-1400. Defendant, the United States Department of Defense', is hereinafter referred to as the same, DOD, 'United States Department of Defense', or 'the federal government' or 'the government' or Criminal Enterprise.
- 27. Defendant, the United States Department of Justice, et al.**, is a government entity with principle offices located at 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20530. The United States Department of Justice may be served through its **United States Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr.**; also available at the stated and principle place of business; located at 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20530. Defendant, the United States Department of Justice, is hereinafter referred to as the same, DOJ, 'United States Department of Justice', or U.S. Attorney General, or, 'the federal government' or 'the government' or Criminal Enterprise .
- 28. Defendant, the Central Intelligence Agency, et al.**, is a government entity with principle offices located at the Office of Public Affairs, Washington, D.C. 20505. The Central Intelligence Agency may be served through its Director, John O. Brennan also available at the stated and principle place of business; located at the Office of Public Affairs, Washington, D.C. 20505. Defendant, the Central Intelligence Agency is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'Central Intelligence Agency', 'CIA', or 'the federal government' or 'the government' or Criminal Enterprise.
- 29. Defendant, the National Security Agency (NSA), et al.**, is a government entity with principle offices located at 9800 Savage Road, Suite 6711, Fort Meade, MD., 20755-6755 and may be served through its Director, Keith B. Alexander also located with principle offices at the location of The National Security Agency 9800 Savage Road, Suite 6711, Fort Meade, MD. 20755-6755. The National Security Agency hereinafter is referred to as the same, 'National Security Agency', 'NSA', or the 'federal government', or 'the government', or Criminal Enterprise .
- 30. Defendant, Senator Barbara Mikulski**, Vice Chairwoman for Senate Appropriations since 1987. Senator Barbara Mikulski can be reached at Committee on Appropriations – Room S128, he Capitol, Washington, DC 20510 – Telephone 202-224-7257. Senator Mikulski is responsible

as Co Chairperson for the Budget Appropriations and can be served at Committee on Appropriations – Room S128, The Capitol, Washington, DC 20510. Senator Mikulski was also sent the Congressional Request for Hearings and Investigation into the Torture and reports of attached letter submitted by victims of torture by government funded technologies but the victims did not receive any response from their reports of torture by U.S. funded war weaponry.

- 31. Defendant, IRS (Internal Revenue Service)** is an entity governed by the Department of Defense under the Department of Justice .The Department of Defense is a government entity and funded by the United States government and is responsible for the enforcement of U.S. tax rules, regulations and laws. The IRS Internal Revenue Service is located at 1111 Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20224. The IRS hereinafter is referred to as the same, the Internal Revenue Service, IRS, IRS Agents, and or Agents, or the federal government, or the government, or Criminal Enterprise .
- 32. The Ohio Department of Taxation** is a State taxation entity with governing collections activity directly tied to the DOJ (Department of Justice), connecting with the DOJ State of Ohio Attorney General's office of Collections. The Ohio Department of Taxation is located at 4485 Northland Ridge Blvd., Columbus, Ohio 43229. The Ohio Department of Taxation is hereinafter is referred to as the same, the Ohio Department of Taxation, Tax Agents, or government, or Criminal Enterprise.
- 33. Ohio Attorney General for Chief of Tax Collections Lisa Iannotta, Chief Collection Enforcement** is a government funded entity under the DOD/DOJ and responsible for the collections of unpaid State taxes within the State of Ohio Department of Taxation, and move forward with collection activity including court filings and tax liens without proof that the tax payer owes any taxes. The Ohio Attorney General for Tax Collections is located at 150 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. The Ohio Attorney General for Tax Collection is hereinafter is referred to as the same, the Attorney General, and or its Tax Collections Agents, Tax Collections Attorneys, or the federal government, or government, or Criminal Enterprise.
- 34. Office of the Ohio Attorney General – Mike DeWine** is a government funded entity under the jurisdiction of the DOD/DOJ and is responsible for the collections and case filings for assumed unpaid taxes within the State of Ohio for the Department of Taxation and also requested and successfully filed a tax lien and was granted a Certificate of Judgment/Lien against the Plaintiff all in the same day without the knowledge of the Plaintiff owing any debt. The office of The Attorney General is located and can be served at 30 E. Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Phone number 614-466-4320 and Case#14 JG008245 granted on 03/06/2014 and found by the Plaintiff in July 2014 when the Plaintiff received a collection call from a Michael Igoe, Attorney collecting for the Ohio Attorney Generals office of Collections. The Ohio Office of Attorney General (Broad Street location) is hereinafter is referred to as the same, the Attorney General, and or its Tax Collections Agents, Tax Collections Attorneys, or the federal government, or government, or Criminal Enterprise.
- 35. Defendant, the United States DOJ Department of Homeland Security/Fusion Centers, et al.,** is a government entity with principle offices located at the United States Department Justice - Homeland Security, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C., 20528; with agency departments as, the U.S. Coast Guard, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the U.S. Secret Service, Transportation Security Administration (TSA), and Fusion Centers. The

United States Department of Homeland Security and its agencies may be served by certified mail through its Secretary, The Honorable Jeh Johnson, also available at the stated and principle place of business, located at the United States Department of Justice - Homeland Security, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C. 20528. Defendant and its unnamed co-conspirators, the United States Department of Homeland Security, its agencies; U.S. Coast Guard, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the U.S. Secret Service, Transportation Security Administration (TSA), and Fusion Centers are hereinafter referred to as the same; 'U.S. Coast Guard', the 'Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)', the 'U.S. Secret Service', 'Transportation Security Administration (TSA)', and 'Fusion Centers', or 'the federal government' or 'the government', or Criminal Enterprise and a number of covert unnamed co-conspirators and agencies military and non-military groups and individuals.

Defendant, the Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion Center, et al., is a government-funded entity that supports the Department of Defense and Department of Justice Homeland Security, the FBI, the military, Special Forces amongst others. The Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion Center has a principle location located at the Department of Justice Affairs, 1276 West 3rd Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44113 and can be served at that principle location. The Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion Center, et al., is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion Center', or 'Fusion center', or 'Homeland Security Fusion center', or 'the federal government', or 'the government' or Criminal Enterprise and a number of covert unnamed co-conspirators and agencies military and non-military groups and individuals..

Defendant, Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center (Fusion Center), et al., is a government funded entity that supports the Department of Defense and Department of Justice Homeland Security, the FBI/Infraguard, the military, special forces, government contractors, local police and other entities with a principle location located at 1800 Elmerton Ave., Harrisburg, PA. 17110 and can be served at that principle location. The Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center, et al., is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center', 'Homeland Security Fusion center', 'Fusion center', or 'the federal government', or 'the government' or Criminal Enterprise and a number of covert unnamed co-conspirators and agencies military and non-military groups and individuals..

Defendant, Ohio Strategic Analysis and Information (Fusion Center)Center, et al., located at 1970 Broad Street, Columbus Ohio, with principle location at 2855 West Dublin Grandville Road, Columbus, Ohio 43235 is a government funded agency and can be served at its principle location of 2855 West Dublin Grandville Road., Columbus Ohio 43225 and hereinafter referred to as the same, 'Ohio Strategic Analysis and Information Center, et al., or 'Homeland Security Fusion Center', or 'Fusion center' or 'the federal government', or 'the government' or Criminal Enterprise and a number of covert unnamed co-conspirators and agencies military and non-military groups and individuals..

Defendant, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al., is a government entity with principle offices located at 935 Pennsylvania Avenue Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20535. The Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al. may be served through its Director, Robert S. Mueller III, also available at the stated and principle place of business; located at 935 Pennsylvania Avenue Northwest, Washington, D. C. 20535. The Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al., is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'The Federal Bureau of **Investigation**', or 'FBI' or 'the federal government' or 'the government' or Criminal Enterprise and a number of covert unnamed co-conspirators and agencies military and non-military groups and individuals..

36. **Defendant, City of Cleveland Heights Ohio / Law Department; Prosecutors Office, Kim T. Segebarth city Prosecutor, et al.**, is the city prosecutor for the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio, with a principle office location at 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights Ohio 44118. The city

prosecutor's office can be served at its principle location of 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights Ohio 44118. The city of Cleveland Heights Ohio Prosecutors Office is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'Cleveland Heights Ohio Prosecutors Office' or 'City of Cleveland Heights city employees', or 'city prosecutor' or 'prosecutor' and Criminal Enterprise .

Defendant, City of Cleveland Heights Police Department, et al., is a local police department for the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio with principle offices located at 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights Ohio 44118. The Cleveland Heights Police Department, then under the 51 year leadership of **Police Chief Martin G. Lentz, and now under the leadership of 29-year veteran Police Capt. Jeff Robertson**; now as Chief of Police for the city of Cleveland Heights, can be served through its Law Director, John Gibbon, also with principle offices located at 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights Ohio 44118. The City of Cleveland Heights Police Department is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'the City of Cleveland Heights Police Department', or 'Cleveland Heights police', or 'local police' or 'police' or 'Cleveland Heights city employees', or 'government funded entity' and Criminal Enterprise .

Defendant, City of Cleveland Heights Detective Bureau, et al., is the city detective division for the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio with principle offices located at 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44118. The city Cleveland Heights Detective Bureau can be served at its principle location of 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights Ohio 44118. The City of Cleveland Heights Detective Bureau, et al., is hereinafter referred to as the same 'the Cleveland Heights Detective Bureau', or 'Cleveland Heights Detective' or 'detective' or 'Cleveland Heights city employees' or 'government funded entity', and Criminal Enterprise.

Defendant, City of Cleveland Heights Fire Department, et al., with principle offices located at 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44118. The city Cleveland Heights Fire Department can be served at its principle location of 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights Ohio 44118. The City of Cleveland Heights Fire Department, et al., is hereinafter referred to as the same 'the City of Cleveland Heights Fire Department' or 'Cleveland Heights city employees' or 'government funded entity' and Criminal Enterprise .

37. Defendant, City of Youngstown Police Department, et al., is the police department for the city of Youngstown Ohio with principle location at 116 West Boardman, Youngstown Ohio, 44505. The Youngstown Ohio Police can be served through its Law Office located at the Youngstown City Hall located at 26 Phelps Street, Youngstown, Ohio 44503. The city of Youngstown Police Department is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'the Youngstown Police Department', the police', or 'local police' or 'police' and or 'government funded entity' and or 'Criminal Enterprise'.

38. Defendant, City of Campbell Ohio Police Department, et al., is the local police department for the city of Campbell, Ohio with a principle location located at 351 Tenney Avenue, Campbell, Ohio 44405. The city of Campbell Ohio Police Department is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'the Campbell Police Department', the police' or 'local police' or 'police' and or 'government funded entity' , or 'Criminal Enterprise'.

39. Defendant, City of Columbus Police Department, et al., is the local police department for the city of Columbus Ohio, with a principle location located at 120 Marconi Plaintiff Boulevard, Columbus, Ohio 43215 and can be served at that principle address. The City of Columbus Police Department is hereinafter referred to as the same, 'the City of Columbus Police Department', the police', or 'local police' or 'police', or 'government funded entity', or 'government funded entity' and or 'Criminal Enterprise' .

40. **Defendant, (UH) University Hospitals - Information Technology Management Center** is physically located at Chagrin and Warrensville Center Road in Shaker Heights Ohio and is the information technology location for the University Hospitals Medical Centers. UH Medical Center has its primary office location at 11100 Euclid Avenue – Mail Stop LKS 5013, Cleveland Ohio 44106 and can be served through its current President, Dr. Fred C. Rothstein, MD., President of UH Case Medical Center and can be served at the 11100 Euclid Avenue – Mail Stop LKS 5013, Cleveland Ohio 44106 address, and is hereinafter referred to as the same ‘University Hospitals’, ‘UH’, or ‘UH IT’, or University Hospital Information Technology Management Center’, or ‘Contracted Employer’, or ‘employees’ ‘government’ or ‘targeting groups’ or ‘community policing groups’, or ‘community policing’ workplace mobbing, workplace sabotage, and/or ‘government funded entities’ and, or Criminal Enterprise.
41. **Defendant, Grace Vaughn Evans** is a resident of 3017 Seifert St. , Youngstown Ohio 44505 were the Plaintiff also lived. Ms. Grace Vaughn Evans previously resided at 3795 Choice Court, Youngstown, Ohio 44505. Ms. Grace Vaughn Evans can be served at her current place of residence, which is 3017 Seifert St., Youngstown, Ohio 44505 and is hereinafter referred to as the same, ‘Grace Vaughn Evans’, or ‘government funded entity’, ‘government’ or ‘targeting groups’ or ‘community policing groups’, or ‘community policing’, or ‘government funded entity’, or ‘and Criminal Enterprise.

E. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF CLAIM

42.a, **COMES NOW** the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, individually and *pro se* on behalf of herself, a human being of natural flesh and blood woman, known to God as His Servant yet known to other Men by the name of Darlene R. Miles, *suthe Plaintiff juri*; and the sole Authorized Representative for the *ens legis* known to this and all Courts as Darlene R. Miles, commencing this action in the interest of justice in an attempt to amicably settle and equitably resolve the purposeful damage, joint human targeting, human non-consensual experimentation, human torture and ongoing attacks to her person caused by the Defendants directly and indirectly by association, (by agreements, payment, rules, directives not laws, amongst others) and in violation of Plaintiffs Constitutional Rights, Human Rights, Civil Rights, Civil Liberties, Finances, Properties, Career, Duties, and Life and Health **‘under the color of law’ amongst other and many violations against the Plaintiff.**

This case must be accepted and accomplished for the security of not only the American Citizens of these United States but for the PLAINTIFF, Darlene R. Miles who continues, to the date of this filing to be forced to endure unethical and inhumane twenty-four hour human torture by war weaponry and technologies designed for war and the torture testing and evaluation on human beings. The Plaintiff is forced to endure war technologies torture testing, physical, psychological and chemical attacks and permanent damages to her body; theft and financial attacks, false financial court case filings, and false IRS filings by government agents and agencies as well as ongoing financial attacks and theft of income. Court cases and judgment liens guided by Department of Justice personnel by way of the Attorney Generals office along with endless other false federal collections activities as a form of targeting the Plaintiff endlessly. In addition and most horrific, the Plaintiff is forced to endure the painful tortuous assaults and injections into her body by nano sensors and other nano technology leaving permanent chemical and other scarring to the Plaintiffs body; attacks and tortured by assaults from UAS/UAV (unmanned Ariel vehicles (drone handlers) and military grade hovercrafts and other war Ariel weaponry devices). The Defendants direct and indirect ‘joint’ Criminal Enterprise of ‘Assault and Battery’ illegally committed against the Plaintiff with disregard to our United States Constitution; Human or Civil lawful security of and for the law-abiding Plaintiff or any other persons of our Nation from these forms of human abuses, attacks, actions and continued threats attacks by unknown (gasses/chemicals/directed energies) Biological

and Chemical attacks, that include organized Terrorism assaults against the Plaintiff and other personal property.

These Defendants all associated in acts and actions under the Department of Defense 'Joint Targeting' doctrine of innocent U.S. Person (as documented in their doctrine) are responsible directly and indirectly for the E-Terrorism against the Plaintiff as well as against our country. E-Terrorism referencing the damage to the Plaintiffs writings, papers, filings, emails, computers, cell phones, home phones and all other e-devices that are being damaged and under constant attack; This case is important to the survival of our society, our soldiers and others who honestly serve and represent our country to stop the mass Human Genocide, and to stop the mass destruction of human life by the releasing of bio-chemicals into our air as Chemical trails (as documented in photographs over the skies of the Plaintiff), as well as the through-the-wall use of technologies as it relates to the abilities of unmanned Ariel vehicles and low orbiting satellites as approved by the various Senate Approved and Appropriations Budgets to corporations, contractors, government agencies, and the use of military surplus war weapons by local police. Local police, and others in the development of war weaponry and human body altering technologies and devices now being placed as 'payloads' on and in UAV/UAS (unmanned Ariel vehicles/systems) Nano Sensors and other Nano technologies as documented within the Plaintiffs Exhibits; (GPS sensors to track the human body, Infrared sensors to light the human body and Chemical/Biological sensors to inject illness, cancers, heart attacks, strokes, aneurisms and more in chemical and biological agents) as is what is being non-consensually injected into the Plaintiffs body as in the unethical and inhumane development and perfection of U.S. Patents for Nano technology such as harmful painful nano sensors that are only designed to attach to the human body and to all living things. These perfected Nano technologies are not being limited to the injection into humans but also includes sensors being injected into our clouds, our waters, our food and into our skies as is being forcefully injected against the Plaintiff body, home, and our communities via nano sensors and other biochemical's now sprayed within Chemtrails on nearly every clear day. The Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles comes to this court in addition to gain assistance in the stopping of the illegal inhumane Human Trafficking of the Plaintiff's body in these acts of non-consensual human experimentation and torture, and against other citizens by way of non-consensual human (torture) experimentation resulting in permanent physical and psychological damages as stated in DOD Directive 5240-1-r – Procedure 13 'Human Experimentation for Intelligence Purposes' on all U.S. Persons and others without any regard to the 'living torture' that these war weaponry and other technologies are causing a living being as in the Plaintiff, and her health, life functions.

42.b, This case must be heard in order to re-establish and promote a safe and peaceful purpose of life for the Plaintiff and all human beings as the Plaintiff has already been defined as a FEDERAL CRIME VICTIM, where it has been acknowledged that she, the Plaintiff has testified under oath and her testimony was found to be true and accurate, that the damage to her body has been and is being committed by (UAV/UAS) DRONE sensors, and other military grade devices and technologies), her testimony and permanent medical health damages was found to be true after the review of her medical records and testimony by other qualified court ordered professionals. The successful acceptance of this case will assist in bringing forward the human rights abuses now being committed against the Plaintiff and for other unwitting (unknowledgeable), and witting (knowledgeable targeted victims who suffer as does the Plaintiff), and for all CRIME VICTIMS that are seeking to obtain crime intervention that is willfully obstructed by other persons under the 'Color of Laws'.

42.c, My life, (the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles) our lives as it stands today, will never be the same as most of the damage to the Plaintiff is permanent, however, it is never too late to reverse 'government funded programs of torture' and to stop this growing Drone (UAV/UAS) industry and their 'handlers' capabilities to conduct genocide through-the-walls of the home of the Plaintiff and of ALL CITIZENS. In is not to late to defund such research programs as 'Brain experimentation', 'Mind-Control experimentations', Remote Neural Monitoring, Nano Sensors and Nano

Technologies, and the various Biological and Chemical experimentation that is resulting in all forms of illnesses to the Plaintiff and to our society, and more, all designed to only be tested and evaluated on all U.S. Persons without consent and with little to no government oversight. Testing and Evaluation per EVERY Senate Appropriations Budget and Bill that 'blindly authorizes' government agencies, corporations, researchers and 'handlers' of drones, low-orbiting satellites, nightly flying hovercrafts and daily spraying military and contractor planes that are spray chemical trails of all forms of deadly and harmful chemicals in our air on nearly every clear day.

It is never too late to stop the 'God-like' genocide capabilities that have been granted to government funded 'drone and UAV handlers', contractors, military agencies, researchers, scientists and others as they sit behind computer screens and release UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES in the masses directed at the Plaintiff's body, her home and all communities around our country as seen on sample Exhibit A in the various damages to the Plaintiff's body, attached to this compliant. As these 'handler' of drones (UAV/UASs) and other military war weaponry have no concern for human choices, or in humans for experimentation, they have no concern for communities, race, gender or age of their victims.

42.d, We are all at risk to an industry that is advertising TRILLIONS in earnings to be earned for decades with no government oversight nor guidance, only an industry of war weapons of mass destruction that is based on Senate Corporate donations, corporate greed and human death, the TRILLIONS that can be earned by the one percent of our country as all citizens, including our country's leaders, soldiers, police and even first responders, as they too are being utilized for testing and evaluation of these war weaponry, all humans suffering at their hands 'handlers' and corporate greed.

42.e, The Plaintiff's health, finances, and her safety and peace of mind body, (as it stands today) will never be the same without government intervention into these harms that have without cause rained upon the Plaintiff for years. When a place of safety such as the Plaintiff's home or bed when she attempts to sleep are now known to her as a torture chamber. When any human being is unable to find peace in their home because of constant through-the-walls nano sensor and laser strikes to her body are resulting in cuts to her body, blood clots all over her body, and even heavy impacts to her head knocking her to the ground, is the rarest of inhumane abuses that any human being could be subjected to yet the Plaintiff is and does today.

42.f, From (myself) the Plaintiff of this Compliant and to the millions of knowledgeable citizens of this country that are now aware of their day and night torture who are being enlisted as law enforcement contractors, CERTs, Citizen Corp members, Community Oriented Policing groups and more all under the DOD/DOJ, some defined as 'unwitting' (unknowledgeable) citizens who are now being utilized non-consensually for human experimentation and seeking medical attention for war crimes (weaponry) technologies resulting in a host of illness including mental illness as humans are being tortured without understanding why or by whom, yet it is government funded and written as approved in every Senate Appropriations Budget and or Bill. The Plaintiff as 'witting' and unwitting citizens that have all forms of war weaponry and biological chemicals pointed at their bodies non-consensually which are affecting and or permanently damaging their bodies, and minds for the pleasure of those in powers, and PER THE DOD DIRECTIVE 5240.1-R – PROCEDURE 13 HUMAN EXPERIMENTATION FOR INTELLIGENCE PURPOSES that included the DOD Joint Targeting doctrine for the targeting of individuals and groups (without cause). Further torture and torment now also included for the Plaintiff, the removal of necessary medications now converted to generic medications that do not help the pain nor heal. These are the 'abuses of humanity', of our women, our children, our men, our soldiers, and our including the unborn with the abuses not being limited to the abuse of the minds of humans as these tortures are nearly impossible to explain without documentation, photographs and videos as the Plaintiff has been successfully able to prove with years of documented proof.

42.g, This can not be what our society has willed....the destruction of the good of this country, the peaceful, the young and the old, but rather than to allow our God, your God to determine our worth or our years of life. Instead, millions of citizens are now aware that their bodies are being tortured for pleasure and profit, and not one person in our United States government has been willing to turn off these military and research devices aimed at the Plaintiff, as they now fly in our day and night skies above us all and even those who are defined as 'unwitting victims' by the DOD have been 'mind controlled' into committing crimes and violence against the innocent in silence and under criminal obedience, yet they too are now being defined as 'targets of collateral damage'. I am aware of these technologies and abuses against my body; I as, the Plaintiff am knowledgeable (witting) but what is making these actions most dangerous are the unwitting victims who are being abused with mind-controlled technologies that these victims are not aware how to gain help of assistance.

42.h, *Violations of the Plaintiffs Civil Rights as defined are to protect individuals from unwarranted government action, without discrimination or repression. If that right is interfered with by another person or agency (including the government), it gives rise to an action for injury. Civil rights include freedom of speech, press, and assembly; the right to vote; freedom from involuntary servitude; and the right to equality in public places. **Human rights** are international norms that help to protect everyone from severe political, legal, and social abuses. Examples of human rights are the right to freedom of religion, the right to a fair trial when charged with a crime, the right not to be tortured, and the right to engage in political activity. All of the Plaintiffs Civil Rights have been lost due this undue targeting of her life.*

42.i, The protection that I as the Plaintiff of this Compliant have lost my Civil rights as defined to protect citizens from unreasonable searches and seizures and from cruel and unusual punishments. Statutes have been enacted to prevent discrimination based on a person's race, sex, religion, age, previous condition of servitude, physical limitation, national origin, and in some instances sexual preference. Civil Rights are also referred to as **Legal Rights** or statutory rights. They are interpreted by some form of legislature and are contingent upon local laws, customs, or beliefs.

42.j, Civil Rights include:

- Protection from private (non-government) discrimination (based on gender, religion, race, sexual orientation, etc.)
- Ensuring peoples' physical integrity and safety and to make sure people were not forced into labor.
- Equal access to health care, education, culture, etc

All of the Plaintiffs Civil Rights have been lost due this undue targeting of her life.

42.k, The Plaintiffs Human Rights are the rights and freedoms basic to life and liberty. Human rights laws entitle people to equal opportunity employment and the right to work free of discrimination and harassment. Human rights include freedom of expression, equality under the law, the right to food, the right to work and the right to education. Human rights uphold the dignity and worth of the human person, and equal rights between men and women.

All of the Plaintiffs Human Rights have been lost due this undue targeting of her life.

42.l, Attached is EXHIBIT A, THAT SHOWS SOME OF THE CURRENT DAMAGE TO THE PLAINTIFF BODY AS A RESULT OF THE DAMAGE FROM UNMANNED AND MANNED ARIEL VEHICLES, NANO SENSORS, LASERS, DIRECTED ENERGIES AND OTHER PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL OPERATIONS MEANS THAT CONTINUE TO ATTACK THE PLAINTIFF ON A DAILY BASES.

42.m, The holes in the Plaintiffs breast are real, current exist and are extremely painful. The constant slicing of the Plaintiffs body is real from nano sensors, lasers and other devices that are shot into her body through-the-walls of her home daily and nightly. The Plaintiff can no longer sleep as a normal human being without sleeping under shielding material to attempt to avoid additional damage to her body which is only a game to these 'Criminal Enterprise' Domestic and or Foreign terrorist that have access to the skies of these United States and 'their hands on the controls of DRONES' and other technologies that continue to attack the Plaintiffs entire body. And why I ask you all, why. We are all worthless to 'someone', some entity, some groups, some government agencies, and all being physically and or psychologically attacked (per DOD Directive 5240.1-r against all U.S. Persons) in one form or another. Maybe you may not have painful holes in your breast as the Plaintiff does, but she is sure that most citizens are feeling the results of these uncontrollable damages from these thousands of agencies, contractors, researchers, 'drone UAV/UAS handlers' behind computer screens and or on foot community organized policing 'criminals enterprise' members who set out to destroy for greed and pleasure.

42.n, CIVIL LIBERTIES INCLUDE: Plaintiffs rights and freedoms given to 'the People' by the First Amendment to the Constitution, by common law, and legislation, allowing the Plaintiff to be free to speak, think, assemble, organize, worship or petition without government (or even private) interference or restraints. These liberties are protective in nature, while Civil rights form a broader concept and include positive elements such as the right to us facilities, the right to an equal education, or the right to participate in government.

All of the Plaintiffs Civil Liberties have been lost due this undue targeting of her life.

42.m, First Amendment guarantees, freedom of press, religion, speech, thought, fundamental individual rights with guarantees from the Bill of Rights; human rights, individual rights, right to life, right to peaceful assembly, right to petition government for redress, right to privacy, right to property, right to worship. With associated concepts; civil rights, protection against unwarranted governmental interference....ALL OF WITH HAVE BEEN LOST TO THE PLAINTIFF WITHOUT REASON, REPRESENTATION OR CAUSE.

42.n. Is attached letters marked Exhibit B/B1 – that includes the letter addressed to Congressional Appropriations Committee Members who are Chairs and Co Chairs for the Appropriations of government funds for the development, testing and evaluation of War Weaponry to the military, corporations, researchers and others that have lead to the testing and evaluation, as documented in every Senate Appropriations Budgets and Bills that leads to testing on all U.S. Persons per the DOD Directive 5240.1-r – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation on all U.S. Persons for Intelligence Purposes and the harms and torture this testing has caused the Plaintiff and other citizens of this

country as most have filed complaints that have gone unanswered, with blatant disregard of our torture as human beings.

F. FACTS PERTAINING TO THIS CLAIM

- 43. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles** is an individual residing at P.O. Box 218335, Columbus, Ohio 43035 in the county of Franklin County in the state of Ohio. It is important to note that the Plaintiff was not aware of the government, contractor and researchers tactics and targeting of U.S. Persons as years of notes were documented below. **It was not until 2014 and current day** that the Plaintiff has been made aware of the various documented horrific practices that have been systematically brought together by the named Defendants to destroy the Plaintiff entire life from her health, to her property to her career. The documenting of these organized citizen and technology attacks were documented in the Department of Defenses' Joint Targeting of citizens, that includes targeting into a mental instability or horrific death. The following notes began as the Plaintiff was not aware of the documents, systems, organized groups and systematic destruction of innocent good human beings which appears to be for corporate profit, non-consensual research and pleasure full torture at the hands of many government funded individuals, groups and agencies all documented in the DODs own words which is referenced in paragraph 137.
- 44. Plaintiff** previously resided in the city of **Cleveland Heights Ohio** where she purchased land and began to build a home without complete lawful building support from the Cleveland Heights Building Department in forcing the licensed builder in complying to all building requirements, codes and compliances. The Plaintiff address at that time of the pro of the property was 3466 Monticello Blvd also in the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio, in the county of Cuyahoga county in the year of 1998 where she resided until October 2009 where the Plaintiff sustained severe health damage, physical attacks, property damage, hate crimes, assaults by electromagnet frequencies, radiation assaults and terrorizing harassment by Cleveland Heights police officers, those they incited, unnamed others, and neighborhood groups who also incited violence against the Plaintiff around the clock and twenty four hours a day. The Plaintiff then leased a property in the city of Youngstown Ohio in the county of Mahoning County in October 2009 until 2011 where the same health damage, electronic physical attacks and physical harassment and torturous attacks and assaults to her person and property continued. The Plaintiff was forced to move and then leased a property in the city of Columbus in August 2011 for which she has since being forced to move three times within four years do to ongoing electronic attacks, group harassment, remote and through-the-walls assaults by lasers, nano sensors and other war technologies; attacks that ranged from electromagnetic frequencies, radiation attacks, chemical burns to her complete body, and burning lasers ran over her entire body through the roof and windows of her residences, these attacks have also included gassing the Plaintiff that was witnessed by local police, EMS, property supervisors and others.
- 45. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles is a law abiding citizen and** has never committed any crimes nor has she ever been charged with any crimes, nor ever convicted of any crimes in her lifetime.
- 46. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles,** is also an author of the book 'An Invasion of (corporate) Locust' that was published in 2009 as a guide to help displaced workers, high school students and college students with a greater understanding of the principles of banking, insurance, healthcare, credit and credit repair, credit protections, internet security and a host of other survival information in hopes of building a stronger America.
- 47. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles was** also a public speaker until her permanent disability on financial literacy to community groups, churches, libraries and suburban and urban non-profit groups

where she donated hundreds of books to community groups and churches. She was also a mentor to groups of young teens where she provided dinners weekly as she taught life-skills, communication skills and computer skills, all for free to these teens.

- 48. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles** had worked in the Information Technology industry since 1992 and has worked as a Project manager, Quality Assurance Manager, and Quality Engineer amongst other contracted and salaried positions over the years. From 1992 to 2003, the Plaintiff also took care, supported, and buried both her father and mother at her expense. The Plaintiff is also a divorced mother of one child and as she built her dream home in the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio in 1998, she worked three jobs as she put her child through college and saved to own her American dream, saving and purchasing all carpets, tiles, lighting, bath fixtures, cabinets and others items on her own not to finance them within the building price of her home. The Plaintiff goal was to build her dream home for herself and her child in community that she could eventually retire and live in peace.
- 49. Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles** contracted purchase price of her home was \$159,500.00 to the builder; however the builder did not complete her home, instead the builder filed bankruptcy and left the Plaintiff to complete her home over a three year period on her own. The Plaintiff home was a custom home designed by the Plaintiff and the building contractor. The Plaintiff, as a single woman attempted to do what she thought was smart by purchasing and paying for all carpet (for all three floors), bathroom fixtures for four bathrooms, cabinets, and all lighting fixtures including custom designed ceiling fans for every room; all to avoid adding to the added financing cost of her home. She, the Plaintiff continued to work three jobs as she completed the building of her home after the builder filed bankruptcy while she was putting her child through college as well.
- 50.** As years of community targeting by local police, unnamed unidentified air crafts, and community groups was made clear to the Plaintiff in 2008, the targeting, harassment, physical and emotional attacks have continued and escalated now for years, and to this date without ceasing against the Plaintiff; at the point in which the more severe targeting and attacks began to occurred against the Plaintiff, her home was valued then in **2008 at over \$389,000.00 and was the Plaintiff only residence**, and the only new home built in decades on the street of Monticello Blvd., Cleveland Heights Ohio where the Plaintiff resided in the city of Cleveland Heights from 1998. With pride in her home and landscaping, the Plaintiff performed her own landscaping for years investing nearly \$10,000 in plants and trees around her home even as the age-old evergreen trees were purposefully killed during the tampering of her property.
- 51.** As the Plaintiff initially moved into her new home in the **city of Cleveland Heights** the 'front street facing' white garage door was completely destroyed with gang style graffiti Plaintiff written in black marker or black paint across the entire white two-car garage door, and the front living room large picture window was hit with a large rock breaking the entire outer pane of this large living room window. In addition, These acts did not end there, glass bottles were broken almost daily at the end of her driveway where the Plaintiff could not drive in or out of her driveway without first cleaning or removing the glass; and trash was thrown in her yard nearly daily as well. Later the Plaintiff phone lines were cut and disconnected as witnessed by family and friends in the media. Damage and theft continued as the Plaintiff mail was repeatedly stolen, delayed or destroyed upon delivery. In addition, the Plaintiff was forced to endure severe electrical problems throughout her home where it appeared that electrical lines to the Plaintiff home were being disconnected, where only half the home would have electricity and these were just a sampling of the initial attacks against the Plaintiff property. In addition to these attacks to the property there appeared to be an electrical current constantly running through her home while nearly all of the Plaintiff small appliances began to stop working, several VCRs, fax machine, answering machines, DVD players all were permanently disabled, then tapping sounds against

internal heating piping began through the walls, at early morning hours to create sleep deprivation and would occur nightly in her home. The actions did not end there, the Plaintiff home would also be filled with gas and a gassing smell began to occur at night, and more.

G. FURTHER FACTS PERTAINING TO THE CASE/DEFENDANTS

52. Where the Plaintiffs targeting by the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio appears to have begin in 1998 unknowledgeable to the Plaintiff, this was when the Plaintiff began to build her home in the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio and the Building Department allowed the builder to not comply to all building regulations and city laws and the Plaintiff was a black single woman building a home in a city that had not had new homes built in years. The city with a building permit from the builder for six months to build the Plaintiffs home allowed the builder to not comply to code violations, and more for nearly one year forcing the Plaintiff to pay a construction loan, mortgage payments and a construction loan while living in a hotel all at her expense. The city had the owners to implement the laws and enforce building code violations which were many, yet the city of Cleveland Heights turned their backs even with many calls and reports of violations by the builder and non compliance to building codes, schedules or designs.
53. Shortly after the Plaintiff lost her home to sabotage, targeting, damages and electronic harassment into her home, her home was stripped of all value; from carpet, to plumbing, to tile and wood work on a public street that the Plaintiff was previously placed under surveillance and harassed for a year. The day after the Plaintiff was forced to move out of her home due to targeting by government funded entities, local police and others, her home was stripped of all everything and placed on a foreclosure list. Striped on a public street that in photo Exhibit 1 shows how the local police harasses the Plaintiff daily. Now a group of criminals was able to strip the Plaintiffs home of all goods that even the Plaintiff had paid for which was theft since the foreclosure date had not been reached. All allowed by the City of Cleveland Heights and their police department.
54. As it stands today, 2015 the Plaintiff is more knowledgeable about the targeting that was systematically placed in her life to destroy her life completely and it started with the false IRS Audit and financial distress.
55. Defendant Department of Defense and Department of Justice: What the Plaintiff did not know that the damages to her home were also tied to this 'Joint Targeting' process as documented by one of our largest government agencies in our nation, the Department of Defense. The one document alone details the destruction of an individual or group by targeting every aspect of their life which includes their health, finances, property, business relationships, and associates. This damaging horrific document continues by directing groups to identify the individuals strengths, weaknesses and support systems, then moves forward in words to state that it may require that additional 'SENSORS' may need to be injected into the individual; a humans body, injection of additional painful debilitating nano sensors in order to track, or cause illness to that individual who DoD defines as a human targeted individual. All of this destruction being the 'fog' of a war against an innocent human being, the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles; yet destruction commenced upon this innocent law-abiding human being, citizen, a woman; the Plaintiff was to have her labeled as mentally unstable and to use her body, without consent for human experimentation, torture and exploitation, and non-consensual human experimentation without her will.

56. A citizen targeted by million and billion dollar war technology, research, and war weaponry that left her powerless.
57. Even the systematic engagement of millions of willing citizens at all levels (from banking, to postal carriers, to medical facilities, to the IRS and even organized government funded law enforcement contractors, community oriented policing and much more) of the Plaintiff life who willfully engaged in physical damage, breaking and entering the Plaintiff home, sabotage of her residence and vehicles, torture by severe burns to her skin by microwave and radiation weaponry, theft of personal property, war crimes with the use of UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES and hovercrafts to inflict permanent physical damage to the Plaintiff body, including the subjecting of the Plaintiff with continued torture through her walls with Radiation and Microwave weaponry to this present day in 2015; all documented as a process and procedure in the Department of Defense 'Joint Targeting' training document in targeting individuals and groups.
58. **Defendant the IRS Internal Revenue Service and The State Department of Taxation:** The Plaintiff, through years of emotional, physical, psychological and financial distress continued to seek assistance from every IRS office possible to stop this one financial distress. Even though the Plaintiff did not owe tax money or had any assessment due, the IRS Agents falsely reported to the State of Ohio an increase in the Plaintiff taxes of over 20,000 in order to create additional financial distress and collections activities that were not true and have continued to this current year of 2015.
59. Defendants Lisa Iannotta, Chief Collections Enforcement for the Ohio Attorney Generals office and Defendant Ohio Department of Taxation are documented within Exhibit J. Notice of Intent to Sue with the Plaintiff providing documented evidence (additional exhibits) to all offices that the Plaintiff did not owe any money, yet requested evidence of such for these offices as recently as March 2015 and have yet to receive any information, only legal liens being filed against the Plaintiff without any notifications.
60. The Plaintiff paid this false assessment as well but continued to seek remedy from the Internal Revenue Service. The final act of ABUSE OF POWERS by the Internal Revenue Service Representatives was the actions caused by Agent Glenda Leon and Appeal Agent John Heini of the Columbus Ohio IRS office where they conspired against the Plaintiff after not finding any errors with the Plaintiff taxes as well, labeled the Plaintiff as associated with the Tea Party and contacted one of the Plaintiff former clients to request information on the Plaintiff tax filing status. This action of 'requesting personal and private' information about the Plaintiff tax status as an independent contractor was not lawful nor did it comply with the IRS owns tax payer Statute that the Plaintiff could file her taxes as a statutory agent for which applied as an independent consultant.
61. This act by Columbus IRS Agent Glenda Leon and Agent John Heini where further targeting of the Plaintiff and was further Abuse of Powers, and resulted in additional emotional and financial distress for the Plaintiff as these two IRS Agents falsely increased the Plaintiff State of Ohio Taxes AGAIN in 2010, dating back a false audit to 2007 that lead to a tax lien being filed against the Plaintiff in 2014.
62. As a result of this ongoing targeting of the Plaintiff, she continues to receive collection letters and even had a false tax lien and judgment filed and granted all in one day that resulted in the

Plaintiff filing a Motion to Dismiss with proof that NO TAXES WERE OWED by the Plaintiff to anyone, State of Ohio that the Plaintiff had been forced to pay, nor to the State of Ohio for which the false increase was also paid by the Plaintiff

63. The documented actions were all combined and were incited corruption by the defendants all at once. The Plaintiff was made aware that she was being stalked on December 2008 the same month that she received the Federal tax audit notice from IRS Agent Traci Thornton and she complied.
64. Within that same month December 2008 the Plaintiff was made aware that she was being stalked by multiple vehicles, mostly me and of with multiple races of individuals, occupied within these three vehicles.
65. The Plaintiff was and continues to be terrorized as she could not get away from these three vehicles as they followed her from over 25 miles away from her home, even as she attempted to hide in cities not near her home, or park behind tall apartment building, yet she was still found by one of the three vehicles, or another until she gave up and drove home to later look out of her windows and see the same vehicles driving back and forth in front of her home.
66. By the next morning traffic began to increase greatly and so did the noise level which now included the police and fire trucks driving back and forth turning on sirens only in front of the Plaintiff home. That did not make sense either so the Plaintiff contacted the FBI to ask if somehow she had been miss-identified as a criminal of some sort and was laughed at by the woman who answered the phone, who stated 'if we had you under surveillance, you would not know it'. This same woman told me to call my local police to file a police report and I did.
67. The Plaintiff filed the first police report regarding stalking and supplied the Cleveland Heights detective Green with the report who insisted that the stalking was most likely my disgruntled former technology clients but he would run the license plates that I provided.
68. **Defendant City of Cleveland Heights Ohio** and unnamed co -conspirators: Another Abuse of Powers with clear intent to cause the Plaintiff permanent harms, the detective immediately contacted the Cleveland Metro Health Rescue and reported that the Plaintiff was suicidal and depressed and reported that she was being stalked(?) The only part of this statement that was true was that I reported that I was being stalked and that I was fearful not knowing why this was occurring in my life.
69. This Cleveland Metro Health Rescue called the Plaintiff several times to insist that the Plaintiff allow them to come to her home and she refused and stated that she was not in need on mental health assistance and requested that they stop phoning her immediately.
70. At this point, February 2009 the stalking activity had horrifically increased outside of the Plaintiff home, when the Plaintiff would leave her home and even with criminals parking in her driveway close enough to nearly touch the Plaintiff garage door.

71. Being concerned that a detective would contact a mental hospital rather than to investigate the stalking by many the Plaintiff contacted an attorney and that lead to a private investigator as well. After meeting with both, the Plaintiff and detective began monitoring, and recording the activities outside and around the Plaintiff home as now more damages to the Plaintiff property and vehicle, and health were occurring.
72. Captured on video were the Cleveland Heights Ohio police involved with groups of many driving back and forth in front of the Plaintiff house, at time nearly seven of more vehicles along with groups of vehicles of all states license plates. It got more aggressive as these individuals would stand on the front porch, walk into the Plaintiff back yard, damage trees, flash lights into the Plaintiff bedroom windows or park at early morning hours around 3am in the Plaintiff driveway with a number of white vehicles all with dark tinted windows that continued to incite more fear in the Plaintiff as to why this was occurring.
73. Later the Plaintiff home was flooded, the roof was cut to allow water into the great room, dead animals were placed in front of the Plaintiff garage door and in front of other doors. Windows were broken, her home was broken into and the home was spray painted with the letters KKK, and more.
74. Increasingly, the police became more bold and began sitting in front of the Plaintiff home, across the street and on the wrong side of the street to make it appear the Plaintiff was under surveillance.
75. The Cleveland Heights police would also harass the Plaintiff by flashing her vehicle with lights when she drove, pulling her over but never getting out of their vehicle, yet forcing the Plaintiff to sit on a street until the police officer decided to drive way from behind the Plaintiff, these action never stopped.
76. The Plaintiff then filed a police report against the police with the Chief of Police and requested that the officers cease these behaviors but instead the harassment increased to even more dangers levels that even included false police reports being filed against me by the City Prosecutor stating that I was stalking strangers and that he would have me arrested based on false allegations and forwarded his letter threatening the Plaintiff with arrest to the President of the United States and his Senior Advisor Valerie Jarret, then the 'fake' allegations were within drawn yet the harassment by WAR WEAPONRY, Microwave and Radiation energy increased through the Plaintiff walls.
77. In May 2009 the Plaintiff recorded the Cleveland Heights Police as they were discussing the life, family and finances of the Plaintiff with strangers on the street, they were stating that they had been targeting the Plaintiff since 2005 because that they did not believe that she could afford to have built her home and questioned her income, yet the Plaintiff had worked in technology for over 20 years and had earned a salary as high as a combined six figure. These police officers made several false allegations to these same men as these statements were recorded by the may audio and video cameras that were now placed around the Plaintiff home.
78. Due to the constant harassment, torture to the Plaintiff health and sabotage while the Plaintiff attempted to find employment, the Plaintiff had to leave here home.

79. Even as the Plaintiff was driving a U-Haul with others where were helping her to move they were constantly attacked on the Ohio Turnpike my nearly 20 drivers in large trucks and other vehicles. From one end to the Ohio Turnpike exit and the attacks did not stop there.
80. Once the Plaintiff moved to Youngstown Ohio the attacks and targeting escalated with now the local Youngstown Ohio police and at all hours of the day that included the inciting of neighbors and other groups, even the cutting down of hundreds of tall trees around the leased home of the Plaintiff.
81. By cutting down the tall trees the Electronic Harassment by Microwave and Radiations energies could now increase at greater levels.
82. Her new residence was broken into and her social security card and original birth certificate were stolen from her residence. As her residence was continually broken into, at times food was also stolen from her refrigerator, then the home was hit by extremely high levels of Directed Energies that disabled nearly all of her small electronic devices (fax machine, printers, and telephone) to her stereo and the impact was so hard that it disabled the basement sump-pump and flooded everything in the basement. Later there was an attempt to burn the roof of the residence, at least on several occurrences to a point that the Plaintiff could smell the roof burning at times from the inside of the home and so could family members as the visited.
83. Now in 2015 the Plaintiff is more aware of the capabilities of the many PATENT TECHNOLOGIES that are now in the hand of government contractors who spray CHEMTRAILS in our airs, to the releasing of painful dangerous NANO SENSOR, or inject citizens with these SENSOR that are GPS, Infrared, Chemical and or Biological as documented.
84. To this date February 2015, the acts and actions of physical health damage is permanent against the Plaintiff, the attacks by chemicals to her head and hair are permanent, the damage to the Plaintiffs muscles, joints, nerves and skin are permanent. These TERRORIST with WAR WEAPONRY have drilled holes in both breasts of the Plaintiff and have scared her body from her head to her toes including continuing to fracture her feet.
85. Because the Plaintiff was unable to obtain work or sell her home due to the constant damages the Plaintiff lost her home to foreclosure.
86. The torture has never ended, nor has the fear of what types of torture to the Plaintiffs body will be next as the torture and assaults have not stopped.

H. ADDITIONAL FACTS PERTAINING TO THE COMPLIANT

87. In 2005, the Plaintiff placed her home on the market after a series of horrific and strange attacks to her home. Horrific and strange attacks that the Plaintiff then considered (before local police

were caught on video committing some of these hate crimes and attacks against the Plaintiff), to be gang related hate crimes. In addition to the constant occurrences in and around the Plaintiff home, she began to have problems balancing heat and cooling within the home as her body was being severely over heat in strange areas, areas such as the back of her head, legs or even racing heart beats. Her bedroom always remained at least 20 degrees or more hotter than any part of the house even with the central air temperature set at 60 degrees; even with air conditioning on and the problem could never be resolved. In addition, the Plaintiff constantly experienced nervous tingling and loss of complete feeling to her arms and legs and experienced severe muscle spasms to her arms and legs all at once leaving her at times paralyzed in pain. The same occurrences also occurred while sitting in her in great room or when laying in bed; all strange while the Plaintiff had never experienced such health issues in her past.

88. After the Plaintiff home was placed on the market, the lower level family room was flooded. Flooded to a point where this huge family room carpet and furniture were forced to be removed and drywall and carpet damage repaired and replaced, and the home was forced to be taken off the market. The water appeared to come in from the fireplace yet no damage was found on the top of the fireplace roof. Damages to the carpet , padding, furniture were repaired and replaced at the Plaintiff expense. The flooding of the Plaintiff lower lever occurred two additional times which forced the Plaintiff to take her home off the market three times.
89. In addition to the flooding of the Plaintiff home, the Plaintiff roof over the Great room was sliced and cut to allow water damage into the Great room, through the drywall. Later, the Plaintiff furnace external vents were jammed with plastic bags from the outside piping (as found by to furnace repair people) that turned off the Plaintiff furnace for days in the mist of winter months. Later dead birds were placed in front of the Plaintiff garage door and in front of her back door patio.
90. **In 2006, the Plaintiff placed her home on the market again,** and the home was flooded again. Again, the flood appeared to come from the fireplace but the contractor hired to investigate the incident found no damages on the roof again. Again, carpet and padding were removed at the Plaintiff expense and replaced. Now these acts that appeared to be sabotage were of concern so additional investigation found that a whole was made into the fireplace concrete at the ground base level that would not have been seen if you were not looking for it that caused the flooding of the basement each time the home was placed on the market for sale.
91. As the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles had begun to realize that strange occurrences and damages were increasing around her home, she also realized that she was being strangely followed and stalked by strangers, (men) across roads and freeways, even across state lines, yet these strange actions by strangers were dismissed by the Plaintiff as possible coincidences, during 2006, 2007 and 2008.
92. Along with all the strange occurrences and damage to the Plaintiffs home, she was also experiencing being pulled over by random Cleveland Heights police officers but they would never get out of their vehicles, only shine their spot light on her vehicle or run down on the tail of her vehicle with sirens and lights causing her to pull over as they would pull around her vehicle and turn off their sirens. The Plaintiff found those actions quite strange as well. All of these actions increased during the years until the levels of direct actions by the police parking outside the Plaintiffs home, pointing their vehicle at her home while parked on the wrong side of the street as though the Plaintiff was under surveillance.
93. **Defendant Cleveland Heights Police and Detective Bureau - December 2008,** The Plaintiff filed a police report as requested by the local police and was assigned to the detective bureau of the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio,, assigned to a detective Carl Green where the Plaintiff

presented the license plates numbers of the four vehicles along with the descriptions of those drivers. The detective asked to meet with the Plaintiff again at a later date and requested that she provide documented information, regarding her technology clients, employers, and friends, etc., not clearly understanding the relevance of that level of information, the Plaintiff complied and presented the information to Detective Green. The Plaintiff asked the detective if he had contacted those who were stalking and following her and he stated that he had not. The Plaintiff found that answer quite strange considering the number of vehicles and drivers now targeting her everywhere that she drove, walked or occupied, she felt in danger yet the detective had not initiated any investigation.

94. Within one day of the meeting with the detective, the Plaintiff received a phone call from the Cleveland Metro Health Rescue and requesting that they visit the Plaintiff home; as stated by the organizations representative. They stated that they were informed by Detective Green that the Plaintiff was suicidal and under severe emotional distress stating that people were stalking her (note as of 2015 this was a know 'Joint Targeting' tactic. This concerned the Plaintiff that a detective would state such information and place her personal information in the databank of a mental facility that could cause potentially professional ruin to the Plaintiff by stating that she was suicidal when she was not. The Plaintiff denied the claims to this organization and begin to seek other investigative means to find out why any group of individuals would be targeting and now harassing her. She also knew that she could not rely on the Cleveland Heights Police department to enforce the laws regarding stalking and she felt completely unprotected by local law enforcement and fearful for her life.

IRS Agent Fraud and Targeting of the Plaintiff for Financial Distress

95. **Defendant the, (IRS) INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (fraud)** In factual detail on how the Internal Revenue and its Agents of the Department of Justice has continued to ruin the Plaintiff life financially and emotional, by constant stress as they continue to ruin the Plaintiffs credit with false collections activities, court cases and continued creation of collections activity by random attorneys from the Ohio State Attorney Generals office.
96. During the year of 2008 and the month of December 2008 the Plaintiff received an IRS audit request for 2006 and 2007. The Plaintiff had never been audited but quickly complied to the request and had her first meeting with the IRS Agent Traci Thornton of the Independence Ohio IRS office. **Per IRS Agent Traci Thornton**, the Plaintiff complied and proved ALL Scheduled deduction for both tax years and was even told that the Plaintiff would receive a refund for 2006 and a large donation of the Plaintiffs books totaling over \$4000.00 was missed by the Plaintiffs accountant so the Plaintiff had also proved all Scheduled deduction for her 2007 taxes as well and was told that the Plaintiff would receive a letter that she complied to the audit and did not owe any additional moneys. What was strange about this audit was that the IRS Agent Tracy Thornton request two copies of the Plaintiff books for, as the IRS Agent stated... for herself and her mother? So the Plaintiff complied yet found that request to be strange but did not know that that request was also illegal for the agent to request free books from the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff immediately sent the IRS Agent Traci Thornton an invoice for zero amount, but so that she could account for the inventory. Agent Traci Thornton never signed the invoice for the books she requested and returned the USED BOOKS to me in an IRS envelope, then waited SIX MONTHS AND SENT ME A BILL for nearly \$7,000. This fraud that involved a number of IRS Agents through to current day 2015 are documented in additional areas of this compliant and within the VIOLATIONS AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF COUNTS. As the Plaintiff, when the severity of the targeting of my life was made clear to me by the groups stalking and following the

Plaintiff, it was made clear to the Plaintiff on December 20th, 2008, as the Plaintiff, I had no idea what hell was to begin against my life.

97. As falsely targeted by an 'Enterprise' of government funded employees, the Plaintiff with a 'false' audit since year 2008 for year 2007. As late as January 2015 the Plaintiff received another attorney collection letter for taxes that have repeatedly proved to not be owed for Federal taxes or State Taxes, though the Plaintiff was falsely reported by the Internal Revenue Service representatives that she could not prove her Federal Scheduled deductions and did not provide this information for nearly eight months after the Plaintiff was informed that she, the Plaintiff had met all PROOF of ALL scheduled deductions on her 2007 taxes. Yet, an IRS Agent Traci Thornton falsely sent a request for payment without explanation eight months after the Plaintiff successfully proved and completed her 2007 audit. The Plaintiff made all written requests for proof in order to respond to every 'scheduled deduction' and did so with documented proven receipts and other documentation, and was told by IRS Agent Traci Thornton that the Plaintiff would receive a letter confirming her compliance and that no additional money was due to the IRS for any tax year, and ended the tax audit visit with the IRS Agent Traci Thornton requesting free copies of the Plaintiff two books and stated that they were for herself and her mother (?), these books were published by the Plaintiff and paid for by the Plaintiff, for which the Plaintiff found the IRS Agents request to be unethical, yet the Plaintiff complied with IRS Agent Traci Thornton's request.
98. The Plaintiff sent an invoice for the free books that were invoiced to account for the 'free inventory' to the IRS Agent, and three months later IRS Traci Thornton sent the 'used' books back to the Plaintiff that remains in the IRS original envelope. The Plaintiff continued to await the letter confirming that she, the Plaintiff had complied to all inquiries into her 2007 scheduled deductions and waited for months without any response from IRS Agent Traci Thornton of the Ohio Independence IRS office. Nearly eight months later The Plaintiff then contacted the Agents supervisor to request the letter that the audit was completed and received no response. The Plaintiff then contacted the Internal Treasury Inspector Generals office requesting the same request of completion but instead received a letter from IRS Traci Thornton, billing the Plaintiff for nearly \$7,000.00 without explanation. The Plaintiff attempted to contact the IRS Agent again with no response of reply in responding to this false assessment.
- The Plaintiff was then forced to begin paying for a false assessment that was clear retaliation for the invoice for the free books because all deductions had been proven.
99. The false assessment and billing, and targeting of the Plaintiff resulted in the Plaintiff paying an assessment that she did not owe. The IRS Agent Traci Thornton also sent a false increase of the Plaintiff State Taxes to the State of Ohio Department of Taxation for which the Plaintiff was also forced to pay over \$20,000 in falsely adjusted income to the State of Ohio and to the Federal Department of Taxation that resulted in the Plaintiff be forced to pay monies that she did not owe for years.
100. The Plaintiff began fighting to locate any ethical IRS Agent or Department to clarify, justify or revoke these false inflated income but was unsuccessful, yet would not cease trying to gain assistance to stop this financial harassment and distress even as the Plaintiff went through the loss of all income during the year of 2009 for which this targeting by IRS had clearly began.
101. The Plaintiff then contacted the Treasury Inspector General who assigned an **IRS Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins** who the Plaintiff worked with for **over four years** as the Tax Advocate

FIRST PROVED ALL SCHEDULED DEDUCTIONS AS BEING CORRECT, then submitted the Plaintiff tax information, along with the proof documents, receipts of all schedule deductions, as each IRS Agent REFUSED to release the Plaintiff funds that had been falsely taken, neither would any IRS Agent who reviewed the Plaintiff 2007 taxes release the financial distress or undo the harms that had been committed financially against the Plaintiff.

102. As the Plaintiff was enduring false targeting of financial distress by the Internal Revenue Service, she was continuing to endure the targeting of her health, career and (home) property. All of which made no human common sense to the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff has always believed in the laws of our country that protected the Civil, Human and Constitutional Rights of all citizens yet she the Plaintiff was stuck in an unbelievable state of torture and fraud by the Internal Revenue Service and their employees. The Plaintiff believed that if errors in any of these errors occurred, they would be rectified by the laws that governed our tax laws and our country; not that groups of people (IRS employees) could conspire to destroy any citizen (the Plaintiffs financial life, even as she became targeted into unemployment). Fraud committed, 'ghost writing', malaise, and more being committed against the Plaintiff 'just because they could', and 'Abuse of Powers', under the 'Color of Laws', and without justification against a tax paying, law-abiding human being.

103. In December 2008 AND SHORTLY AFTER RECEIVING THE IRS AUDIT REQUEST, while shopping for the Christmas holiday the Plaintiff realized that she was clearly being followed from store to store across miles driven by the same multiple individuals, in multiple vehicles 'stalking' or as some victims also call 'gang-stalking' once it increased to dangerous levels. These 'following' occurrences continued to three different locations, and over several hours and miles driven. THE PLAINTIFF tried to lose these vehicles but instead they were able to find her as THE PLAINTIFF drove nearly fifteen miles outside of her normal direction to her home. As THE PLAINTIFF thought again that she had lost these vehicles, they appeared at her home, right behind her as THE PLAINTIFF closed her garage. They remained on her street, driving back and forth which clearly was an indication that these people were targeting her which did not make sense. In fear the Plaintiff phoned the Cleveland Heights police to file a police report of 'stalking by multiple persons' yet she did not understand these actions nor why this was happening to her and now at her home.

104. In detailed facts for the **Ohio State Department of Taxation**: On 03-19-2013 I spoke with Jennifer an attorney for the Ohio Department of Taxation who was extremely helpful in informing me how the IRS has falsified my income in order to inflate the Plaintiffs Ohio State Income Taxes. Ohio General Attorney, Jennifer Zapp informed the Plaintiff that the IRS reported that they conducted an audit in December 2009 AND THE IRS adjusted my income from the first false audit results reported in late 2009 by Traci Thornton who falsely adjusted my income from 59525 (FALSELY since I had proven All scheduled deductions for my 2007 tax returns), to an income of 73785.00 which was not true nor valid for which an IRS Advocate Ms. Jenkins from the Cleveland Ohio IRS office, assigned to the Plaintiff through the Treasury Inspector Generals office after the Plaintiff contacted the Treasury Inspector General and filed a formal complaint about IRS Agent Traci Thornton and supplied all proof of the Plaintiffs 2007 Scheduled deductions and taxes. IRS Advocate and Agent attempted to have THIS TAX FRAUD reversed and the Plaintiffs refunds returned to her for nearly four years without any (IRS) internal agents willing to release the money forcibly paid by the Plaintiff for years as the Plaintiff did not want to place herself in any collections or further harms from the IRS or their Agents. IRS Advocate Jenkins informed the Plaintiff repeatedly and on LETTER AFTER LETTER that not one IRS Agent felt they had the powers TO RETURN THE PLAINTIFFS MONEY or even grant assistance from any IRS agent or office (starting with the Cleveland

Ohio IRS office personnel) as it became unbelievable to her that within the IRS office, agents were not willing return the refunds of the Plaintiff that were fraudulently being taken, and stop the stress of the Plaintiff, stop the undue collections by random attorneys and or correct the false audit results from tax year 2007. Ms. Jenkins stated that she could not believe that every agent that she forwarded the Plaintiffs 2007 returns, scheduled deductions and proof documents to, turned their backs on correcting a huge error as I, the Plaintiff was being forced to continue PAYING MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS TO THE IRS AND TO THE STATE OF OHIO paid monthly for was fraud and ABUSE OF POWERS by a group as a CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE. As I, the Plaintiff continued to attempt to also find resolution I contacted The State of Ohio Department of Taxation attorney Jennifer Zapp who then stated that someone reported that they (the IRS) conducted another audit in 2012 and inflated my income (the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles) again for which she considered fraud again. She stated the IRS increased my income again for 2007 again in December 2012, and only to the State of Ohio income system to 98000.00 (not on the Federal tax which proved that this appeared to be IRS fraud). She said that action was fraud and instructed the Plaintiff to request an IRS Tax Transcript covering all years to 2013, and the Plaintiff did as suggested. I contacted the State of Ohio Department of Taxation and spoke with a Mr. Gregory Beasley who also found the action fraudulent and gave me (the Plaintiff) instructions on how to obtain my IRS Tax Transcripts and for a broad range of years to that current year and the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles did as instructed. The Plaintiff received the IRS Federal Transcripts and what was stated by both Attorney Zapp from the Ohio Attorney Generals office and from Gregory Beasley of the Ohio Department of Taxation were correct, someone in the IRS office had falsely inflated the Plaintiffs income ONLY ON THE STATE OF OHIO SIDE in December 2012 to 98000 without proof of any such income. The Plaintiff was still fighting the false and incorrect IRS audit conducted by Agent Traci Thornton in December 2008 (for tax year 2006 and 2007), that was completed in February 2009 yet the Agent Traci Thornton did not mail the results until late 2009 after the Plaintiff had submitted an invoice for the requested free books that IRS Agent Traci Thornton requested and later stated to the Plaintiff that the books were for herself (IRS Agent Thornton) and her mother, The Plaintiff who had authored these books at her own expense through her own Publishing company sent a zero invoice for IRS Agent Thorntons' signature to account for the inventory.

105. After receiving the IRS payment transcripts, The Plaintiff submitted the transcripts to the State of Ohio Department of Taxation to prove that her income for 2007 did not change and they, THE STATE OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION removed the false 2012 assessment at that time. However, the collections activity continues to today 2015 against 2007 as SOMEONE CONTIUES TO TARGET THE PLAINTIFF AND ADD ADDITIONAL MONEIES DUE along with stating that the Plaintiff owes taxes for 2007 and 2011 for which all were paid even though the Plaintiff knew she did not owe for the fraud. The Plaintiff did owe for 2011 and was informed by the IRS that they took the Plaintiff 2011 refund and applied it to the 2011 amount owed all within that current year.

106. There is a tax lien on the Plaintiff credit report for the false reported tax lien and the Judge has refused to Dismiss the case even as the Plaintiff supplied a Motion to Dismiss and proved that she did not owe any taxes, and have not received any bills from either the State of Ohio Department of Taxation of the IRS.

No information from either the IRS or State of Ohio Department of Taxation was ever reported to the Plaintiff in order to defend or pay, even though it was a false claim by the IRS for 2007 twice.

107. Defendant the IRS, agents Glenda Leon and John Heini were the last IRS Agents to review the Plaintiff 2007 Taxes and Scheduled deductions and found no errors PER IRS ADVOCATE MS. JENKINS, yet they too refused to stop the continued financial distress,

collections and harms against the Plaintiff. IRS Advocate Ms. Jenkins went even further and stated that she had never see such behavior from an Agent as in IRS Glenda Leon as she was responsible to inflating the Plaintiff income in 2012 to 98000.00 after contacting one of the Plaintiffs unethical former technology clients who was responsible for contract fraud. When IRS Agent Glenda Leon could not find any errors in the Plaintiffs 2007 taxes or Scheduled deductions she contacted a former client to ask that client about the Plaintiff tax filings status which was unethical and beyond IRS tax filing laws as the Plaintiffs filing status had nothing to do with one independent contract with one of her clients. The Plaintiff had ALWAYS complied and filed her taxes correctly and per IRS filing tax rules, regulations and laws.

108. **IRS Advocate Ms. Jenkins** expressed anger in this last unethical act against the Plaintiff and sent the Plaintiff proof of IRS Agents Glenda Leon's unethical request from this unethical contractor. The Plaintiff then Appealed IRS Glenda Leon's decision and it was assigned to a very rude Appeals Agent John Heini who waited nearly nine months before speaking to the Plaintiff and refused to listed to her saying he agreed with what ever IRS Agent Leon stated the said if the Plaintiff did not like what she was being told by him, Appeals Agent Heini then file a lawsuit this was 2014 and is a result of the Criminal Enterprise of IRS Agents who have and are targeting the Plaintiff where even today the Plaintiff is still being randomly hounded by collection attorneys that she continues to provide that she did not owe, along with all the communications and each attorney sent to the Plaintiff by the Ohio Attorney Generals office refused to continue to collect against the Plaintiff, yet one attorney directly from the Attorney Generals office went and filed for a Certificate of Judgment and received judgment on the same day against the Plaintiff. The financial distress, harassment and stress continues through to today March 2015 as the Plaintiff has spoken with several Ohio Department of Taxation supervisors, all who were to have been correcting these errors since August 2014 and still have not completed this process as the Plaintiff is still being harassed by attorneys collecting on the false Ohio State Tax increase and now some other years that the Plaintiff is not aware. All a part of a Criminal Enterprise group of unethical government funded groups, individuals and agencies targeting the Plaintiff.
109. In an attempt to stop the false collections activity the Plaintiff filed a formal compliant with the **Defendant The Ohio Attorney Generals office** to no avail. Nothing was done accept to refer the Plaintiff back to the Ohio Department of Taxation and to the State Attorney General for Tax Collections. The Plaintiff presented the proof that she did not owe the **Defendant State of Ohio Department of Taxation any additional dollars and provided proof that the tax returns were filed correctly**, yet to this date in March 2015 Collections activities continue against the Plaintiff for taxes paid and money left pending with the State of Ohio and posted to the incorrect year.
110. **To this day March 2015; the Plaintiff is still in discussion with the Ohio Attorney Generals office for Collections, a Mr. Littlefield**, as she is trying to find out what amounts continue to change or be inflated and what is now owed, even in fraud to anyone as the Plaintiff just received another collections call one week ago from another Attorney collecting for the State of Ohio who after listening to the Plaintiff and reading the notes from the Ohio Department of Taxation that they are holding the Plaintiffs 2011 tax payment in a pending status and that the State of Ohio had rejected the IRS false inflation of the Plaintiffs income because it was not reported to the Federal nor appeared to be a true audit.
111. **Defendant The Ohio Department of Taxation:** Also regarding the Ohio Department of Taxation, the IRS held my 2011 tax refund for that year and sent it to the Ohio Department of Taxation, while the Plaintiff did owe taxes for 2011 for the State of Ohio. The Plaintiff was informed IN WRITTING by the IRS (as they were taking all of the Plaintiffs refunds from 2009 on) that the 2011 refund would be sent to the Ohio Department of Taxation for the taxes the

Plaintiff did owe to the state for 2011.

112. Somehow, and per a Supervisor Toni ID#10020887 with the Ohio Department of Taxation that the Ohio Department of Taxation has holding my payment of \$2083.00 sent for 2011 from the IRS being held in a PENDING STATUS for now for nearly four years and was pending against tax year 2007 for which the Ohio Department of Taxation found no money owed and informed me that they were going to refund the money to me as EVEN IN THE NEXT TAX YEAR OF 2012 THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION SENT ME A REFUND OF NEARLY \$700.00. The 'pending status' of the \$2083.00 was told to me in August 2014 by the Supervisor 'Toni' for which she stated she would but through the correction and have it applied to the correct year and all penalties remove. Supervisor Toni did her job but called me for nearly a month informing me that the person responsible for correcting the error and applying the money to 2011 had not done so even after two months had passed. I continued to informed the Ohio Attorney General (with updates and contact names) of Collections Mr. Littlefield, Executive Assistant to the Chief of Collections so he stopped the collections activity, yet the State of Ohio Department of Taxation never corrected the 2007 that was already (OVERPAID as the Plaintiff paid to comply to the IRS fraudulent 2007 Audit). Now The Plaintiff was being informed that the 2011 tax payment was still not yet applied so collections activity continued as misinformation was still being sent to the State of Ohio Department of Taxation regarding the Plaintiffs taxes to RANDOM State Tax representatives.
113. Lastly, I did not owe the inflated 2007 taxes yet I paid both the Federal and State inflated amounts of fraud by IRS Agent Traci Thornton (and other IRS Agents who refused to correct and release the Plaintiffs monies and liens and false information to the State of Ohio Department of Taxation), now the Plaintiff is still being harassed and financially ruined with collections activity, part of 'Joint Targeting' (of individuals) the targeting process that never ends with the DOD/DOJ/IRS, Attorney Generals office and others with this defined Criminal Enterprise.
114. **Defendant the Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al.-** While still In December 2008, The Plaintiff then contacted the Cleveland FBI, to ask if there was any investigation being conducted that involved her, that would be resulting in groups of vehicles following her. In the Plaintiff profession, and having worked to build court system she understood a little about the law and clearly understand that if multiple vehicles, white and black individuals are targeting her and her vehicle; following and stalking her every move, then that person being targeted was under some type of surveillance of some reason. But instead, the FBI stated to the PLAINTIFF that they were not investigating the Plaintiff either.
115. **The lack of action by Defendant Cleveland FBI and the Cleveland Heights local police and detective bureau to stop this harassment, targeting and otherwise placed the Plaintiff life in danger as these drivers targeting the Plaintiff by vehicles and on foot, were becoming more aggressive and not only impeding her vehicle but also driving her off the roads, into curves and nearly causing the Plaintiff the hit parked vehicles as she attempted to maneuver around the many vehicles attacking her car as she drove.**
116. Still within 2008/2009 Ultimately these city workers, lead by the police, in video after video, and the police and fire department employees along with city workers began to incited greater violence against the Plaintiff as they targeted the Plaintiff with the use of other city workers, community policing groups, Fusion centers, individuals appearing at the Plaintiff home with out-of-state license plates, retired military and retired Air Force license plates, and even gang-members including those with tattooed heads and Nazi symbols tattooed on their heads as they surrounded the Plaintiff in their vehicles and on foot, and directly into buildings that the Plaintiff entered. Those targeting the Plaintiff even included those with law enforcement badges

on their city and personal vehicle license plates as they targeted, stalked and harassed the Plaintiff at her home, as she walked, and on the roads daily (as recorded in video and audio).

117. **The inciting of Cleveland Heights Ohio City Workers (Fire Chiefs included) and others went as far as have city workers park their city vehicles to block the Plaintiff entire driveway (photographed and video), to park city trucks in front of the Plaintiff home and stand looking into her windows in the dead of winter, parked on a two lane road, only going in one direction and blocking traffic as though the Plaintiff was a criminal. These actions went further, the fire department would park their large fire trucks against the Plaintiff garage door in the middle of the two car driveway and leave the vehicle there. When the Plaintiff requested that the vehicle be moved she was rudely yelled at by local police, all to harass, impede the Plaintiff movement and humiliate her to the highest.**
118. Aggressively in 2008/2009 The City of Cleveland Heights police, fire and city workers harassed had slandered the Plaintiff by indicating to the neighbors (videoed), and to the community that THE PLAINTIFF was under surveillance which incited violence against her; harassment, impeding of her vehicle and now the engagement of other community groups to engage in the same harassment as THE PLAINTIFF attempted to live, walk or drive anywhere in the city or surrounding cities. The police incited violence in those who repeatedly drove the Plaintiff off the roads, against curves, or attempted to swarm her vehicle in large trucks; blocking her from driving her vehicle by multiple vehicles stopped around the Plaintiff at green lights, as THE PLAINTIFF attempted to get away from these criminals that also included police vehicles in the groups. They repeatedly drove her off the roads and into multiple curves damaging her vehicle and wheel covers. They dented and scratched her vehicle with parked as well.
119. In 2009 It a series of drivers in three different vehicles followed the Plaintiff for hours as she shopped for the December Christmas holiday. This vehicles followed the Plaintiff from one store to another for hours and made it known that they were following or stalking her. Not understanding these actions but becoming worried and concerned the Plaintiff drove nearly 20 miles away from her home in order to not allow these three vehicles to follow her to her home. In an attempt to hide her vehicle in an entirely different neighborhood, the Plaintiff was found by one of the vehicles and this scared the Plaintiff severely. The Plaintiff then tried to get home with the least about of attention but now had nearly 20 vehicles now also stalking her for which none of this made any sense.
120. When the Plaintiff arrived home she began to look out her windows to now see the same three vehicles along with others now driving back and forth in front of her home, even pulling into her driveway that terrorized the Plaintiff. Who would be doing this and for what reason. The Plaintiff immediately phoned the FBI to report the incident and to ask if she was under investigation for any reason that she might not be aware. The reply from the woman at the Cleveland FBTHE PLAINTIFF office was quote 'If we had you under investigation and were following you, you would not know it', she laughed and told the Plaintiff to file a police report with the local Cleveland Heights Police department.
121. The Plaintiff filed the report and was assigned to a Cleveland Heights Detective Green who stated that he needed for me to list all of her clients that THE PLAINTIFF had worked for and bring that information back to his office. The Plaintiff left the Cleveland Heights Police office and within 24 hours the Plaintiff received a phone call from the Cleveland Metro Health Rescue. The Plaintiff thought this was a swat team to assist her, but was instead informed by the female representative that Detective Green had reported to her office that the Plaintiff was in

distress and suicidal and required mental health. THE PLAINTIFF was floored and responded that there was no such issue and that their services were not needed and that she had better remove her name (the Plaintiff) out of their system as such false mental health information could and would result in damage against the Plaintiff representations. This action also indicated that there was something greater than the stalking and harassment that the Plaintiff was beginning to see as it was increasing right in front of her home. This was December 2008.

122. By January 2009 the Plaintiff contacted an attorney and obtained private detectives to assist in investigating why she was being tormented, harassed and assaulted on the roadways. The Plaintiff had filed three police reports by February 2009 against several of the Cleveland Heights police after they were caught on video and photographed in the acts of committing hate crimes and inciting groups to harass the Plaintiff on her property, in front of her door, and recorded in her driveway. Now the Plaintiff attacks, assaults and harassment escalating even on the roadways by strangers and police officers, and by local police pulling the Plaintiff over with flashing emergency lights (brightening lights affecting the Plaintiff night vision) then they would sit behind her vehicle, never approaching her car, just forcing her to pull over and stop (during the day and night) to terrorize the Plaintiff, then drive off without any explanation. Immediately then after the assaults to the Plaintiff body and entire home was being splattered with Microwave Radiation also known as Electronic Harassment by Electromagnetic Frequencies and levels that burned the Plaintiff skin and caused her hair to begin to fall out from the root.
123. Later in 2009 after the third filing of a police report, against the Cleveland Heights Police was presented to the Cleveland Heights Chief of Police, the Plaintiff home was spray painted with the letters KKK.
124. In addition the Plaintiff was being stalked to every client meeting and was losing technology work. Even if the technology contract was offered at the close of the meeting, it was later withdrawn with reason of cause as the Plaintiff saw the same two men following her to every interview and potential contract meeting. Prior to this targeting and stalking of the Plaintiff she had a waiting list of clients requiring her expertise in technology, it was not lost and so began the process of the loss of her home as well.
125. In 2009 the Plaintiff filed a formal complaint with the Department of Defense, Department of Justice regarding the targeting her local police and groups and the hate crimes committed against her property. The Department of Justice stated they would not address the police harassment but would address the spray painting of her home with the letters KKK all of which were terror attacks against the Plaintiff without cause.
126. With the Plaintiff not understanding the term of 'government, community oriented policing, or organized police targeting' or even 'Joint Targeting of Individuals' which were the series of acts, and damages to her property, the Plaintiff considered the incidents as vandalism by adults, and kids, and as a series of bad luck incidents, as the Plaintiff did not understand the acts of actually being 'targeted' by electronic weapons, targeting by neighborhood groups, community policing and targeting by local police as later evidence was discovered in government document and training manuals, recorded and produced which was proof of a wider involvement against the Plaintiff and the Citizens of this country.
127. **Around the Fall of 2005, the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles** was working as a contractual IT consultant remotely from home and in the state of New York when she received a phone call from a collection office by the name of **WELTMAN, WEINBERG & REIS CO., and was**

informed that they were a collection agency for the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio department of taxation and that an amount of \$52.10 for tax year 2002 was now sent to them for collections. As Plaintiff, she found that to be a very strange call however, she paid the \$52.10 by bankcard over the phone. One month later, the Plaintiff received another phone call from the same attorneys office and collection agency, this time requesting \$178.00 from what they stated was a balance due from year 2002 as interest. At this point the Plaintiff became quite concern yet paid the amount over the phone again and requested a receipt be mailed to her home. The Plaintiff also contacted the city of Cleveland Heights department of taxation to request a print out of all taxes paid from year 2000 to that current year 2005 for which she had paid all taxes each year. As Plaintiff, and while reviewing each year against each tax payment made by her, she found multiple irregularities in the process in which the city of Cleveland Heights processed the taxes for all residents. The \$52.10 was added to the Plaintiff taxes by someone three years after all taxes were paid and the payment to Weltman, Weinberg, and Reis (an Attorney Stanley Green) was never posted to her payment history for that tax year, nor was it ever owed. The city had a procedure of deleting/ removing/ (banking) all credit balances (thus any over payments) paid by residence then randomly billing strange amounts **ALL CURRENTLY DISPLAYED ON THE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO THE PLAINTIFF BY THE CITY FINANCE CLERK.**

128. The Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, **did not owe anything, yet she was being forced to pay these random amounts added by the city department of taxation.** It did not end there. The Plaintiff attempted to contact the city finance director and provided proof that she did not owe any money instead during her meeting with the finance director and the city collections Attorney Greene, the finance director stood directly in the face of the Plaintiff, yelled, and pushed the conference table into her chest and walked out of the meeting. The Plaintiff could not believe a professional could act in such a manner and this was the first time she had ever spoken with this man in an attempt to resolve this matter. The finance director a Mr. Thomas Malone then filed a garnishment and withdrew money from the Plaintiff bank account without notice and proper court filings. It did not stop there, the Plaintiff filed all necessary court documents to prove that she did not own money, she appeared in their court hearings nearly five times responding to documents or filing new documents to prove payments were made; and the city, judges, finance director and Attorney Greene not only took the Plaintiff money, they requested more money, she was forced to make an additional \$1000.00 plus payment that was finally proven that she never own any money.
129. The importance of noting this theft of city residents money due to a faulty system was that in May of 2009 the Plaintiff now recording and videoing the actions of the police and those the incited into damages against the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff listened and recorded two local police officers in front of her home discussing the Plaintiffs life, finances, family information and that **'THEY' the Cleveland Heights police had been targeting her the Plaintiff since 2005.** They laughed and stated that 'she' the Plaintiff thought we began targeting her in December 2008 but she is wrong. They went further on recording to state that they did not believe that 'she' (THE PLAINTIFF) could afford her home **as a black single woman**, and they did not believe how she earned her income', even though the Plaintiff had earned as much as a six-figure income working as an independent technology consultant and processing mortgages as a part-time job.
130. The Plaintiff began to start to hear police and fire truck sirens that would only be turned on as they pass her home, then turned off every night and day at approximately the same times that were later recorded as well by the Plaintiff. More trash was now being thrown in the Plaintiff yard daily and her nearly fifteen foot tall evergreen trees were poisoned suddenly. In less than six months, four evergreen trees planted in 1999 were dead or dying. It did not end there, more broken glass bottles were broken almost daily at the end of the Plaintiff driveway and dead animals were being placed in front of the Plaintiff garage door and on her back patio.

131. The Plaintiff also began to experience harassment by police and fire truck sirens passing loudly on in front of her home every morning at the same time along with the same actions while she was driving, nearly each day, that caused her to pull over as she drove through the city of Cleveland Heights, the police car would most times pull directly behind the Plaintiff as though she was being requested to pull over, then just pull off without making any comment to the Plaintiff. Increased strange noises began around the outside of her home, sounds of banging on the sides of her home and people walking around her backyard triggering the motion sensors. Many occurrences happening all at once without explanation as the Plaintiff came to prove that she did not owe additional taxes and to prove the city's bookkeeping irregularities in how the city of Cleveland Heights was processing residence income tax payments as credit balances by removing/deleting/transferring/stealing them at the end of each calendar year. **This case lasted from 2005 to 2006**
132. The judge's final statement to the collection attorney Green, the court and to the Plaintiff was, (as this case had now taken nearly a year and a half later) **you can just apply the credit balance of the over \$1000.00 to your next years taxes.** The Plaintiff was left with a bank garnishment and a civil action against her credit that the city of Cleveland Heights taxation and court refused to remove. The Plaintiff did not understand the importance of this horrible incident, which was a form of 'targeting' as additional incidents did follow.
133. **In January 2009, the Plaintiff phoned and scheduled a meeting with the then Chief of Police Martin Lentz to present video recordings of police and firefighters in the acts of targeting,** harassment by brightening (shining bright lights into her bedroom windows at 2 and 3am), and inciting others in the acts of harassing the Plaintiff. Chief Lentz refused to meet the Plaintiff and forwarded the Plaintiff to the records Captain instead of meeting with the Plaintiff and the targeting increased to road assaults and increased direct energy weapons attacks into the Plaintiff home. More electrical components became damaged and the Plaintiff began to fear even more for her life and her family.
134. As recorded in video and video, these groups, lead by police incited violence and damage to the Plaintiff home and created 24 hours of military 'Theater' (as THE PLAINTIFF knows it to be today) around her home with the banging on the sides of her home, and multiple men walking around her home making loud noises in the early dark morning hours, even the placing of gas generators outside the Plaintiff bedroom (recorded and photographed) window to run at early morning hours; the occupying of abandoned homes around the Plaintiff used to create a 'noise campaign' throughout the nights to create fear and sleep deprivation and to also place direct energy and electromagnetic pulse weapons that created rapid increased heart rates and pulses to the Plaintiff heart and nervous system; while inflicting high levels of direct heat into her home that resulted in cracks in the walls so severe where the nearly ten year old drywall began to display nail-pops coming out of the drywall due to the constant attacks of high direct energy weapons. These direct energy weapons were pointed into the Plaintiff home from surrounding homes of neighbors and included vacant homes as well, **with one weapon videoed** that was placed in an abandoned home garage window directly behind the Plaintiff home.
135. Ohio Statutes regarding law enforcement are some of the oldest in the nation and do not provide for a centralized professional review, called an External Professional Review. Currently, these statutes give each community "Home Rule." This means that local police review themselves with bias at the departmental level, called an Internal Organizational Review. The local chief then passes judgment on the performance of his organization, his own policies, and his own abilities. There are no external checks and balances to maintain objectivity in the current investigative process. There are no elected officials who are held directly accountable for law enforcement culture. District attorneys work daily with officers on investigations and are

reluctant to upset the close relationship established among them. Additionally, they do not want to put voter or police union support at risk with a coroner's inquest or charges against officers. Local police and fire commissioners are appointed by a community leader and are reluctant to speak against the city or the authority of the District Attorney or police chief. These individuals do not have the skills or training to review police shooting, harassment or misconduct investigations.

136. The "Blue Code of Silence" is described as an unwritten rule among police officers in the United States not to report on another colleague's errors, misconducts or crimes.

137. In 2001, the Patriot Act was put in place to protect America from acts of terrorism, but many in Congress failed to read the act before it was passed. The Patriot Act is used by all departments of the Federal and State governments, so a formal complaint was also filed against U.S. Patriot Act Abuses and filed directly with the office of the Secretary of State Hillary Clinton that went unanswered.

138. The same would apply to the many Senate Appropriations Budgets to the military, special forces, joint forces, government contractors and the thousands of government sub-contractors all engaged in the development, testing and evaluation of what is defined as (WMD) 'weapons of mass destruction'; Senators and Special Committees who are responsible for oversight, signing and authorizing the unauthorized testing and evaluation of war weapons and technology on those 'targeted for surveillance', and defined as 'U.S. persons' as defined in DOD Directive 5240.1-; and with concerns expressed in a letter in 1994 to then President Clinton by a former, Army Intelligence Officer Julianne McKinney. Who at that time expressed concern of the miss-use and lack of oversight of such a directive that would affect our entire nation as private companies, government contractors, sub-contractors, military and others presume the development of war weapons that may never be used, yet tested daily on 'unwitting' (unknowledgeable) U.S. person as defined in this directive NOT LAW.

J. Defense Directive 5240.1-r – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation for Intelligence Purposes (on all U.S. Persons and others)

139. This DOD Directive 5240.1-r, found by the Plaintiff in 2014 is being utilized to quote 'commit permanent physical injury and permanent psychological damage' to all U.S. Persons. The DoD Directive 5240.1-R – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation on U.S. Persons for Intelligence purposes sets forth an age old directive governing the activities of DOD intelligence components that affect all United States persons as and others on U.S. soil, stating in Procedure 13 - . It implements DoD Directive 5240.1, and replaces the November 30, 1979 version of DoD Regulation 5240.1-R. It is applicable to all DoD intelligence components. Executive Order 12333, "United States intelligence activities" stipulates that certain activities of intelligence components that affect U.S. persons by governed by procedures issued by the **agency head** and **approved by the attorney general.** These procedures therein are **approved for use within the Department of Defense.**

From DOD directive 5240.1-r

C13. CHAPTER 13

PROCEDURE 13. EXPERIMENTATION ON HUMAN SUBJECTS FOR INTELLIGENCE PURPOSES

C13.1. APPLICABILITY

This procedure applies to experimentation on human subjects if such experimentation is conducted by or on behalf of a DoD intelligence component. This procedure does not apply to experimentation on animal subjects.

C13.2. EXPLANATION OF UNDEFINED TERMS

C13.2.1. Experimentation in this context means any research or testing activity involving human subjects that may expose such subjects to the possibility of permanent or temporary injury (including physical or psychological damage and damage to the reputation of such persons) beyond the risks of injury to which such subjects are ordinarily exposed in their daily lives.

C13.2.2. Experimentation is conducted on behalf of a DoD intelligence component if it is conducted under contract to that component or to another DoD Component for the benefit of the intelligence component or at the request of such a component regardless of the existence of a contractual relationship.

C13.2.3. Human subjects in this context includes any person whether or not such person is a United States person.

Heads of DOD components shall issue such implementing instructions as may be necessary for the conduct of authorized functions in a manner consistent with the procedures set forth herein on 'unwitting' U.S. persons and those who grant consent to conduct human experimentation on their body; those who are placed under surveillance.

Comments from the Plaintiff: This entirely is a misnomer; placed under surveillance, yet grant authorization for the testing of weapons on your body....or be an 'unwitting' participant,; meaning 'you are unaware of the ill-effects, damages or health issues caused by your body chosen for testing. A statement such as this has but BILLIONS of U.S. citizens at risk and continues to place them at risk..

140. On August 23, 2010 the Plaintiff Darlene Miles filed a formal complaint with the Department of Justice in hopes of stopping the attacks, assaults and health damages that continue to escalate. This complaint was entitled the following: **'Complaint filing of Civil Rights Violations (Criminal Division) against the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio' and assigned the following file number (File#DJ 144-57-0)**. In filing this Complaint the Plaintiff was attempting to following the Federal rules to address police brutality and citizen abuse yet the attacks only escalated. The Plaintiff, later Amended her complaint as her injuries increased and that Amendment was issued on January 23, 2011 and mailed and emailed to the following individuals; **Robert Moossy, DOJ Acting Chief, Mat Nosachuk, Senior Counselor at the United States Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division – Criminal Section, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Office of the Assistant General, Main Washington D.C., 20530.**

141. **In December 2008, there was an opportunity to stop the Plaintiff targeting, attacks and assaults yet these city workers, police and detectives of the city of Cleveland Heights chose destruction of the Plaintiff life and property instead.** These city defendants, lead by the City of Cleveland Heights police and detectives refused to investigate the Plaintiff initial police report, that reported that THE PLAINTIFF was being followed, then targeted by multiple vehicles for hours through multiple cities and directly to her home. There was **CLEARLY** an opportunity for the city of Cleveland Heights police to stop the torturous acts that followed if only they would have investigated the initial report rather than to negate it where the assaults and attacks there after, escalated.

142. Immediately after the Plaintiff police report was rejected by the police and city detectives, a team of white Suburban's, a white Spinner-looking SUV, and a white Cadillac, all with dark tinted windows appeared in front of the Plaintiff home and driveway at approximately 3am in the morning and made noises until the PLAINTIFF walked to the window. These men then got out of there vehicles looking up to the Plaintiff bedroom upper windows and stared at the Plaintiff as she watched them. This action created severe fear and distress as was apparently the objectives of these four men at such an hour. After in front of the Plaintiff house, then getting out of their vehicles to just stand and look up at the bedroom windows the created fear in the Plaintiff if she were to leave her home. They then drive to neighbors house and turned around in her driveway and drove back on the wrong side of the street so that they could drive past the Plaintiff home again. This act also concerned the Plaintiff, because the neighbor was elderly and always watching, yet she was asked about the vehicles and stated that she saw nothing.
143. The next day a white SUV drove into the Plaintiff driveway in broad daylight early morning approximately 6am, opened the Plaintiff mailbox (which had direct access into the Plaintiff garage) while the same neighbors daughter was in their driveway. When THE PLAINTIFF asked the neighbor what she saw during the incident, she said nothing. The Plaintiff found that strange since the action was in plain view of the neighbor.
144. The PLAINTIFF did not understand the obvious denial of such targeting acts at that time but does now as of 2012; this is what is called 'GAS LIGHTING', denying the actual criminal acts, harassment or targeting to make the target appear delusional.
145. The reckless actions of the Cleveland Heights police by not investigating or stopping the attacks against the Plaintiff by negating by their 'Code of Blue' relationship between the officers creating criminal acts against the Plaintiff incited the hell that followed in the life of the Plaintiff.
146. The initial report of being followed and stalked by multiple vehicles, (which clearly was their strategy to be reckless and to criminally destruct the life of the Plaintiff) lead to the eventual escalated attacks, targeting, assaults and surveillance as more vehicles and weapons became turned against the Plaintiff and her property. Rather than to have investigated the vehicle license plates that the PLAINTIFF provided, Cleveland Heights police and Detective Carl Green called (within 24 hours) the Cleveland Metro Health Rescue and reported to them that the PLAINTIFF was suicidal and in distress. When the PLAINTIFF informed the Cleveland Metro Health Rescue that she was not suicidal, they continued to call her home for days stating the same; that were informed that she was suicidal and wanted to visit the PLAINTIFF at her home as continued to refuse.
147. When the Cleveland Heights Detectives request to Cleveland Metro Health Rescue did not work, he contacted a family member of the Plaintiff to request that that family member sign an authorization to sign to commit the Plaintiff to the Cleveland Metro Health facility and be placed under observation in a mental institution... That family member also refused. These many actions caused the Plaintiff serious damages, fear and pause; that this detective Green and the police of Cleveland Heights go to such lengths and would commit such dangerous destructive acts that exposed Plaintiff to professional ruin, more danger, more harassment, assaults, and attacks by strangers and police.
148. In 2009 As the assaults and harassment became greater, the PLAINTIFF filed another police report directly with the Chief of Police and provided him video and photographs of his police in these acts against me. The Cleveland Heights Police Chief turned her request over to the Captain of police records (?) to investigate. No investigation was performed into the police

targeting of the Plaintiff life and their ongoing harassment and damages to her property being flooded, electrical damages to portions of her home and damages to several electrical appliances, and damage to her vehicle escalated by many as they were lead by the Cleveland Heights police. The Cleveland Heights police department, fire department and city workers all harassed, impeded her drive or drove me off the roads; they invaded the Plaintiff privacy as THE PLAINTIFF was even followed into her doctor's office.

149. These city workers intercepted, opened and delayed the Plaintiff mail and tampered with her phone lines and at times completely shut off her phone lines (as witnessed by several friends in the media and family members), **they harassed and followed every person that came to the Plaintiff home including friends that worked for the Department of Defense.** The City of Cleveland Heights police, fire and city employees did everything they could to damage the Plaintiff home, and cause deep intentional emotional distress while subjecting the Plaintiff to 24/7 targeting, surveillance assaults and harassment along with the constant damage to her home and the 24 hours a day and constant streaming assaults with direct energy weapons into every area of her home.
150. The Cleveland Heights Police, Fire, and city workers acted recklessly and dangerously as they made the greatest attempts to cause the Plaintiff death while subjecting her to electromagnetic war weapons that the PLAINTIFF had no idea what level of damage they were causing to her body but knew that they were pointed directly at the Plaintiff home and at all angles for surrounding homes.
151. With the assistance of a private investigation both the Plaintiff and the private Investigator witnessed, videoed and photographed the police in the acts of shining bright lights into the Plaintiff windows, bedroom windows, perform what the private investigator stated as a 'waltz around the Plaintiff home' to the hand- signaling and engaging of acts of stalking and surveillance as these people, lead by Cleveland Heights police assumed that the Plaintiff private investigator was one of their targeting group members; with their hand and hat signals of engagement as he too began to sit around her home and watch those harassing me.
152. After a period of investigation, 56 of those individuals creating the greater of assaults, harassment and targeting of the Plaintiff were sent letters to cease their illegal acts of harassment against the Plaintiff, however the attacks continued as replacements were sent in to replace those who received warning letters including police involvement. The city of Cleveland Heights police, fire and city workers impeded the Plaintiff civil and constitutional rights to peace as they gave the Plaintiff a sense of helplessness and hopelessness with no protection from harm that was repeatedly inflicted upon her life and property.
153. **Defendant, City of Cleveland Heights Ohio Detective Bureau**, this defendant was responsible for the investigation into the acts reported by the PLAINTIFF initially reported the acts of following, then organized harassment, road rage and group stalking, then escalation of Electronic Harassment and attacks as Directed and Microwave Energy at high levels were being shot in a mass into her entire home ; all that went uninvestigated by this city detective bureau. The detective bureau was handed the Plaintiff police report of being targeted by groups, and subjected to electronic energy harassment, followed and stalked to and around her home by multiple drivers, driven into the curbs and off the road and even followed by Cleveland Height detective as the detective bureau chose not to act nor investigate these actions against the Plaintiff.
154. Intentional harm was created with when the detective bureau contacted the Cleveland Metro Health rescue and **LIED AND FALSELY** inform the Cleveland Metro Health rescue that the PLAINTIFF was suicidal and in distress resulting in multiple phone calls from this agency

requesting that they visit the Plaintiff home because she was documented as depressed and suicidal, for which the Plaintiff had never claimed. Further intentional infliction of emotional distress and harm was caused by the Cleveland Heights Detective Bureau with they contacted a family member of the Plaintiff and insisted that that family member sign to have the Plaintiff be institutionalized for no apparent reason other than their fabrication of their lies and their 'Abuse of Powers' under the 'Color of Law'. The family member refused and stated that if the Plaintiff is reporting that she is being followed, then she is being followed and that family member refused to sign any such document to have the Plaintiff committed to any mental institution under false pretenses.

155. Shortly after the attempt to have the Plaintiff falsely placed in a mental institution for placing a police report regarding what the Plaintiff stated as 'being followed' by strangers and now an increased number of individuals where doing the say, the Plaintiff began to experience the direct influx of a laser energy as then defined as a 'heated directed energy' burning the Plaintiff through her windows and into every aspect of her home. The Plaintiff, after going out of the back of her home was able to use her had to find the direction of one of several directed laser attacks into her home. That one happened to be in a vacant home behind her home and placed in the garage window (AND WAS PHOTOGRAPHED) that was directed as a mass spray of directed microwave energy into the great room, kitchen and dining room of the Plaintiffs home.

K. GOVERNMENT SURPLUS WEAPONRY HANDED TO LOCAL POLICE

156. The location of one of many of the 'GOVERNMENT SURPLUS WEAPONRY' as directed energy weapons that were supplied to local police was not recorded as pointed directly into the Plaintiffs home and burning her from the inside out. **A constant radiating burning frequency was being shot and splattered into her home twenty-fours a day, burning the Plaintiffs entire body,** even as these frequencies were being shot from surrounding homes as well, this one location, a garage directly in the backyard of the Plaintiff home had a direct wireless stream of radiating burning frequencies shooting directly at the Plaintiffs home. The Plaintiff was able to follow the stream and photograph the wireless device in the garage window pointing into the home of the Plaintiffs at the Monticello Blvd, Cleveland Ohio address.
157. With the Plaintiff now, in 2015, being unaware of the systematic steps of targeting civilians by acts of illegal unwarranted surveillance and inhumane experimentation, assaults and physical and psychological attacks, the PLAINTIFF was now aware of these methods had began to be put in place for further the demise of her life and the loss of her career and property, in order to force her into isolation for my torture. There was a clear opportunity for the detectives as assigned to investigate any of the number of reports that were filed by the Plaintiff and they did not investigate because of their direct involvement in the crimes against the Plaintiff; the intentionally inflicted further unconstitutional actions against the Plaintiff by the many individuals that followed their directions.
158. Without any police support the Plaintiff life was systematically ruined. The Plaintiff was following the Plaintiff while driving in groups of individuals, the Plaintiff was stalked everywhere she went even to her doctors office, she was being driven off the roads and highways and into the curbs damaging her rims and tires, and harassed everywhere she went **even to interviews with potential clients where the meetings prior to this stalking were always successful** and offers made of employment. Now with men stalking the Plaintiff into office building to see who I was meeting with all offers for work were being later withdrawn without reason even if an offer was made to the Plaintiff or a contract was signed during the meeting, the Plaintiff watched several men following her to every meeting into the buildings, stalking her

from floor to floor to see where she was meeting, and awaited the Plaintiff departure from these meetings.

159. Prior to these organized stalking and targeting actions against the Plaintiff possible clients, She was never refused work. The Plaintiff always had awaiting consultant firms or prior clients waiting her contractual availability, now the Plaintiff could not work anywhere and could not gain a contract for the entire 2009 year, the first time this had ever occurred in her professional life of working in technology.
160. In an attempt to follow procedure to report these crimes that were being caused by local police and community policing groups the PLAINTIFF contacted the Cuyahoga County Sheriff office and presented video and photographs of the many actions against her involving police and others. It was enough information for the Sheriff Captain to begin an investigation into the police of the city of Cleveland Heights. His investigation was ceased when his boss was replaced, as he (the Captain in charge) lost his job as well. The PLAINTIFF was left again on her own to live through this daily torture.
161. **In February 2009**, in further harassment of the Plaintiff, city service workers began parking their city service trucks sideways in front of the Plaintiff home to block exit or entry of her driveway. After parking their city trucks (with city emblem displayed) they would just walked away, impeding the Plaintiff ability to drive her vehicle out of her driveway. There was no reason for these worker to be at the home of the Plaintiff, or to have parked there city trucks to block the Plaintiff driveway, then walk away leaving the entire driveway impeded. This act was video and the audio recording that resulted was a conversation with a city supervisor that (in recording) stated that there was no reason for these workers to be at the Plaintiff home, much less to park a truck to impede her ability to leave her home.
162. **Defendants, City of Cleveland Heights Ohio Police Chief Martin Lentz**, and unnamed co-conspirators in the Police and Fire Departments – May 18, 2009 the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles had a series of audio and video camera position around her home. On this date, she recorded the conversation of the Cleveland Height police officers as they engaged workers in a vacant home next door in her harassment. In this discussion, these city police informed these workers why they were targeting the Plaintiff: stating, amongst other statements, that the Plaintiff was being targeted because they (the police) did not believe that she, the Plaintiff should have been able to build her home in the city of Cleveland Heights. The statements on to include several personal statements about the Plaintiff past fiancé, the Plaintiff income and family history. Where the Plaintiff was now being subjected to assaults, attacks by strangers, police harassment and surveillance now to have to listen to their blatantly strategies of engaging other it became clear that the police of Cleveland Heights were the catalyst of the harassment. The Plaintiff continued to be harassed, stalked, they impeded her vehicle, and assaulted me while targeting me for no reason and placed me under continued 24 hour a day unconstitutional surveillance (as videoed and photographed). For months, the Cleveland Heights Fire department employees would drive past her home and only turn on their siren when they were passing her house. They did this day after day, month after month and at approximately the same time of day or night. This was bizarre and was not the only act, both the police and fire trucks and vehicles would quickly turn on their sirens as THE PLAINTIFF drove though the city causing me to pull over, then they would turn them off and drive away. After a while, the actions became more bizarre. Not understanding the acts of organized targeting her community policing groups, fusion centers, and police THE PLAINTIFF negated these actions but clearly began to realize that they were targeted at me. After multiple incidents reported to her by neighbors of men at her home while THE PLAINTIFF was away working, or even breaking and entering of her home while THE PLAINTIFF was at work THE PLAINTIFF was forced to pay more attention.

163. **Defendant, City of Cleveland Heights Ohio City Prosecutor Kim T. Segebarth –** After many months of being subjected to attacks, assaults, harassment and direct energy attacks to the Plaintiff body and directly into her home, and the Plaintiff escalation in police reports and the contacting of the Cuyahoga County Sheriff to investigate police harassment by the Cleveland Heights Police and Fire employees, **this city prosecutor sent the Plaintiff a false summons and demand that the PLAINTIFF come into his office or be arrested on a false allegation that the PLAINTIFF was stalking a person that THE PLAINTIFF clearly did not know but was found to have been a part of the group lead by police who was actually stalking and harassing the Plaintiff.** This city prosecutor withdrew his complaint and summons when the Plaintiff submitted a copy of the letter emailed to Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett's office where the PLAINTIFF lodged a complaint against this prosecutor for harassment. There was no investigation into the man who filed a false police report against the Plaintiff in an attempt to have her arrested.
164. 2008 to 2009 in the city of Cleveland Heights; As the year progressed, more and more targeting and harassment and war weapon assaults occurred by police and city workers continued; photos of large dumpsters were parked in front of the Plaintiff home and left there for days. Large trucks parked in front of her home, city vehicles parked as workers stood and looked into her windows of the Plaintiff home; workers continued to park random trucks in her driveway or at the end of her driveway as workers stood meeting and having discussions for long periods of time....all video and photographed day after day and night after night.
165. In January 2009, the Plaintiff obtained video and audio cameras and began to record the actions of the many that were targeting her and her property. These video with audio resulted in the evidence of Cleveland Heights police and fire fighters involvement in the targeting of the Plaintiff. This video also proved the involvement of surrounding neighbors as they were using their homes to subject the Plaintiff to noise and brightening campaigns on a daily and nightly basis.
166. In 2009 the Plaintiff contacted the County Sheriff office to file a formal complaint once it was proven the Cleveland Heights police were involved and were recorded leading these groups in the acts of targeting and stalking and had now began to park their vehicles directly in front of the Plaintiff home as they engaged others in the acts of harassing the Plaintiff.
167. **Around March 2009 The Plaintiff presented The Cuyahoga County Sheriff Captain with video and photographs of the targeting and harassment that the Plaintiff was enduring.** That Captain considered that information warranted an investigation into why THE PLAINTIFF was being targeted by police. The Captain that the Plaintiff met with assigned detectives to the investigation. The investigation was not completed by this Captains teams do to the replacement of his boss in the Cuyahoga county Sheriff office and the investigation was later reassigned to another detective that THE PLAINTIFF later spoke to who stated that he sat on her street and saw nothing that warranted any investigation. What THE PLAINTIFF had witnessed was a detective car parked in front of one of the previously vacant homes on Monticello Blvd, across the street from her home, that was now occupied by several who where now harassing and targeting me where that vehicle sat there with no one in the vehicle, apparently inside of that home and sat there for hours. No assistance was gained from the Cuyahoga County Sheriff office and the targeting by citizens groups lead by police continued.
168. **Defendant, President Barack H. Obama** and his Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett were sent letters in March 2009 by the Plaintiff, where the Plaintiff explained that she and her home

was being targeted and she was being stalked by groups of individuals, and was unable to get help from local police any federal agencies. THE PLAINTIFF later sent another letter when the actions of multiple individuals began to increase the damage to her home and THE PLAINTIFF was then being subjected to direct energy, microwave and or radiation attacks, THE PLAINTIFF followed up this letter to Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett with a phone call to her executive assistant Katherine Branch. The Plaintiff was told in conference call by the Senior Advisors Executive Assistant Ms. Katherine Branch that the Senior Advisor had forwarded her complaint and letter to her Chief of Staff to investigate. To this date, THE PLAINTIFF have not heard from the Ms. Jarrett's Chief of Staff. There was a clear opportunity to assist me (the Plaintiff) in stopping these attacks that were increasing against me and her property, if action would have been taken; as THE PLAINTIFF is a woman and a citizen of these United States of America, a woman and a minority who was now being terrorized with war surplus weaponry being shot into her home and at her body when she would leave her home. The severity of the attacks increased to more severe direct energy weapon assaults, and physical attacks that resulted in the beginning of severe health damage where the Plaintiff hair began to fall out from the root, the Plaintiffs skin was being burned from the inside out and her finger nails and toe nails were beginning to separate from their base amongst other health damages including a racing heart and panic attacks from the severe joint, muscle and nerve pains. Additional damages also increase as the Plaintiff was trying to seek help and assistance from the government and law enforcement; severe damage to her property, flooding of her family room repeatedly, the cutting of her roof to allow water damage into her great room, damage to the home electricity, blasts of high level of directed energies resulting in damage to nearly all small electronics (VCR, DVDs, Fax machines, phones, televisions, stereos, and furnace when a plastic bag was placed in the air intake vent after someone removed the exterior screens from the vent) and ultimately the loss of her home, due to these attacks, stalking that continued to increase as she interviewed for new contracts that were granted during the contract meeting but immediately withdrawn after her departure as the Plaintiff was also being followed by two white men to every potential client meeting and appeared to have been slandered after her departure, (as the Plaintiff understands this tactic this act is defined as the spreading of propaganda against the victim to take control of any support means and documented in the DOD Joint Targeting (of individuals and groups). All of these actions against the Plaintiff were successful due to the lack of response and support of a law-abiding citizen who was being victimized by government funded technologies without her knowledge as they began and with the unlawful involvement into these crimes against the Plaintiff by law enforcement, government agencies and corporations as is known today in 2015.

167a. Since then, THE PLAINTIFF have sent nearly over 50 emails directly to President Obama and his Executive Staff member Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett requesting assistance, to no avail or response though at times the blasting against the Plaintiff residence did appear to cease for a period following the letters, emails and video and photographic proof of the assaults against the Plaintiff to the President. THE PLAINTIFF continued to send letters to every agency that THE PLAINTIFF understood was created for the protection of citizens' human rights, civil rights and liberties and the protection of all humans from non-consensual experimentation or torture; to include the FBI, the Inspector General, and the ACLU local and national. After receiving no response from either and filing a formal complaint with the Department of Justice – Civil and Criminal Division regarding the police attacks, harassment and hate crime, other than a complaint number assigned by the DOJ THE PLAINTIFF received nothing. THE PLAINTIFF then sent an intent to sue in July 2011 to agencies involved in these attacks on her life. In July 2011 the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles sent a 'Notice of Intent to Sue' to President Barack Obama by certified mail that was signed and received, and returned dated August 5, 2011. This was still

another opportunity for our President to stop these assaults against herself, the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles for which they continue to today and as THE PLAINTIFF type January 2010.

169. February 2009 the Plaintiff engaged an attorney who assisted her in engaging a private investigation. Additional evidence was gain by both the internal video and the external photographs that proved involvement of multiple police officers as the incited targeting and harassment of the Plaintiff.
170. May 2009 the Plaintiff was being harassed by workers in a previously vacant home next door to her home. Harassed as these workers were throwing roofing material so hard against the Plaintiff house that pieces of this material was denting the screen around her windows and becoming lodged into the siding of her home. These damages went on for hours even after the Plaintiff requested that they stop their actions. The Plaintiff then phoned the Cleveland Heights police to file another report before any additional damages would occur.
171. May 18, 2009 during this visit by two officers they were recorded stating that they had been targeting the Plaintiff since 2005 and that the Plaintiff only thought the targeting started in December 2008. These officer went further by stating several personal statements about the Plaintiff life that covered several years; statements regarding her income, that they did not believe that she made enough money to own her home, that she wrote a book, that she was engaged and to whom, what her age was at that time and much more. **ALL BEING RECORDED in audio and video. This was finally the proof that the Cleveland Heights police was targeting and harassing the Plaintiff in their own words.**
172. **Defendant Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine:** The Plaintiff immediately presented the recordings and photographs to the Cleveland Heights Chief of Police who rejected the CDs and asked his assistance to have the incident assigned to his records clerk. After no act was taken to stop these assaults, the Plaintiff contacted the **Defendant Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine** along with ten other victims of the same crimes from the State of Ohio and made a written compliant of harassment by war technologies, stalking and harassment. The Ohio Attorney General returned a letter stating, 'Thank you for your letter and if I can be of any assistance in the further please contact me. He did note one thing to stop the assaults that were in a beginning stage.
173. **Defendant, United State Department of Justice** – was sent a federal complaint filed by me against the local police of the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio on August 23, 2010 where a complaint number was assigned. As no action appeared to occur by the Department of Justice Civil Rights Division – Criminal Section, THE PLAINTIFF amended her complaint to include Homeland Security Fusion centers after the acknowledgement by Fusion centers in their involvement of surveillance (targeting) of innocent U.S. civilians who had never committed any crimes. The amendment to the complaint followed the article and information released by The Washington Post entitled 'Monitoring America' December 2010. The amendment was filed on January 23, 2011 and filed with Robert Moossey, Acting Chief, and Matt Nosanchuk, Senior Counsel. There was a clear opportunity here to have stopped these attacks against me if either of these government representatives had acted on her behalf either with the Department of Defense, Department of Justice of local police in the city of Cleveland Heights where there harassment and attacks did not cease even upon her arrival in that city to visit her doctor in first quarter 2011, and second quarter 2011, and January 2012 as well. At one point, THE PLAINTIFF was

surrounded by three police cars who sought me out in her vehicle.

With the Department of Defense – Department of Justice having complete control over the lives of all citizens while maintaining the management of our military agencies, health and human services, Civil Rights, Civil Liberties, Banking, Internal Revenue Service, Drug Administration, Local Police, Homeland Security and much more the DOD/DOJ will control the health, life and death of every citizen with their decade old directives not laws.

174. Defendant, United States Department of Defense; DOD Is responsible for DOD for every aspect of every citizens life/health, finances, protection (rights and liberties), taxes and more that unfortunately includes, DOD Directive 5240.1-R – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation for Intelligence Purposes (on all U.S. Persons and others) and the conspiracy to perform non-consensual experimentation of war weapons and technology on humans. The Department of Defense is named in funding of numerous Senate Appropriations Budgets to the Army, Navy, Air Force and others where the testing and evaluation of (WMD) weapons of mass destruction and biological and chemical weapons are tested and evaluated across our United States and abroad. In addition, Secretary Leon E. Panetta received her ‘Notice of Intent to Sue’ mailed certified which was received with no reply. In addition and most cruelly the Department of Defense is also responsible for the document entitled ‘Joint Targeting’. A document that details the targeting of individuals and groups within the United States of America that included the systematic destruction of a citizens life, possessions, health and more. It also included the injection of nano sensors into the citizen identified as the ‘target’ and details the inciting of citizens groups defined as HUMAN INTELLIGENCE, and Signal Intelligence in order to destroy and force a human being into isolation for the use of unidentified war technology testing and more, this document details the ‘targeting process’ that includes the spreading of false propaganda about the citizen and additional injection of painful nano sensors into the target. Currently nano sensors are defined in four high level categories; GPS to track the citizen, Infrared sensors to light the human body, Chemical/Biological sensors to be remotely injected that result in many forms of illnesses, heart attacks, strokes and even cancers. All injected into a citizen remotely by a host of UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES and through the walls of our homes as the Plaintiff has been forced to endure for years yet the knowledge was only gained on nano sensors in June of 2014 when the Plaintiff began being sliced to visible flesh while sitting in her own residence.

175. Then Plaintiff wounds have not been limited to the visible slicing of her skin by these nano sensors, but the Plaintiff has also be struck to the head with blows that were so strong that the Plaintiff was unable to see and sustained a severe migraine that lasted for days. In addition the Plaintiff has been forced to endure the drilling of holes in her breasts that have left quarter size scares on each breast, slices and scaring on her arms, shoulder, hands, legs and more that also included blood clots. The Plaintiff have in addition lost more than 50 percent of her hair due to chemical burns as the remote military devices have pointed at every area of her body to create damage where she, the Plaintiff no longer can grow hair on her legs due to the high levels of directed energy to her body. Pure inhumane human torture.

176. Defendant Central Intelligence Agency – was sent a ‘Notice of Intent to Sue’. and their electronic USPS delivery receipt was received acknowledging their receipt of her ‘Notice of Intent to Sue’, received at their office. The CIA is responsible for a number of covert, unauthorized and inhumane human experimentations that includes MKULTRA (mind control experimentation) and (COINTELPRO) counter intelligence actions as documented through (mind control experimentation) and to current day. (CIA) Central Intelligence Agency is also responsible of a number of human test experiments, that continue through today, as authorized

and funded by secret committees in government and documented in Senate Appropriations Budgets to the CIA. CIA is responsible for covert operations within our United States and abroad and as shown in **EXHIBIT C** this diagram plays a key role in integrating all agencies from DOD Department of Defense, the FBI THE PLAINTIFF Federal Bureau of Investigation and the NSA National Security Administration.

177. Where THE PLAINTIFF have been forced to gain a clearer understanding of these attacks on her body and life, as a citizen of these United States of America would have had no idea that our own country would point war weapons at civilians for testing and evaluation. As a citizen of these United States of America, who prided herself on the right to vote, to live, and to work free would think that our own Congress and Senate would authorize such tortuous acts against human beings; women, children and men – all innocent and never charged of crimes, where even criminals would have rights.
178. In her research, the effects of ‘Mind control’ means influencing the behavior of an individual, and to create or remold a targeted victim’s personality towards desired state. This secures the interests of those in power; government contractor, military, NSA, CIA or others. Apparently growing out of earlier government mind control research programs such as MKULTRA, and government suppression-of-dissent programs such as COINTELPRO, today’s mind control is covert, finely crafted, around the clock harassment perpetrated against citizens living in their homes and communities. As THE PLAINTIFF am forced to endure attacks to her nervous system, by head, body and joints, and her heartbeat increased at their desire, scaring and burn to her body as THE PLAINTIFF attempt to sleep...all a form of psychological warfare and mind-control technology being non-consensually pointed to her body and mind.
179. It is to be noted, that MK-ULTRA and other covert government programs worked as a front for the "alien" mind control techniques, which are superior. The intra-dimensional way of mind control using the "alien" technologies ensures that the result is always the desired one and undesired for all free-loving people.
180. Her statement would be; ‘How, if not by control, could so many seemingly human beings be engaged in criminal acts against innocent civilians as herself’, how if not a form of continued covert technology being tested as documented within the many Senate Appropriations budgets to these entities and others.
181. Destruction of the family and other relationships by way of lies, bribes, and threats is a goal of the phase of today’s mind control, which is coupled with a documented form of military Psychological Operations. The current day mind control program has been carefully engineered so that if the targeted victim complains, their own words will instantly cause them to be labeled as mentally ill.
182. **Defendant the Central Intelligence Agency;** THE PLAINTIFF attach as an EXHIBIT D, the FOIA Logs released February 18, 2009 where the referenced to continued MKULTRA experimentation continued as noted and only what was made public in this FOIA request, as late at 2005.
183. **In 2011 and** Upon arrival to Columbus Ohio the Plaintiff residence was covertly entered repeatedly (as defined with no signs of breaking and entering) with the intruders leaving the Plaintiff residence door to the garage opened, this same tactic have occurred in every residence

that THE PLAINTIFF have lived; the city of Cleveland Heights and the city of Youngstown and now in Columbus Ohio. Covert and counter intelligence members have the expertise to enter any residence or building without leaving evidence of any breaking and entering, as in her three residences. In Youngstown, as her home was entered into and her birth certificate and social security card was stolen, and a police report was filed. Later upon her arrival to Columbus Ohio, her residence was filled with a gas-like choking agent that caused vomiting, choking, and dizziness, and severe headaches which required that EMS, Hazmat, police, and others be called. The affects of the choking agent also forced those that came to her residence to choke as well. THE PLAINTIFF have been slowly subjected to increased amounts of this gas substance for years to a point that it escalated to complete vomiting and choking. In an all-electric unit, no one was able to find the entry point of these chemicals that appeared to remain in her lungs. In addition to the gas-like substance that continues to fill her Columbus residence, THE PLAINTIFF have filmed a military drones (UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES) and hovercrafts positioned directly above her residence and at times at all points of any home that THE PLAINTIFF have lived.

184. The UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES and hovercraft operators that continues to circle her residence and subject her home to high levels of heat as known as Directed Energy, Microwave Energy attacks and Electromagnetic Frequency attacks at the highest levels to inflict burns, breaking of her skin and injecting nano sensors to GPS her body, Infrared Sensors that light the human body and Chemical Sensors that has resulted in blood clots, slashes that expose her flesh, chemical burns to her scalp the has resulted in more than 70 percent of her loss to permanent muscle, tissue, bone, joint and spine damage to the Plaintiff body with scarring that will never heal or go away. Direct energy attacks and electromagnetic pulses to her body and dwellings continue to today 2015. All of these ongoing attacks from any aircraft must be authorized by the DOD, per the DOD Joint Targeting Document (attached as an exhibit) to the constant chemicals in our air as Chemtrails what are designed to affect our health, weather, food and water.

L. ADDITIONAL FACTS PERTAINING TO THE COMPLAINT

182. Regarding **the DOD Department of Justice and the Inspector Generals office**; With the continued manipulation, theft and destruction of the Plaintiff mail that has occurred for nearly ten years now, the Plaintiff insurance renewal notice was stolen from the Upper Arlington Post Office in Columbus Ohio. This was the third time the Plaintiff mail had been tampered with by the Post office yet left the Plaintiff no choice but to utilize the Post office after her mail was being tampered with and stolen, then micro mites (flesh eating bugs) were placed in her resident mail box.

183. With the loss of the Plaintiff insurance renewal that had a \$500.00 medical deductible, the late renewal due to the tampering of her mail where the Upper Arlington Post Office placed and opened and 2 month delayed insurance notice in her P.O. Box which resulted in a late renewal and a \$6,200.00 medical deductible and shortly after receiving that late, taped closed notice, the Plaintiff foot was fractured and to this date, February 21, 2015 has not yet healed. From already damaged health, THE PLAINTIFF is forced to take generic medications every day in order to attempt to control the pain throughout her body (head, muscles, nerves and joints), these chronic pains and symptoms are documented signs of what is defined as external and internal electromagnetic and radiation attacks, that also include the painful nano sensors now attached and inserted into her body. The mind/body symptoms of current nano sensors and

electromagnetic attacks to the Plaintiff body include excruciating PAIN(!), internal injuries to her lungs that burn daily, cancers that appear as random moles on her body, burns to her skin and scalp that occur day and night.

M. The Plaintiffs, physical attacks, physical damages and symptoms include, but are not limited to the following:

- Due to severe levels of radiation to the Plaintiffs body she no longer grows hair on her legs or arms and has lost most of her hair on her head and over her body.
- Due to severe levels of radiation and microwave directed energy and strikes by nano sensors attacking the body of the Plaintiff, at one point the Plaintiff finger nails and toe nails separated from their base and released, or dropped off. The nano sensors result in cuts to the Plaintiffs skin including her breast that now have quarter size flesh wounds with one wound still open as of March 2015.
- Damage for nano sensor strikes and from other government funded weaponry remotely shot into the home of the Plaintiff, she has had fractures that will not heal, blood clots to most areas of her body and attack strikes as blows with a hammer to her head.
- The Plaintiff has also has remote strikes to her heart which she has come to believe that these are attempts to induce a heart attack that God has not yet allowed these terrorist to succeed.
- Very unnatural inability to sleep, sleep deprivation, as if large amounts of caffeine has been consumed all while noise campaigns continue nightly.
- Forced to sleep in the early morning hours to attempt to avoid nightly torture from severe levels directed and microwave energy, nano sensors shot to her body, lasers ran over her body and extreme heating of her body as attacks occur to her private areas and cuts to her body. The Plaintiff is forced to basically sleep awake.
- When the Plaintiff is able to fall asleep as an early evening hour she is awakened with sudden forced awakening at precisely the same time in the middle of the night, and right on a clock time such as 2am, 3am or 4am, if able to sleep at all.
- Attacks of extreme fatigue, sometimes almost to the point of paralysis, when there is no reason for such attacks, especially when driving
- Numbness of joints; hands and feet that generally result in visible damage following such an attack to the Plaintiff body.
- Severe headaches and migraine attacks with very defined attacks to the same location of the head that have now resulted in diagnosed chemical burns to the Plaintiff scalp, some patches in circles where her hair no longer grows.
- Severe muscles spasms that can encompass the Plaintiff entire lower body (both legs and both arms at once) where the pain last for days once the muscles are released.
- High frequency, high pitched tone in ears, which may change when switching electronic equipment on or off
- Artificial and sexual stimulation (because it is not a human touching the Plaintiffs body), and appearance of sexual assaults to the Plaintiff body and breasts; sexual assaults that have resulted in permanent scarring to both breasts in the size of quarters with visible flesh and no skin.
- Artificial and powerful PREVENTION of sexual stimulation as well occurs with the Plaintiff

- Frequent powerful itching without rash, and which may start as a small electric shock to a foot or joint
- Painful feeling of many "bee stings", especially while trying to sleep that have now resulted in visible nano sensors slicing to her entire body.
- Wildly racing heart without any cause.
- Sudden severe overheating, without any cause to a point that sweat drops like water from the Plaintiff entire body
- Frequent flailing of arms and legs as the PLAINTIFF tries to sleep (forced movement of arms and legs)
- Fake sounds such as alarm clock going off when it shouldn't, telephone ringing when there is no incoming call, knocking on the door but no one is there, or movement to a floor in another room, all that has become clear to the Plaintiff as Patented Sound Projections that in any other case would drive a human being crazy.
- Vibration of muscles or body parts when trying to sleep
- Severe Hair Loss, defined as chemical burns that has now stopped hair from growing on the legs of the Plaintiff due to the high volumes of radiation attacks to her body.
- Visible slices to your skin as nano sensors are shot into your home
- Bone fractures as the remote devices are positioned around your home and over your bed to attack the bones of your body and to even re-break these bones at will.
- Loss of vision that returns slowly but remains damaged
- Random feeling of separation of your waist from your upper body in an extremely painful manner
- Loss of use of hands and legs with painful joints that occur daily
- Poisoning to a point that the Plaintiff has been severely poisoned three times, once unable to work for two weeks.

184. This is criminal exterior and internal electromagnetic pulse, RADIATION, NANO SENSORS attacks, and attacks by other unethical inhumane, unknown military technologies and devices, war weaponry, researchers and government funded research DIRECTED inhumanely AT the Plaintiffs body. The noticeable and non-noticeable attacks and controls as documented as the Plaintiff has attempted to research these covert and intentional attacks that are considered dangerous and deadly to the Plaintiff, and to all citizens. That is the manipulation of the Plaintiffs body, thoughts and emotions.

N. Examples of documented research supporting the Plaintiff Compliant:

185. US Congress Joint Economic Committees Hearings on the Proliferation of Radio Frequency Weapons of February 25, 1998 and Congress Hearings on Electromagnetic Weaponry of the same year as proof that the Senate of these United States of America are funding and aware of the existence and use against the citizens of this country as testing and evaluation is approved without oversight to occur anywhere within these United States of America and around the world.

"Covert Operations of the U.S. National Security Agency" at http://www.mindcontrolforums.com/pro-freedom.co.uk/cov_us.html

"Electromagnetic Weapons and Mind Control": from CNN's Special Assignment, about 1985, at <http://www.mindcontrolforums.com/cnn-mc.htm>, by Chuck De Caro, CNN Special Assignments, and "List of mind control symptoms, in "2005 update: The convergence of the cold war history of mind control and electromagnetic weapons with new post cold war government neuroscience research programs," by Cheryl Welsh, Director, Mind Justice, 2005, at <http://www.mindjustice.org/2005update.htm> and <http://www.mindjustice.org/symptoms.htm> and <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2009/sep/02/cia-usa>

a. To review the negative harmful impacts to the female body
PLEASE SEE EXHIBIT-H

186. Defendant, (NSA) National Security Agency - is responsible for Communications Intelligence (COMINT) Blanket coverage of all electronic communications in the US and the world to ensure national security. The NSA at Ft Meade, Maryland has had the most advanced computers in the world since the early 1960s. NSA technology is developed and implemented in secret from private corporations, academia, and the public. Signals Intelligence (SIGNIT)

187. The Signals Intelligence mission of the NSA has evolved into a program of decoding EMF waves in the environment for wirelessly tapping into computers and track persons with the electrical currents in their body. Signals Intelligence is based on fact that everything in the environment with an electric current in it has a magnetic flux around it, which gives off EMF waves. The NSA/DoD [Department of Defense] developed proprietary advanced digital equipment, which can remotely analyze all objects whether manmade, or organic, that has electrical activity. Domestic Intelligence (DOMINT) the NSA has records on all US citizens. The NSA gathers information on US citizen who might be of interest to any of the over 50,000 NSA agents (HUMINT). These agents are authorized by executive order to spy on anyone. The NSA has a permanent national security anti-terrorist surveillance network in place. This surveillance network is completely disguised and hidden from the public. Tracking individuals in the US is easily and cost-effectively implemented with NSA's electronic surveillance network. This network (DOMINT) covers the entire US, involves tens of thousands of NSA personnel, and tracks millions of persons simultaneously. Cost-effective implementation of operations is assured by NSA computer technology designed to minimize operations costs. NSA personnel serve in quasi-public positions in their communities and run cover businesses and legitimate businesses that can inform the intelligence community of persons they would want to track. NSA personnel in the community usually have cover identities such as social workers, lawyers and business owners. Individual Citizens Occasionally Targeted for Surveillance by Independently Operating NSA Personnel NSA personnel can control the lives of hundreds of thousands of individuals in the US by using the NSA's domestic intelligence network and cover businesses. The operations independently run by them can sometimes go beyond the bounds of law. Long-term control and sabotage of tens of thousands of unwitting citizens by NSA operatives is likely to happen. NSA DOMINT has the ability to assassinate US citizens covertly or run covert psychological control operations to cause subjects to be diagnosed with ill mental health.

188. Defendant, United States Department of Justice (a responsibility of the DOD) - Homeland Security – is responsible for what is known as DOJ Homeland Security Fusion centers across these United States along with a number of other responsibilities. For the sake of the complaint, the Plaintiff will only address Fusion centers and their relationship and involvement with local police department around these United States. The department of Homeland Security falls under the Department of Defense and is responsible for the DOD Directive 5240.1-R that has authorized illegal inhumane non-consensual human experimentation of who the DOD define as those who are placed under surveillance and are considered as ‘unwitting’ (unknowledgeable of the experiments being conducted on their body or minds) or those who grant consent to be used for human testing. Homeland Security also is the catalyst that provides data mining and collection on all individuals and makes that data/consumer information available to the FBI, military, government contractors and local police, and community policing such as Citizens Emergency Response Teams, COPs and others which resulted in the organized ‘gang-stalking’, ‘targeting’, harassment, assaults and attacks that the Plaintiff has been forced to endure for years and to present day. The data access of DOD Homeland Security Fusion centers is contractually supplied by Lexis Nexus, a consumer data reporting agency that I, the Plaintiff has worked with in the past as a Director of Operations of a consumer reporting agency and clearly understand the level of information that they provide on all consumer; for which THE PLAINTIFF consider a complete invasion of privacy. Any amount of information about any person, their social security numbers, their assets, liabilities, family members information (wife, children, children’s spouses, your neighbors, their personal information, bank account history and more – up to six degree of separations in a comprehensive report) – available for destruction by anyone who obtains that level of information on anyone.

189. Defendant Barbara A. Mikulski who is the Co Chair for the Senate Appropriation Committee appointed in 1987. With nearly 30 years of Senate Appropriations expertise to government agencies, corporations, researchers, scientist and others it would be humanly impossible to believe that Senator Mikulski did not read not one Budget or Bill that she Chaired or was responsible for the oversight of, as each Budget for the development of war weaponry, directed energies, wireless war weaponry devices, war weaponry chemicals, weapons of mass destruction, drones and other unmanned Ariel vehicles, satellite weapons, and or biological warfare that these technologies included testing and evaluation of with the war weaponry listing on most of the Appropriation, Budgets and Bills as being documented as testing and evaluation generally within the United States of America and in some of the older Appropriations listing cities, U.S. regions and or states that testing will be conducted. With the Senator experience from 1987 in Appropriations it would also be hard to believe that Senator Mikulski did not know that the Department of Defense defined all citizens as U.S. Persons and defined each ‘human being’ as a **‘U.S. Person’ to be used in non-consensual human experimentation for intelligence purposes without their consent and against the U.S. Constitution**, yet as stated in the DOD Directive 5240.1-r Procedure 13, experimentation that can ‘resulting in permanent physical and psychological damages’ as a result of these inhumane torture attacks on innocent human beings as in the Plaintiffs life. DOD Directive 5240.1-r Procedure 13 – Human Experimentation for Intelligence Purposes, EVEN THOUGH WE AS CITIZENS AND HUMANS ARE TO HAVE BEEN PROTECTED

BY HUMAN AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS FROM SUCH HARMS, AS Senator Mikulski to in her OATH OF OFFICE as a Senator, yet the Plaintiff was not and IS NOT protected and has not been protected even though the Plaintiff has submitted a 'Congressional Investigation and Hearing Request' to Senator Mikulski amongst other Senators and supplied and written years of letters, supplying videos and photographs evidence of her attackers as the hover over the Plaintiffs home and vehicle, including the bodily injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, and of the military war remote devices (UAV/UAS hovercrafts and other devices) that hover directly over and around her home at night and day. All government leaders take an oath to 'Support and Defend the Constitution', yet the Plaintiff and those listed on the **attached Exhibits to Congress** requesting help to stop the torture and destruction of their lives; health, families, property, careers, and to return their freedom went unanswered. Not only were the Senator and all other Senators listed sent these Exhibits B/B1, they were sent the complete DOD Directive 5240.1-r, the DOD 'Joint Targeting' doctrine (of individuals and groups) that included the assistance of DOD's HHS Health and Human Services to identify citizen targets by way of mental health records, and a **Senate Appropriations Budget for UAV/UAS with Nano Sensor payloads**. Sensors designed to be remotely injected into the bodies of all citizens, and all living things including our food, water, and bodies as in the Plaintiffs body; some citizen to death and others into continued torture.

190. Defendant the Federal Bureau of Investigation (a responsibility of the DOJ) – was phoned in December 2008 when the Plaintiff realized that THE PLAINTIFF was being stalked, targeted, and appeared to be under surveillance. THE PLAINTIFF provided all personal information about herself, name, ssn, DOB and address in hope of understanding why a was a victim of stalking by multiple vehicles and individuals. The Cleveland FBI responded that THE PLAINTIFF was not under surveillance and that THE PLAINTIFF should contact her local police and file a report. As the targeting, harassment and assaults continued against THE PLAINTIFF called the National office of the FBI and was told to call and email the local FBI representative handling crimes against woman. THE PLAINTIFF called first and left a message for the assigned FBI representative in Cleveland but did not receive a return call after several days. The Plaintiff then emailed the local office representative from her posted email address on their website, of the Cleveland FBI office and received no response to the email either, the Plaintiff then phoned the woman in charge of that office and she refused to speak with the Plaintiff stating that these actions where out of her offices control. As been since documented that the local FBI office, and State FBI links with DOD/DOJ Homeland Security Fusion centers and local police as civilians are being needlessly targeted for surveillance; this agency was sent her 'Notice of Intent to Sue' due to no response to help the Plaintiff stop these torturous attacks against her. Though not one person was willing to address the Plaintiff attacks by individuals and groups the letter of 'Intent to Sue' was returned by the Director of the FBI signed for and returned the postal certified notice. Now in 2015 the Plaintiff has a clearer understanding of how The FBI, State Sheriff Departments, local police, Community Oriented Policing groups, Homeland Security Fusion Centers and Infraguard are vital organizations to DOD/DOJ in the targeting of innocent citizens for unethical inhumane war weaponry -experimentation as they have documented in their own words.

M. THE FBI HAS IMPROPERLY USED NATIONAL SECURITY LETTERS IN THE PAST, THAT INCLUDES HOMELAND SECURITY FUSION CENTERS

It is also a documented fact that the FBI (THE PLAINTIFF) has used (NSL) letters in order to gain access to a citizens information and life as stated in this February 2012 article:

FBI -THE PLAINTIFF Improperly Used National Security Letters 'The FBI - THE PLAINTIFF improperly used national security letters in 2006 to obtain personal data on Americans during terror and spy investigations, Director Robert Mueller said Wednesday. Mueller told the Senate Judiciary Committee that the privacy breach by FBI (THE PLAINTIFF) agents and lawyers occurred....'
<http://www.infowars.com/fbi-improperly-used-national-security-letters/>

191. Defendant, Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion Center (a responsibility of the DOD/DOJ)- supports the FBTHE PLAINTIFF and local police in networking community groups, CERTS and others who engage in unlawful surveillance of civilians. As hundreds descend upon me, the Plaintiff as THE PLAINTIFF drive from city to city and state-to-state as Homeland Security Fusion centers have acknowledged their involvement in surveillance of innocent U.S. citizens. As I, the Plaintiff drives from location to location and state-to-state, THE PLAINTIFF am followed across state lines and GPS stalked by hundreds who impede her drive, drive me off the roads, attack and assault me, damage her vehicle, attack her health with war weapons. The communication link as documented in Homeland Security Fusion centers and it started with Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion center and local police has 56 of those targeting me where sent letters after verification by her private investigator. These letters were sent by an attorney on her behalf to request that they cease and desist their illegal surveillance activities against me.

192. Defendant, Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center, as part of DOD Homeland Security Fusion centers, and as THE PLAINTIFF entered and exited the city of Pittsburgh where THE PLAINTIFF was employed for Education Management Corp and was forced to endure harassment from the Ohio freeways across the Pennsylvania turnpike into downtown Pittsburgh EVERY DAY. In order for individuals and groups of people in vehicles, SUV, and truckers even the Plaintiff videoing oil tankers pointing out the Plaintiffs vehicle to drivers who were surrounding her and halting traffic as the Plaintiff attempted to go to work each day. The orchestrated the highway attacks, harassment and workplace mobbing that occurred everyday as THE PLAINTIFF drove to and from work, entered her employers building and even sat at her desk into the city of Pittsburgh was orchestrated by groups, entities and 'Criminal Enterprises' associated with DOD/DOJ Fusion Centers and Criminal Intelligence Centers and this would include the Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center as well and as documented in the 'Joint Targeting' manuals to these organizations. Because it is documented that the Fusion centers are the catalyst to local police, who also engaged in the targeting of the Plaintiff, her life and her vehicle by multiple individuals and vehicles, daily there was no exception to any day. In November 2010 THE PLAINTIFF was harassed across the Youngstown Ohio freeways, across state

lines, across the Ohio and Pennsylvania turnpikes to downtown Pittsburg where THE PLAINTIFF arrived to downtown Pittsburgh, stopped because THE PLAINTIFF was an hour early for her appointment, a helicopter flew over the Plaintiff vehicle and there was a sudden impact of heated electric energy to her legs from the engine that was not running, an impact to her vehicle that ended as the helicopter flew away from the Plaintiffs vehicle. THE PLAINTIFF decided to restart her vehicle and immediately her engine started smoking. The smoke increased and fluid began to drop from her engine as she approached the building that she was to appear for an interview. After arriving to the parking garage for her appointment THE PLAINTIFF was forced to have her vehicle parked outside the building and towed from Pennsylvania to her home in Ohio where the engine was damaged and the vehicle was no longer drivable, and the result was severe costly engine damage. **Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center** (a network of multiple Fusion Centers and Community groups into the thousands brought under serious scrutiny due to unethical targeting of innocent citizens); a quote from The Washington Post article 'A Top Secret America' the Delaware Valley Intelligence Center (DVIC) will be fully operational in 2011-2012 The director of the Pennsylvania Office of Homeland Security resigned on Sept. 30, 2010, following a public controversy involving state use of improper intelligence warnings prepared by an outside contractor. The contractor, the Institute of Terrorism Research and Response, an Israeli business, produced "warnings" for Pennsylvania law enforcement that turned out in some cases to involve peaceful protests and groups. Its contract, which resulted in 137 bulletins for the Pennsylvania Office of Homeland Security. This statement proves the involvement of foreign and domestic corporations, researchers and government agencies as these Fusion Centers are established under the Department of Defense and their 'directives' not laws to allow the non-ethical human experimentation against U.S. persons that involves the tactics of targeting, and permanent physical and psychological damage, amongst character assassination as documented in DOD Directive 5240.1-r.

193. Non-Defendant Education Management Corporation is not named as a Defendant because the corporation did not fire the Plaintiff under false employee information but rather tried to assist the Plaintiff in getting assistance and were presented evidence of early morning police harassment, and employee workplace mobbing was shared with HR, was reported by the Plaintiff her reporting Sr. Vice President, and informed about the distress and panic attacks that were being brought on by the distressing drive to and from PA, the now additional injuries to her body (from what the Plaintiff knows today in 2015 were the result of the photographed Ariel vehicles stalking and following her from her home to Pennsylvania workplace daily). Because the Plaintiff would not quit her job these Criminal Enterprise groups, UAVs/UAS, hovercrafts, aircrafts and other devices attacking the entire body of the Plaintiff lead to the damaging harms of present day and have not ceased with the use of government funded war weaponry damaging the health of the Plaintiff from her head to her feet.

193. Defendant, Ohio Strategic Analysis and Information Center – as THE PLAINTIFF moved to the city of Columbus THE PLAINTIFF was and am continually swarmed by hundreds of vehicles that includes the police and are sometimes lead by fire fighters in the Red SUVs, these harassment tactics continue everyday. Homeland Security Fusion centers, as documented are the documented catalyst that communicates with multiple police agencies, community policing groups, neighborhood watch groups

the FBI, and others; this organization are responsible for the thousands of community groups that are formed by CERTS, FEMA and others with direct RSS feeds connections and GPS surveillance provided by DOD Homeland Security, and police including their government funding.

194. Defendant, City of Youngstown Ohio Police and Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans – Upon moving to the Youngstown area THE PLAINTIFF was immediately subjected to police harassment. The Youngstown Ohio police vehicles would follow the Plaintiff with flashing lights, then drive off and turn them off. The Youngstown police would park in front of the Plaintiffs home for hours and make it appear she was under surveillance until the Plaintiff would begin snapping photographs of their vehicles. When the Plaintiff left her home she would be followed at every turn, even on back roads by police harassing her until she would just stop her vehicle. The harassment went further went police vehicles would park on her street near her home at 4am waiting for her to depart for work on the Plaintiffs drive to Pittsburgh, the police vehicles would then follow her all the way to RT 680 as though she was a criminal. The actions and harassment was terrorizing to the Plaintiff and caused panic attacks but the Plaintiff continued to drive anyway. When the Plaintiff returned from work, exiting Rt 680 two Youngstown police vehicles would be waiting for her vehicle as one vehicle would position his vehicle in front of the Plaintiffs and the other behind to incite more fear in the Plaintiff and in daylight. After the Plaintiff got sick of this form of harassment she stopped her vehicle and videoed and photographed these two police officers in their harassment. One photo is included in Exhibit A. The Plaintiff home was covertly broken into and only her birth certificate and social security card was stolen. As THE PLAINTIFF lived in a sub-division near a dead-end street, the Youngstown police began to repeatedly park in front on her home or close near it and were photographed shining bright lights into her living room windows, and riding with multiple vehicles as they circled the block around her home to only create noise ‘theater’ as they passed her home, to multiple police vehicles repeatedly harassed the Plaintiff almost daily as she drove from work, from Pennsylvania and exited the freeway by surrounding her vehicle as THE PLAINTIFF drove, then following the Plaintiffs car day after day, as THE PLAINTIFF photographed and videoed their harassment. To the sitting in front of her home (videoed) at 4am waiting for the Plaintiff to depart for work as they would follow her , to and from the freeway along with multiple other police and vehicles daily, creating fear and daily stress as these early morning harassments only appeared to involve illegal acts that would have no witness or protection for the Plaintiff, as these vehicles also and daily tried often to drive the Plaintiff off the roads, or into the median walls, or to hit her vehicle by forcing her out of her lane into another, or cause a rear-end collision by quickly surrounding her vehicle as one vehicle would get in front of her car and quickly slam on the breaks while other vehicles were behind her vehicle tailing her vehicle tightly, with others on the sides of her vehicle with nowhere for Plaintiff to . As THE PLAINTIFF live in the city of Youngstown Ohio, her home was broken into covertly repeatedly, with only the signs of the key hole damaged from the tampered entry. In September 2010 the Plaintiffs tire was slashed as THE PLAINTIFF stopped at a drugstore, and parked under a Hubbard Ohio police camera, in broad daylight. The tire damage resulting in a nearly \$400.00 for one tire being replaced, which required that all four be replaced with cheaper tire as the Plaintiff was not able to afford the proper tires for her vehicle. **Daily as well was the Plaintiff was harassed by Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans as she sat in her**

driveway waiting for the Plaintiff to drive past her residence on Choice Court which was necessary in order to drive towards the freeway to work. Grace Vaughn Evans would pull out of her driveway and immediately turn on her bright lights on the Plaintiffs vehicle and ride the tail of her vehicle until she would nearly reach the freeway. It was also clear that Grace Vaughn Evans was a part of the Criminal Enterprise and the organized stalking and harassment because she never left her driveway until the Plaintiff drove past her home, regardless to the early morning hours (4:30 to between 5:30AM) and even committed her harassment actions in front of the Youngstown police (sometimes parked along the Plaintiff drive as well) without them, the police confronting the Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans. In addition, Grace Vaughn Evans committed the same harassment during the day on multiple days and were videoed and photographed by the Plaintiff, while and without the Plaintiffs knowledge Grace Vaughn Evans was **filing false reports to the leasing company** that the Plaintiff was harassing her in order for her to be forced out of her home and out of her lease home as Grace Vaughn Evans also made false reports to the leasing company that I was harassing her.

194a. On October 10, 2010, the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, **front glass door was shot out** as THE PLAINTIFF was working in her front yard and near that front glass door. There after THE PLAINTIFF was repeatedly subjected to 24-hour harassment by neighbors as they too were pointing direct energy weapons into her home and damaging the outside of the home she leased. Later THE PLAINTIFF realized that people were cutting down all of the large trees around and near her home as the assaults with these direct energy weapons, and others increased. THE PLAINTIFF was able to video and photograph several men leaving her back yard at early morning hours and on the street behind her which was Ron Lane, running from her home in the early morning hours (2am, 3am and 4am) and filed a police report with the Youngstown police who did nothing again. THE PLAINTIFF then was forced to continue to endure their 24 hour a day harassments, attacks that now included helicopters and military hover crafts as the large trees were now being cut down and either left or removed for clear for direct assaults on her life. The community policing and attacks by neighbors, this 'Criminal Enterprise' of groups continued to be recorded daily, random drivers with GPS parked in front of her home or blocking her drive, all photographed and videoed daily, trash thrown into her yard, stealing the Plaintiff mail, broken bottles at the end of her drive to cause tire damage, stolen open and delayed mail continued along with now verbal assaults from strangers escalated with no assistance from the Youngstown Ohio police. **In the process of all of this harassment THE PLAINTIFF sent nearly five letters to the Chief of Police Jim Hughes and the former Mayor Jay Williams asking for help to stop the harassment and physical attacks, and all to no avail, nor any response from either of them.**

195. Defendant, City of Campbell Ohio Police- this defendant repeatedly engaged in harassment, targeting and inciting violence against me as THE PLAINTIFF as THE PLAINTIFF drove through their city each day and at early morning hours' As many as three Campbell Ohio police officers either followed me, and or lead vehicles that surround her vehicle in early morning hours of 4:30am to 6am nearly daily, as THE PLAINTIFF attempted to drive through that city to gain access to the Ohio freeways and the city of Youngstown Ohio freeways in order to gain access to the Ohio Turnpike and Pennsylvania Turnpike for employment purposes all while being driven off the freeways, attacked by

random vehicles and followed by unmanned Ariel vehicles as they tracked, stalked and attacked all the way to Pittsburgh an employer.

196. Defendant, City of Columbus Ohio Police and Fire Department – upon her arrival into this city both police and fire employees began the same process of harassment of me; the targeting, following and leading multiple vehicles by GPS, the sirens only when within inches of her vehicle to nearly scare me to death. On Sunday, January 8th THE PLAINTIFF was followed by multiple Columbus Fire workers in their red SUVs across highways and nearly to the front door of the church that THE PLAINTIFF was attending that day. These fire workers lead several vehicles of those with their GPS devices clearly displayed in their windows, wearing the color red and surrounding the vehicle that THE PLAINTIFF was in. It did not end there since several of these criminals followed me directly into the church were THE PLAINTIFF was hit several time to the back of the head with a direct energy device. Understand now in 2012 that fusion centers, police, FBI, CERTs, and others support the military in their acts of stalking, harassment, property destruction and non-consensual experimentation that includes documented evaluation and torture testing on citizens. Permanent health damage caused by war weapons, chemicals and other technologies and the ‘targeting’ is a cover to force the citizen into isolation for further harms by these actions, that includes death; THE PLAINTIFF have been forced to endure hell upon her arrival to the city of Columbus by those supported and lead by local police as THE PLAINTIFF have video military drones and military hovercrafts outside her home that have resulted in video’s burns to her body.

197. Defendant, (UH) University Hospitals Information Technology Management Center - Information Technology Center is physically located at Chagrin and Warrensville Center Road, Shaker Heights is an I.T. center where the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles was contracted (sub-contractor) until she was fired due to false allegations made against her. The allegations were made by several employees as part of their targeting of her that went on for several months as she worked as a contracted Project Manager/Quality Assurance Manager managing approximately 80 departments and their team members. The targeting prior to the Plaintiffs, firing as a sub-contractor earning \$40.00 per hour, included sabotage of her work product, sabotage of her business laptop by someone with security access erasing her entire computer data that included weeks of work product building project management plans, testing strategies and more. On a day that the Plaintiff was working remotely, and had been given several written accolades (even on the very same day from a V.P.) for the dedicated and excellent work she was accomplishing, that same day false allegations where made against the Plaintiff that the Plaintiff brought a gun into a build for which was not true, nor never was true, nor was the Plaintiff present at the time of this allegation and professional slander. What the Plaintiff had done was to allow several of the UH Information Technology staff harass her, follow her, even have one employee working in the call center shoot a directed energy (as now known to the Plaintiff) at her body as she sat in a conference room attempting to conduct a meeting. Understanding more and more about workplace mobbing the Plaintiff did not report the wireless energy device yet tried to continue performing her duties, this was a horrific environment to be in yet the Plaintiff was being targeted by yet groups who were a part of this sick ‘Joint targeting’ of innocent citizens and the Plaintiff was one of those targets. The ongoing targeting by

several employees of (UH) University Hospitals Information Technology Management Center resulted in the loss of a six-figure contract and loss of employment, character and professional career assassination with a general contractor. The acts of sabotage were videoed, recorded and witnessed by other University Hospitals directors and staff, including the Security/Help desk staff who **refused to act on and against those who sabotaged, not only the Plaintiff work product for months** but also destroyed PATIENTS 'live' MEDICAL RECORDS that were unlawfully used by this office for testing software applications as I too brought this issue to my Senior Project Manager Nate Hunt. These same employee with security access within also tampered with and destroyed patient records and medical systems. All acts of targeting, workplace sabotage and others were documented, videoed and witnessed.

198. Defendant, Grace Vaughn Evans – is a resident of 3795 Choice Court, Youngstown, Ohio 44505. On no less than three occurrences, Grace Vaughn Evans engaged in the targeting of the Plaintiff. Targeting in a manor to incite violence against the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles and to falsely have her removed from her leased residence by stating to the property owners that Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles was harassing, stalking, and trying to assault her. Also going as far as to state that the Plaintiff is a dangerous woman who needed to be removed from her leased home. Ms. Grace Vaughn Evans went as far as to incite an entire church during a wedding rehearsal being directed by the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, where the Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans stalked, tailgated and tried to create a rear-end collusion as she targeted, taunted, harassed and stalked the Plaintiff to her entire drive to a church near her home. The Plaintiff recorded the entire incident that occurred in August 2011. With clear intent to cause legal harm to the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, the Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans waited nearly 30 minutes after the Plaintiff entered the church then called the Youngstown Police where no less than 3 police officers arrived to question the Plaintiff. Grace Vaughn Evans committed the same harassment during the day on multiple days and were videoed and photographed by the Plaintiff, while and without the Plaintiffs knowledge Grace Vaughn Evans was filing false reports to the leasing company that the Plaintiff was harassing her in order for her to be forced out of her home and out of her lease. Grace Vaughn Evans was clearly a part of this cities Criminal Enterprise as she also harassed the Plaintiff as she drove to a church to direct a wedding, riding on the tail of the Plaintiffs vehicle, flashing the Plaintiff with bright lights and trying to cut off the Plaintiff all I broad daylight and being videoed by the Plaintiff, following and harassing the Plaintiff all the way to the church then called the YOUNGSTOWN POLICE AND THREE POLICE CARS came to the church, as the Pastor told the Plaintiff, to possible arrest the Plaintiff for the false allegation of harassment of **Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans** who I was informed was a part of the 'community oriented policing' group, a DOJ Homeland Security/Fusion center organized group and 'criminal enterprise' of targeting innocent citizens as in the Plaintiff Darlene Miles. The Plaintiff had been sitting with the Pastor for nearly 30 minutes before the **Youngstown Ohio police with THREE VEHICLES OF OFFICERS and Grace Vaughn Evans appeared at the church.** Grace Vaughn Evans even attempted to incite the wedding party by yelling inside the church that the Plaintiff was crazy and was a criminal and had been harassing her for a long time, rather than that she had been harassing the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff in horror, distress and embarrassment had to pull out her camera and began to show the wedding party and Pastor the video of what had just occurred which was clear that Grace Vaughn Evans had harassed the Plaintiff from the Plaintiffs driveway all the

way to the church that the Plaintiff was to direct a wedding for family and friends. This was embarrassing and humiliating and the Plaintiff and Pastor was surprised that THREE YOUNGSTOWN POLICE CARS with officers came to a church about such a bogus act unless they too were involved as the Plaintiff was aware that most Youngstown Ohio Police officer were involved in her daily harassment, torment and torture by war weaponry. The Pastor of the church would not allow the police to remove the Plaintiff stating that he has seen the video proof so the police left the church. Once the Plaintiff arrived home she phoned the Youngstown Police to file her own report and presented them with the video of the harassment and false police report filed by Grace Vaughn Evans and the police refused to file a report against her.

N. FACTS PERTAINING TO THE CASE AND THE 'Criminal Enterprise' Network

199. The following information is important and relevant to the Plaintiff Compliant because it list the occurrences that the Plaintiff now understands as what DOD defines as 'Joint Targeting' of Individuals and Groups for the use of non-consensual and unethical human experimentations. The 'Joint tactics' that drives an individual defined as a 'target' into loss of all forms of support and into isolation for unethical human experimentation while being tortured and harassed by 'Criminal Enterprise' government funded groups that include local police. The following information and its writings began in 2011 by the Plaintiff without the knowledge of such inhumane treatment of United States Citizens.

199a. The Plaintiff, while living in the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio in the early states of the Plaintiff being targeted and unaware of these inhumane actions being incited by local police and government entities; and after a series of incidents and occurrences within her home THE PLAINTIFF began to think that that something was seriously wrong with her property that it was affecting her health and that THE PLAINTIFF was being strangely harass, possibly by random teenagers, as many damages were occurring outside her home and even inside of her home. The many incidents of broken bottles at the end of her driveway, so broken that most days THE PLAINTIFF could not pull out her driveway to work without cleaning up the glass first. To finding dead animals placed at the entrance of her garage or on her back patio, or in her flowerbeds. To the poisoning of the Plaintiffs over, ten foot evergreens trees that all died at once and after being planted for nearly seven years, that were very costly to replace. To the damage to the tagging/writing on the siding of her home and garage door, or the broken large picture window to the front of her home, or the gang writings across her garage door, or the trash thrown in her yard weekly, or the constant sounds against the outsides of her home and from within her home, as THE PLAINTIFF attempted to sleep and always at approximately the same time in the middle of the early morning hours awakened from internal sounds, or the stuffing of the furnace intake pipe with plastic that could have killed the Plaintiff, or the constant replacing of small electrical components such as VCR, Fax machines, copiers, telephones, and more – repeatedly, or the constant mild attacks to her health and joints, or the unbalance of heat to her body yet inside her own home...THE PLAINTIFF decided to move from the city of Cleveland Heights and placed her home on the market. Yet while on the market

THE PLAINTIFF was inundated with false offers and her lower level family room with two bedrooms was flooded. THE PLAINTIFF was forced to take her home off the market and replace and repair the carpet; not once but her home was flooded three times, each time that THE PLAINTIFF placed her home on the market until the Plaintiff strangely lost her contract and could no longer replace the carpet and repair other damages that were increasing to her home that included someone cutting the great room roof to allow water into the inside drywall. All of this occurring around 2005 forward.

200. In 2006, not aware that THE PLAINTIFF was a target of the city of Cleveland Heights (as stated on police recordings), THE PLAINTIFF back in contract and was traveling for work and received a phone call from an Atty. Weltzmann's office stating that \$52.10 was placed into collections with his office for her 2002 tax that was a penalty. A penalty that THE PLAINTIFF did not know that THE PLAINTIFF owed as THE PLAINTIFF stated to this representative. THE PLAINTIFF found it strange but since THE PLAINTIFF was working at a client location in New York, THE PLAINTIFF gave her credit card to that person to pay the \$52.10. One month later, THE PLAINTIFF received another call from the same office, now requesting \$400.00 and stating that it was from 2005. THE PLAINTIFF found this call as bizarre as the first one that requested \$52.10 so THE PLAINTIFF stated that THE PLAINTIFF would contact the department of taxation to find out what was going on since THE PLAINTIFF paid her taxes each year. After completing her IT assignment in New York THE PLAINTIFF returned home and visited the tax office and requested a print out of all taxes paid from 2000. THE PLAINTIFF spent a week reviewing all payments to find that THE PLAINTIFF did not owe anything. In the meantime, the city of Cleveland Heights had pushed through a garnishment and taken money from her bank account, all without legal notice to me. THE PLAINTIFF filed an objection and requested to meet with the department of taxation. The Director of tax department not only was rude when THE PLAINTIFF presented evidence that THE PLAINTIFF did not owe money, he pushed the conference table into her chest in front of the city attorney Mr. Greene, yelled and screamed in her face and walked out. He instead of reviewing that THE PLAINTIFF did not own anything he pushed this through courts and cost me court cost. It took a year to fight all of this to find that the city of Cleveland Heights WAS STEALING MONEY FROM ALL WHO PAID TAXES. Supported by documentation, their system was designed to take all 'over payments' and wipe the credit balances, bringing the residence account to a zero balance at the end of each year, and only bill the consumer for the new taxes based their income taxes reported. When all was completed, over a year of her life to 2007 – it was found that THE PLAINTIFF overpaid her taxes by nearly \$1000.00 and the judge refused to remove the false garnishment off her credit report or to return her money and stated 'you will just have to have a credit to next years taxes'. THE PLAINTIFF just did not know that this was what 'targeting' meant.

201. 2007 to 2008 strange incidents continued to occur including the flooding of her home, again when THE PLAINTIFF attempted to place it in on the market again to sell her home and move.

202. September 2008, THE PLAINTIFF was sprayed with a substance by a white male while in a local grocer. A substance was sprayed across the front of her feet as THE PLAINTIFF stood in a grocer line

and standing sideways. The man quickly sprayed something wet but kept walking. THE PLAINTIFF thought maybe it was something leaking and found it quite strange but could do nothing. When THE PLAINTIFF arrived home THE PLAINTIFF removed her stockings and immediately saw nothing, showered and went to bed. THE PLAINTIFF awakened to a rash across her feet and ankles that began to spread slowly up her legs. By the time it reached her knees THE PLAINTIFF went to a Urgent care facility and was given antibiotics and a cream that took weeks to stop the spread that eventually went up her arms as well. THE PLAINTIFF could not understand what or why this man sprayed a wet substance across her feet and thought that it was an accident, however he was carrying a small black shoulder pouch that was also strange. The spray was sprayed to her feet as the man walked by. The rash reached her outer arms before THE PLAINTIFF could get control with medication after seeking medical treatment. For years, her skin texture on her legs and arm was different from the rest of her body.

203. September 2008, THE PLAINTIFF received multiple calls to her cell phone from a neighbor reporting that men were trying to gain access into her home and/or where walking around her home in Cleveland Heights. (phone call recorded and remains on tape). Later after review of tapes, this neighbor had many visits from those who were targeting and harassing me daily.

204. October 2008, the Cleveland Heights police were called by a neighbor to her home due to a breaking and entering into her home where the person or persons gained entrance through her garage where it was apparently left open after their departure. The police were apparently phoned by neighbors who also called me at her client which was nearly an hour away from her home. These same neighbors informed me that the police locked her house and closed her garage, all before THE PLAINTIFF returned home. These same neighbors were also recorded as involved in these acts against me as they were engaged by others videoed and photographed.

205. Contacted the Cleveland Heights police regarding targeting and being stalked on December 20, 2008 and presented several license plates.

206. Informed friends, family, business associates, and friends in the media on December 30, 2009 that THE PLAINTIFF was being targeted for no reason and was concern for her safety.

207. Filed a new police report on December 30, 2009 and presented additional license plates. THE PLAINTIFF was told by Detective Carl Green that the stalking was probably corporate and because of the publishing of her book.

208. January 1, 2009 THE PLAINTIFF emailed the local and national ACLU and others with a concern for her safety and received no responses.

209. February 2009, obtained an audio/video camera and began to record the harassment that was occurring in front and around her home by police and others.

210. March 3rd, 2009 sent a letter to President Obama expressing concern about the targeting and stalking in her life stated that it appeared that her home was being targeted, as was I.

211. May 2009 captured the recording of two white Cleveland Heights Ohio police officers engaging and inciting workers at a home next to the Plaintiff Monticello Blvd home and outside her home and stating as they were videoed with audio tape, that they had targeted me since 2005.

In summary of their recording; they stated that they new that THE PLAINTIFF worked, that THE PLAINTIFF wrote a book, that THE PLAINTIFF was single and once engaged, they stated her age and laughed that THE PLAINTIFF thought THE PLAINTIFF was being targeted beginning in December 2008 but that they had been targeting me since 2005. They stated that they did not believe that THE PLAINTIFF made enough as a single woman to have built her (her) home.....At this point, I, THE PLAINTIFF ran out of her home yelling as recorded on the tape. This was the first time that I, THE PLAINTIFF had confirmed realization the city workers for the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio was the catalyst for the destruction, assaults, physical harm and illnesses that THE PLAINTIFF was enduring. Now THE PLAINTIFF knew the whom and why these people were destructing, harassing and assaulting me, YET THERE WAS STILL NO HUMAN NOR VALID REASON FOR THE HELL (I) the Plaintiff had been forced to endure.

212. The Cleveland Heights Ohio police and city workers were repeatedly recorded and photographed in the acts of harassment and destruction of her life and property all while continuing their communicating with these workers and their boss as their boss, who purchased several foreclosed homes on the Plaintiff streets around her and was able to purchase these homes for little of nothing. What also appears to be quite strange is after THE PLAINTIFF was forced from her home, on the very next day several white trucks and vans appeared at her home and stripped the Plaintiff home of everything to the drywall; marble, lights, Berber and other carpet, marble, woodwork, even railings and ceramic tile. All on a busy street as THE PLAINTIFF was previously watch by police who boldly sat (as recorded and video) across the street harassing her.

213. May 2009 THE PLAINTIFF took the recording to the Cleveland Heights Chief of Police of Cleveland Heights to ask that the targeting stop and was forwarded to the Chief of Records instead to report the harassment by police. Also provided police car numbers, dates, and times.

214. Filed a report with the County Sheriff office regarding the Cleveland Heights police and fire employees along with community groups that were targeting, harassing, stalking, and assaulting me.

215. June 15, 2009 corresponded with local news anchor regarding the taped statements by Cleveland Heights police stating that they were targeting me.

216. July 2009 received an email regarding the Washington Post article entitled 'A Top Secret America' from a national organization.

216. Letter sent the U.S. DOD Inspector General for help in stopping the attacks against the Plaintiff

217. Letter sent to the National ACLU for help in stopping the attacks against the Plaintiff

218. August 12, 2009 – Cleveland Height police videoed and photographed by the Plaintiff cameras harassing her outside her home.

219. August 14, 2009 - Cleveland Height police videoed and photographed harassing her outside her home.

220. August 17, 2009 – a white van with two white men parked on her street in Cleveland Heights Ohio and placed a red flag in front of her home that brought increased community policing/targeting traffic directly to her drive way. On several accounts, these drivers even drove and parked in her driveway to harass me. These actions were photographed and videoed.

221. August 20, 2009 – After constant **direct energy attacks streaming from a garage window** belonging to the street behind the Plaintiff; THE PLAINTIFF drove around to that home and videoed herself walking to the garage while there was a visible wireless aided device, was clearly displayed in the garage window and pointing into the home of the Plaintiff that was resulting in the radiating burning attacks to the body of the Plaintiff from this detached garage and abandoned vacant residence. This house had been vacant on and off for years, yet appeared to have been occupied with at least four different people within the same year.

222. September 28, 2009 THE PLAINTIFF immediately filed another police report regarding this incident and the still police harassment against the Plaintiff and instead received a bogus court summons directly from the **Cleveland Height Prosecutor Mr. Kim Segebarth demanding that THE PLAINTIFF appear in his office regarding a George Kroto statement that THE PLAINTIFF was stalking him.** The city prosecutor's letter read in quote 'Certain allegations relating to criminal conduct within the City of Cleveland Heights has come to the attention of his office'. The Prosecutor's Summons listed a complaint filed by a GEORGE KROTO with an incident date of September 12, 2009. THE PLAINTIFF phoned the prosecutors office and asked what was the complaint, his assistant stated that this person George Kroto stated that THE PLAINTIFF was stalking him. THE PLAINTIFF stated that it was not true and fax a reply. THE PLAINTIFF was then told by the prosecutor that THE PLAINTIFF either came in to his office or THE PLAINTIFF would be arrested. THE PLAINTIFF then replied again in writing that THE PLAINTIFF would comply but provided a copy of the letter sent to Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett's office along with the copy of his summons. This was additional harassment by the city of Cleveland Heights. THE PLAINTIFF found this action extremely interesting since THE PLAINTIFF had provided photos, video and more of the continued harassment by the City of Cleveland Heights police and others, and not one person was investigated, including city workers who purposely parked their city vehicles (photographed and videoed) in front of her driveway (sideways) to block Plaintiff from exiting her home. The city employees would park their trucks and just walk away from an empty city vehicle leaving it at the end of the Plaintiff driveway. Some of the actions were photographed, yet a video was running to capture live ill actions by the city employees against the Plaintiff. Some of the photographs are attached on EXHIBIT A of this compliant. The harassment, ill willed events, attacks with radiating energies, destruction of the Plaintiffs property and burning of the Plaintiffs body went on twenty-four hours a day until the Plaintiff was forced to move and leave the

home she had built in 1998. The Plaintiff moved from her home in the fall of 2009 and everyone assisting her by driving the moving truck or the Plaintiffs vehicle were surrounded and harassed across the Ohio turnpike, from Cleveland Heights all the way to Youngstown Ohio where she was forced to move as each time the Plaintiff applied for an apartment she would receive it then later receive a call that the leasing companies (each one) was unable to lease to her. These were the same actions that followed the Plaintiff each time she left her Cleveland Heights home to interview for work, the Plaintiff was followed by to men in two trucks to the interviews and once the interview or contract review was completed, the Plaintiff was offered the contract or job and it was later withdrawn, repeatedly. The entire year of 2009 the Plaintiff had no income due to these actions, slander and more.

222a. Defendant University Hospital Information Technology Management Center - First Quarter of 2010 – The Plaintiff was able to gain a contract as a combined Project Manager and Quality Assurance Manager with University Hospital Management – Information Technology Center located in Shaker Heights Ohio. The Plaintiff was one of four Project Managers on the Ahuja Hospital Project and involved the Plaintiff implementing Quality Standards for approximately 80 departments with approximately 60 (SAAS) Software as a Service vendors who supplied applications, and managed patient data as well. The position was not easy to obtain and based on the information that she was told by Nate Hunt of UH the team interviewed 100 candidates and it came down to two, the Plaintiff and one other who would present a presentation to a group of approximately ten managers, and V.P. of the technology center. The Plaintiff was offered the contract and began driving from Youngstown to Shaker Heights Ohio each day, and so did the **workplace mobbing and sabotage began against the Plaintiff laptop as it was erased of all of her UH project plans, test strategies and other documents once she connected to the docking station at the Information Technology center this was the first internal attack to her computer while at University Hospitals Information Technology Center. All of her work had to be re-created of several weeks.** What also continued to increase was the daily road rage attacks, harassment by Sheriffs as they drove slowly in front of the Plaintiff on rainy days and moved from one lane to the other as did the Plaintiff, or large trucks forming a circle-8 around the Plaintiff moving vehicle or being tail gated with bright lights by random drivers in groups and much more. But the Plaintiff was determine to keep going to work regardless of the stress, distress and horrific torment she was enduring.

223. April 29, 2010 – THE PLAINTIFF **recorded two vehicles**, one with a military plate and the other with a badge mounted on the back of the vehicle that where driven by white males who followed her around the city of Youngstown, to a store and to her bank. At times driving closely on the tail of the Plaintiffs vehicle and even following the Plaintiff into the locations she was driving.

224. April 29, 2010 THE PLAINTIFF recorded home of an Youngstown police vehicle shining a bright light into her living room (again). Also photographed and videoed.

225. May 26, 2010 – THE PLAINTIFF recorded the third act of **workplace sabotage** to her computer while a contracted Project Manager with University Hospitals Information Technology center. This was the third breach of security where someone working within security and helpdesk gained access to her

laptop and removed work product from her computer. The first two occurrences were not recorded, yet witnessed by a nearby associate of UH. During the first incident, THE PLAINTIFF lost months of work that was systematically deleted from her laptop as THE PLAINTIFF lost complete control as this person deleted every file that they could. On the second incident, less was loss because THE PLAINTIFF started backing up her work. The third occurrence was witnessed by a Director of IT, as we were meeting at her desk who immediately alert security (again) who did nothing. This was a 300 million dollar project for a new hospital where THE PLAINTIFF was one of four Project Managers. The deletion of project plans, requirements, test plans, and more were systematically deleted from her laptop on three incidents.

226. June 4, 2010 2:48pm, as part of targeting community policing groups and other, they repeatedly through trash and caused damage to her property into her yard that was recorded on this day, again.

227. July 1, 2010 – 4:16am multiple military hovercrafts are videoed positioned outside her home as THE PLAINTIFF was being severely subjected to direct energy weapons attacks.

228. July 2, 2010 – a dark truck appears in front of her home with GPS displayed, sits there, and does not move until THE PLAINTIFF walk out with video camera.

229. June 15, 2010 – as THE PLAINTIFF traveled across the Ohio turnpike THE PLAINTIFF was subjected as in each day, with F150 trucks surrounding her vehicle while driving close to the rear of her vehicle with their bright lights turned on in daylight - as THE PLAINTIFF attempted to drive, on this day vehicles were recorded in their acts.

230. July 17, 2010 – 9:25am THE PLAINTIFF was being subjected to attacks by direct energy and began to record above her home nearly six aircrafts circling and flying back and forth for hours as they hit her home with direct energy weapons.

231. August 04, 2010 – subjected to harassment by what appeared to be the Highland Heights police as THE PLAINTIFF approached two police cars were sitting but turned on their lights as THE PLAINTIFF passed slowly on a residential street heading to UH IT center.

232. August 23, 2010 – After no response from Cleveland Heights police THE PLAINTIFF filed a formal complaint with the Department of Justice United States Department of Justice - Civil Rights Division – Criminal Section regarding the police harassment. This complaint was sent directly to the Acting Chief of this division. On November 20, 2010, THE PLAINTIFF revised her formal complaint to include DOD Fusion centers in their involvement in targeting and surveillance of innocent U.S. citizens.

233. August 28, 2010 recorded the assaults by a positioned aircraft as THE PLAINTIFF drove.

234. August 30, 2010 4:12am after being assaulted in her home for hours THE PLAINTIFF videoed a positioned aircraft outside her home.

235. Sept 01, 2010 4:59am videoed the assaults by a positioned aircraft outside her Youngstown home.

236. September 2010 the Plaintiff Tires were slashed under the police cameras in the city of Hubbard Ohio as THE PLAINTIFF was returning from Cleveland from work. When contacting the Hubbard Ohio police to ask that they view the camera, they stated they were not running during the assault on my vehicle. The slicing of the Plaintiff tires resulted in four tires being replaced at her expense.

237. September 10, 2010, THE PLAINTIFF was phoned and dismissed from by client University Hospital IT department because several employees stated that THE PLAINTIFF stated that they were stalking me and that THE PLAINTIFF had brought a gun into the building due to this stalking, ALL WHICH WAS UNTRUE. THE PLAINTIFF lost a six figure IT contract and lost a general contractor client relationships, and suffered irreparable damages to her professional career and reputation with that hospital. No investigation was ever conducted by the hospital into those who falsely accused me of such an incident

238. October 10, 2010, THE PLAINTIFF was shot at in front of her residence as she was working in her yard. She photographed the shot-out front glass door that was shot out, over her head as THE PLAINTIFF worked in the yard in front of her Youngstown Ohio home.

239. November 2010 her engine was damaged as a helicopter flew over in downtown Pittsburgh as the Plaintiff was attempting to locate an office building for an interview with a new company. After the helicopter flew over the Plaintiff vehicle her legs experienced a burning pain and immediately her vehicle engine began to smoke. This was a strange occurrence and impact to her body and vehicle that THE PLAINTIFF did not want to believe came from a helicopter flying towards her vehicle. As THE

240. PLAINTIFF restarted her vehicle it began to smoke, the smoke increased as THE PLAINTIFF arrived at the building of her interview which was approximately three blocks from the incident as the vehicle increased in smoke fluid also began to leak from the engine. This incident resulted in her vehicle being towed by a friend, from Pittsburgh PA., back to Youngstown Ohio and resulted in over 600.00 in damage to her engine that has never been the same.

241a. **Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center** (a network of multiple Fusion Centers and Community groups into the thousands brought under serious scrutiny due to unethical targeting of innocent citizens); a quote from The Washington Post article 'A Top Secret America' the Delaware Valley Intelligence Center (DVIC) will be fully operational in 2011-2012 The director of the Pennsylvania Office of Homeland Security resigned on Sept. 30, 2010, following a public controversy involving state use of improper intelligence warnings prepared by an outside contractor. The contractor, the Institute of Terrorism Research and Response, an Israeli business, produced "warnings" for Pennsylvania law enforcement that turned out in some cases to involve peaceful protests and groups. Its contract, which resulted in 137 bulletins for the Pennsylvania Office of Homeland Security. This statement proves the involvement of foreign and domestic corporations, researchers and government agencies as these Fusion Centers are established under the Department of Defense and their 'directives' not laws to allow the non-ethical human experimentation against U.S. persons that involves the tactics of

targeting, and permanent physical and psychological damage, amongst character assassination as documented in DOD Directive 5240.1-r.

241. November 2010 the Plaintiff was offered a position in Pittsburgh with EDMC **Education Management Corporation** and was forced to endure horrific increased early morning assaults by vehicles, aircrafts, military hovercrafts and other Ariel devices as videoed and photographed almost daily. The Plaintiff was followed, stalked and assaulted to her head, heart, chest and legs daily as she attempted to drive to work from Youngstown to Pittsburgh. Visible hovercrafts and positioned UAVs even became apparent to the turnpike personnel who watch my arrival for a turnpike ticket and watch these Ariel vehicles continue to follow the Plaintiff all the way to the company as she had finally obtained employment. What made this employment experiences horrific and even more damaging to the health of the Plaintiff is that she not only endured torture as she drove for the first time in the early morning hours from Youngstown to Pittsburgh, BUT THAT THE EMPLOYEES ENGAGED IN THE WORKPLACE SABATAGE, HARASSMENT AND ASSAULTS AS WELL once the Plaintiff arrived to work. There was not one day that an incident did not occur creating stress, distress and at times panic in the Plaintiff about the damages to her work station, laptop and work product. This was the worse ever in an employer and groups of employees who were so willing to engage in attacks on another employee that include the corporation and student information.

242. November 2010 thru the entire drive to the city of Pittsburgh from the city of Youngstown Ohio; Because the Plaintiff would not quit her job as a result of the increased organized harassment by drivers stalking, attacking and harassing her on the ground, and assaults by air; even when truckers attempted to drive the Plaintiff over the mountains of the PA turnpike, or drivers who would place large wood objects in the dark turnpike lanes to result in an accident by the plaintiff or some other damaging innocent by another driver (their collateral damage) the Plaintiff was horrified and terrorized everyday but continued to fight through the pain, stress and panic to get to work in the early morning hours, as early as 4:30am or even 5:30am in attempts to arrive on time because of the many assaults endured daily including traffic mobbing such as the Governor Kristy incident when one of his staffers stopped traffic on the New York city bridges to block access to one Mayors city, yes these are the same actions that are being engaged today by law enforcement (so-called contractors), CERTS, Citizens Corps members and Community Oriented Policing, all who are ganged stalkers who are committing crimes against the innocent, as the Plaintiff has and was forced to endure.

243. **Even as the Plaintiff arrived at her employer in Pittsburgh she was forced to endure workplace mobbing, attacks and even assaults at her desk in many forms; from directed energy high frequencies, to attacks by body mites placed all around her desks that would bite all over her body, to even tampering with her laptop once the Plaintiff would dock her laptop on the docking stations at EDMC.** This company employed thousands of employees located at multiple business locations across the country and the Plaintiff was originally the only technology consultant/project manager/quality assurance manager teaching and implementing a new SDLC (Software Development Life Cycle) process with horrific resistance at all levels of the employment reporting structure.

Employee Resistance, sabotage, false complaints, and more against me, the Plaintiff solely and without support from my reporting Senior V.P. who him self had never implemented SDLC standards in the past as I had, and successfully. EDMC not only employed thousands of employees located at multiple facilities across the U.S., they also had over 20,000 students enrolled at all combined school locations that would be impacted, and were being impacted by breaches in security of personal information exposure and faulty business/school applications being utilized and more. Immediately as the Plaintiff began to drive at early morning hours, 4:30 to 5:30am in the dark the Plaintiff was being harassed and attacked the entire drive from Youngstown to Pittsburgh. The harassment began with police, then continued with drone and hovercraft assaults to her head, chest, arms, stomach, knees and legs. Once the Plaintiff arrived at EDMC in Pittsburgh always early as she attempted to stop the roadway mobbing that false traffic blocking that originally occurred when she left at later times, she chose to leave hours earlier and risk the harms along the way in the dark in order to get to work early and on time. The assaults in these early morning hours where horrific, the Plaintiff even sustaining broken skin and slices to her skin, attacks and blows to her head from aircraft flying over clearly visible to every driver even as the Plaintiff photographed these same criminals attacking her. Once the Plaintiff arrived to EDMC Pittsburgh the harassment continued with the employees that worked for the company. She realized that someone has placed BODY MITES all over her desk, chair and the carpet under her desk and these mites began to bite her legs and arms. Later, someone from within this secured building placed bed bugs around her desk that the Plaintiff reported to security. Later, her work product was being stolen from her desk, the someone from within the security of EDMC pushed through an update that shutdown the Plaintiff laptop remotely. It never ended. The Plaintiff was being forced to find conference rooms to conduct her work in order to maintain her job while still being subjected to a group of employees who were clearly networking in organized workplace mobbing and stalking, harassment and sabotage of work product, making false complaints that were proven by the Plaintiff to be lies, and sabotaging the Plaintiff work products by erasing the access from her laptop to critical information. Even though the Plaintiff went beyond the required positions requirements to prove her expertise, worth and attempt to avoid another loss of employment she dealt with daily stress, panic attacks and always wondering what attack was next. These were daily assaults by employees, attacks by energy devices being shot into the Plaintiffs cubical, to her head and other body parts, harassments that continued even after the Plaintiff left her employer in Pittsburgh to drive back to Youngstown OHIO....while enduring assaults the entire drive home by organized groups who attempted to drive the Plaintiff off the roads and over the mountains of the PA turnpike, daily..... At times being forced to stop due to panic attacks, or from strikes to her chest, breast or heart, even to her stomach and legs at times that she could not even walk to step out of her vehicle after arriving home. Once the Plaintiff arrived home to begin enduring further assaults by hovercrafts, local police sitting outside her home being videoed and photographed as early as 4am in the morning, awaiting the departure of the Plaintiff as she would attempt to depart for work along with the surrounding neighbors who willfully participated in the harassment of the Plaintiff by blocking her vehicle, riding on the tail of her vehicle with bright lights or cutting her off in an attempt to create a front end collision of her vehicle and theirs, daily.

244. When the Plaintiff would leave work in the daylight the same occurrences continued by individuals and organized groups, either attempting to cause the Plaintiff to be injured by a multi vehicle accidents, even at times attacking truckers who were not involved in an attempt to have the Plaintiff vehicle struck by innocent truckers. If there wasn't the occurrences of an attempted vehicle accident against the Plaintiff, it was the blocking of traffic to impede the Plaintiff arrival to work on time, or to get home safely. It never ended even with the Plaintiff many injuries from constant lane shifting, panic attacks or even the plows to her head as Ariel vehicles followed her every move in her vehicle. The Plaintiff, Darlene Miles was originally asked to drive in to Pittsburgh and to also work part time remotely from home and complied. Once the damages to the Plaintiff health became so great she was told she could work from home indifferently because she was being to work around the clock since the Plaintiff reporting boss was located in Arizona and wanted the Plaintiff to be available to him into the evenings and on Saturdays, endlessly, this to was extremely stressful that was not until the later part of 2011.

245. **Youngstown Ohio Police:** The assaults and harassment was not limited to the organized groups of vehicles, the scariest of harassment occurred each early morning by the Youngstown Ohio police being parked outside the Plaintiff home as early as 4am and videoed, as they waited for me to leave my home and the local organized stalking and harassment would begin.

246. **Campbell Ohio Police:** The same harassment by the Campbell Ohio police occurred as well. The hide behind buildings and only pulled out when my vehicle passed than they would ride on the tail of my vehicle, at times I would be surrounded in front, behind and to the side by three Campbell Ohio police vehicles and at 4am to 5am in the morning. As a woman driving alone this was terrorizing.

247. The same harassment by Youngstown Ohio police continued daily as well and they were photographed after the Plaintiff got sick and tired of the constant public harassment, tail-gating her vehicle once she got off the freeway, and intimidation her by following her for miles for no reason. There would be two police vehicles who would wait for the Plaintiffs vehicles arrival off of the Rt 680 freeway and immediately surround her vehicle, one police vehicle in front and the other on the tail of her vehicle, nearly daily until the Plaintiff stopped one day and videoed and photographed their actions. They continued with the street harassment in front of her home but stopped the riding on the tail of her vehicle. Even after presenting the photographs to the Chief Jimmy Hughes the harassment b Even after presenting the photographs to the Chief Jimmy Hughes the harassment by Youngstown police did not stop.

248. During this period the Plaintiff also went to the Youngstown Ohio police department and filed a report with the Police Chief, who asked the same stupid 'targeting' questions, why do you think these officers are harassing you, I stated that it did not matter and that I was not breaking any laws so I ask that the harassment stops, it did not.

249. December 29, 2010 recorded the many aircrafts that again subjected her home and me to direct energy attacks and had the nerve to draw an X over her home that also increased the community targeting by multiple vehicles.

250. January 2, 2011 – 8:05am recorded the now removal of nearly all of the tall aged trees by vandals from around her home and now a home directly behind me on Ron Lane has installed a spot light that shine on her home.

251. January 17, 2011 – 7:20am after being severely attacked by direct energy weapons THE PLAINTIFF walked out on the porch of her Youngstown home and videoed the positioned hovercraft. The hovercraft was out of position, flying low, and flew right past me as THE PLAINTIFF stood on her porch. This hovercraft then flew up into the low clouds to hide behind them? Who does this. Assault, get caught, yet not take flight away from the human they were victimizing.

252 January 27, 2011 - 6:21am as THE PLAINTIFF through the city of Youngstown THE PLAINTIFF was followed and recorded the same hovercraft that was positioned outside her home, follow the Plaintiff all the way to the city of Pittsburgh as THE PLAINTIFF was being assaulted along the way. Now as the Plaintiff arrived at works the assaults continued by internal employees. Now the Plaintiffs desk was sprayed with body mites that would bite any exposed skin, her head was being struck while at her desk, and other employees were increasing work place mobbing by falsely accusing the Plaintiff of not doing her job were she had been exceeding her work knowing that she must due to work place sabotage that was increasing.

253. January 27, 2011 - 6:57am now across the Pennsylvania line and still videoing the hovercraft as THE PLAINTIFF am being severely assaulted with direct and microwave energy weapons, and still attempting to drive to work as well while in severe pain from my head, chest and legs.

254. January 27, 2011 – 4:34pm, as THE PLAINTIFF am leaving Pittsburgh the attacks return by video's aircraft.

255. February 13, 2011 – 2:47pm – as THE PLAINTIFF was being attacked by direct energy weapon and other war weaponry as THE PLAINTIFF drove to family, upon her arrival aircraft, still in position, and while daylight, drew an X over her the family members residence. These actions were videoed and photographed.

256. February 14, 2011 – March 17, 2011 recorded the Youngstown police parked in front of her home and parked there for several minutes to harass me.

257. March 1, 2011 - 6:35am hovercraft videoed as THE PLAINTIFF was being attacked again as THE PLAINTIFF attempted to drive to work in Pittsburgh.

258. March 17, 2011 – 7:42pm Youngstown police parked in front of her home again for no reason and only moved after they saw that THE PLAINTIFF was videoing them.

259. April 4th, 2011 – Youngstown police parked outside her home in the early morning hours awaiting her departure where they had been turning on lights causing me to pull over then drive off. This was very scary because they knew that THE PLAINTIFF would leave for Pittsburgh between 4:30am and 5:30am each morning to avoid as much organized stalking and road rage as possible. On

this day THE PLAINTIFF recorded the two white officers sitting outside her home. When they saw the Plaintiff videoing, they drove off with no lights, this video was shared with the Plaintiffs employer because these threats were increasing and so was the fear of driving in the dark and being assaulted with war weaponry and local police on the roads.

260. April 6th, 2011 – two white police officers from Youngstown again harassed me as THE PLAINTIFF was exiting RT 680, returning from work. THE PLAINTIFF immediately complied the photos and video and emailed Mayor Jay Williams and Chief Jimmy Hughes. This was the second time that THE PLAINTIFF had email both of the men asking for help in stopping the targeting by Youngstown police. Her request went unanswered.

261. April 6, 2011 – for nearly the entire week two Youngstown Police officer swarmed her vehicle each day as THE PLAINTIFF exited Rt 680 coming from Pittsburgh. One would quickly get in front of me and the other behind me until THE PLAINTIFF clearly made it know to them that THE PLAINTIFF was videoing their actions. The were accompanied by at least ten different private vehicles who also impeded her drive or stopped me from moving through green lights.

262. April 14, 2011 her home in Youngstown was hit severely for 24 hours straight with direct energy assaults where several electrical devices where disabled; sump pump that flooded her basement and items stored there, the hot water tank, her stereo (another stereo), the fax machine, printer and telephone. Video of damage was recorded.

263. May 9, 2011 9:50pm recording as multiple vehicles were recorded creating loud noises ‘theater’ in front of her home a hovercraft was also recorded posted outside her home that was resulting in attacks by direct energy.

264. May 25, 2011 recorded additional cutting down of trees as a path was being created to the street behind her home, Ron Lane in Youngstown Ohio. At this point over 100 trees had been vandalized, cut down and some thrown into the pond behind her home while several other where just left in front or on the sides of her home to make me clearly aware of what these people were doing.

265. May 28, 2011- 2:25pm THE PLAINTIFF recorded over six aircrafts that were repeatedly subjecting me and her home to direct energy attacks. These attacks went on for hours as these aircraft circled her home repeatedly.

266. July 20, 2011 – 4:30am videoed hovercrafts positioned outside her Youngstown home subjecting me to attacks of direct energy.

267. August 19, 2011 – THE PLAINTIFF left her home to drive to a nearby church where THE PLAINTIFF was to direct a wedding for friends and family. As THE PLAINTIFF was leaving her home Grace Vaughn Evans quickly drove up to her vehicle, and nearly touching the rear bumper of her vehicle and turned on her bright lights while this was bright daylight. Since that was now the third (known) incident of this type of harassment caused by this woman as she targeted me with her vehicles, THE

PLAINTIFF turned on her video camera and began videoing her from her side mirror of her car. It was approximately 5pm in the evening and was not the first time that this woman had done this to me. The same situations had occurred prior in the early morning hours around 5am as THE PLAINTIFF drove past her home on Choice Court while in route to her office in Pittsburgh. As THE PLAINTIFF would drive by her home she would sometimes be sitting in her vehicle, in her driveway and quickly turn on her bright lights as THE PLAINTIFF passed her home then pull out quickly on the rear of her vehicle and follow me on every turn, even if THE PLAINTIFF went out of the way towards the freeway, every turn she would follow until she was joined by several others who would now do the say; bright lights hitting her vehicle at all angles in these early morning hours which were quite terrorizing to me.

268. On this Saturday, August 19, 2011, as THE PLAINTIFF backed out of her driveway onto Choice Court, **Grace Vaughn Evans (named Defendant)** quickly drove up to the Plaintiff's vehicle, almost as though she had been waiting for the Plaintiff to leave her home. Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans lived over ten homes away from the Plaintiff and in an opposite direction. While she was closely on the rear of her vehicle, and now has turned on her bright lights, THE PLAINTIFF pulled out of the sub-division THE PLAINTIFF decided to turn right on the first street to get this woman off the tail of her bumper, she turned right as well and was now even closer to her vehicle. THE PLAINTIFF turned on her flashers to stop and pulled to the right side of the road to force her to pass me and get off the rear of her vehicle, Grace Vaughn Evans then pulled in front of her vehicle, very tightly and quickly backed up trying to cause the Plaintiff vehicle to hit her rear of her vehicle all while on her cell phone. THE PLAINTIFF then pulled around her quickly and continued down the road and Grace Vaughn Evans also pulled off and now was riding on the tail of the Plaintiff vehicle again and continued following the Plaintiff closely with her bright lights on her vehicle. THE PLAINTIFF turned left then turned right to head towards the church and so did Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans all while THE PLAINTIFF was videoing her actions. Now THE PLAINTIFF is concerned that Grace Vaughn Evans was not leaving her alone and did not want to get out of her vehicle until Grace Vaughn Evans had pulled away, THE PLAINTIFF stopped again just before the church and videoed Grace Vaughn Evans coming down the street as she turn around in front of Plaintiff now at the church parking lot. Grace Vaughn Evans then passed the PLAINTIFF as the Plaintiff was still filming Grace Vaughn's Evans harassment with her vehicle, Grace Vaughn Evans then pull down the street to the corner and stopped and sat there waiting for the Plaintiff to depart her vehicle. THE PLAINTIFF again videoed her location and snapped photographs as well. THE PLAINTIFF continued videoing but pulled into the church lot a little closer to the door. Grace Vaughn Evans remained at the end of the street as THE PLAINTIFF walked into the church shaken from this harassment and incident. THE PLAINTIFF got out of her car and went in the church shaken, but into the church. THE PLAINTIFF sat with officers of the church and the Pastor talking about the wedding for nearly 25 minutes before the wedding party arrived. After they all arrived, and the Plaintiff began the wedding rehearsal **Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans** came to the back of the church, called everyone who was seated or standing near the back of the church and stated yelling that she had called the police on me (Darlene Miles, the Plaintiff) because THE PLAINTIFF was a dangerous woman and had been harassing and stalking her and that they (the church and wedding party) should not trust me because something was wrong with me, and on, and on. The Pastor then stopped the

rehearsal to speak to her as he was then informed that several police officer were at the front of the entrance to talk to me or possibly arrest me for stalking and harassment. THE PLAINTIFF was told that there were three police cars at the church me. The Pastor came to me and stated that this did not make sense and asked what was happening, THE PLAINTIFF attempted to explain what was occurring in her life and that THE PLAINTIFF would gladly speak with the police, however he asked that THE PLAINTIFF not because he thought harm would come to me. He stated that he asked them to wait until rehearsal was completed and that THE PLAINTIFF would speak to them during that time. THE PLAINTIFF was an emotional wreck but tried to push through this rehearsal which was extremely hard. Everyone in the wedding party thought the woman was crazy and continued to ask what was going on so THE PLAINTIFF shared the video with them to at least try to get everyone back into the mood of why we were in the church...a wedding rehearsal that had now been ruined and delayed because of this woman's slanderous accusations against me an a police incident. This was the third time that THE PLAINTIFF had encountered Grace Vaughn Evans on the road where she harassed, tailgated or attempted to create an accident with quickly cutting me off and slamming on her breaks, even with children in her vehicle. She also called her sister upon her arrival to the street on Choice Court and said that THE PLAINTIFF was harassing her, when THE PLAINTIFF had no idea who she was. Not originally knowing her name, only the two vehicles that she had driven and the home that she lived while committing these crimes against me of targeting, slander, stalking and harassment THE PLAINTIFF had received verbal warning from the leasing office that this woman had repeated reported to them that THE PLAINTIFF was harassing her. They chose not to act, they stated, because they did not believe her. The woman also made the same statement to her family members in an attempt to cause harm within her family for those who were not aware of her targeting; her warnings to her family was that THE PLAINTIFF was crazy and that she was going to have me arrested. Grace Vaughn Evans many calls to the property leasing company several times, as reported to me was to cause me to lose her leased property; all which has been clearly the strategy of those who target their victims. This woman targeted me and was a part of the many in the community that did the same, only she slandered her reputation and created irreparable damage and harm to me professionally.

269. To further prove the involvement of the police in the city of Youngstown Ohio; upon her departure from the wedding rehearsal THE PLAINTIFF phoned the police to make a report of this incident. The police office who arrived stated that he was not aware of the incident and took her report. To prove what THE PLAINTIFF was stating THE PLAINTIFF showed him the video and he agreed that she was stalking and harassing me. THE PLAINTIFF asked what would happen regarding her false report and accusations against me and he said probably nothing – and nothing happened. THE PLAINTIFF was left with slander of her professional reputation by a woman who was clearly a part of the organized targeting of her life and property.

270. October 17, 2011 – 9:07pm videoed a hovercraft again positioned outside her living room in Youngstown Ohio and subjecting me to attacks of direct energy.

271. October 2011 THE PLAINTIFF sent a letter to Senator Sherrod Brown asking if he would take her complaint to Congress of illegal human experimentation and targeting by military. He replied with another letter that he has pushed legislation to stop the torture of the innocent caused by government agencies and the now **Defendant the CIA Central Intelligence Agency**. Still not the help that THE PLAINTIFF need.

272. November 1, 2011 – THE PLAINTIFF sent a Cease and Desist letter to various Columbus Ohio city offices as the targeting, assaults on the roads, targeting and stalking has increased. The letter was sent to the Chief of Police, Chief of Fire, the FBI, Infraguard, Columbus Fusion center, Sherrod Brown, and several other government offices.

273. November 21, 2011 THE PLAINTIFF sent a reply to Senator Sherrod Brown who informed that he has voted on legislation to stop the torture caused by the Central Intelligence Agency.

274. November 11, 2011- 6:46pm – now in Columbus Ohio and being subjected to attacks by direct energy from positioned hovercrafts outside her residence. THE PLAINTIFF stepped out on the patio and began video the positioned aircraft which forced it to fly right past me. Unbelievable.

275. November 24, 2011 – 12:18am as THE PLAINTIFF was being subjected to severe attacks to by bedroom by direct energy THE PLAINTIFF was able to locate the hovercraft this time positioned to the left yet still visible.

276. December 1, 2011- 6:33pm assaults continue by what appears to be the same hovercraft that is clearly visible in the early evening sky and still in the prior positioned for several nights and subjecting her home with direct energy weapons attacks.

277. December 2011 THE PLAINTIFF began to smell a gas-like substance in her residence that resulted in her choking, vomiting and unable to stay in her residence. Law enforcement were phoned and they referred her call to other who came to her home and witness the high levels of some type of choking gas that filled by residence. To this date, January 2012 the gas substance still randomly appears.

278. January 1, 2012 – 6:32pm the attacks get stronger and the hovercraft has moved closer as videoed.

279. On January 1, 2012 10:04pm outside her home in Columbus Ohio after sitting in her home experiencing assaults by direct energy weapons THE PLAINTIFF stepped on to her porch and began videoing an aircraft hovering in place in front of her home as the assaults continued.

280. January 3rd, 2012 video the same aircraft

281. January 10, 2012 7:11pm video the same aircraft hovering in place as THE PLAINTIFF was being assaulted in her home

282. January 12, 2012 – 7:10pm recorded as THE PLAINTIFF was being subjected to direct energy attacks THE PLAINTIFF recorded military hovercrafts or drones positioned outside her Columbus resident.

283. January 17, 2012 – as THE PLAINTIFF slept, THE PLAINTIFF was burned from her feet to her arm by some type of technology that appeared in sound to be hovering above her bedroom. This was the third time that THE PLAINTIFF had been burned as an aircraft, hovercraft or military drone either flew along her drive or now, hovered over her bedroom as THE PLAINTIFF attempted to sleep. The burn to her arm was photographed, as it was swollen and red as it burned under the skin for days.

284. 2013 the Plaintiff was targeted out of her condo where she was denied lease renewal as the rental office changed all of her payment records to display payments as late each month as the Plaintiff had paid her lease a half month early nearly each month. The Plaintiff was forced out of her residence during the snowy month of November 2012 to find housing.

285. 2013 the Plaintiff found a new residence where the assaults by nano sensors, UAV/UAS including hovercrafts greatly increased in harms against the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff began to sustain greater chemical burns to her scalp.

286. 2013 while living in this Dublin condo the Plaintiff was severely struck to her head to a point that she was unable to visibly see anything while her head pounded as though her brain was about to burst as she also sustained bleeding from her nose and ear. A strike to her head that occurred as she was sitting in the great room of her residence. Such attacks have become common and at any time, even while driving her vehicle when she can.

287. 2013 shortly after the strikes to the head of the Plaintiff, she was struck to her heart in what appeared to be an attempt to create a heart attack as these strikes repeated and forced the Plaintiff to shield her chest and breast.

288. 2013 to current day February 2015 the Plaintiff has learned of the tortuous abilities to harm the Plaintiff with UAVs/UAS and hovercraft systems that have been assigned to destroy and harm her body. The Plaintiff's life has never been the same and never will. The Plaintiff is now damaged from her head to her feet and endure painful nano sensors GPSing (pulling through her skin) attached to her joints, muscles, spine, head, hands, and breaks to her skin that are relentless. The slicing has never stopped against her body yet she has tried to continue to live as a human being knowing that billions of citizens are still good citizens, yet our government, Senate and others have appeared to turn a blind eye to the millions of citizens as (I), the Plaintiff of this Complaint who have sent many pleas for help to stop these criminal inhuman, human and civil rights abuses, and genocide against the Plaintiff and the good of our country.

289. Video after video, photo after photo, day after day, assault after assault the torture against the Plaintiff continues without ceasing. The PHOTO EXHIBITS of torture are only samplings of the way that THE PLAINTIFF have been forced to endure as she continues to live in fear of ongoing attacks,

assaults by UAV/UAS, individuals in personal and military vehicles daily, assaults by military hovercrafts hovering nightly and daily, and planes that hover over her home and her vehicle as THE PLAINTIFF drives striking her in her head and heart to possibly induce a heart attack or induce a brain aneurism..

290. These criminal networks of those who target the Plaintiff are vast, from engaging those who would steal the Plaintiffs mail or affect her mail delivery, to those who would shut down her phone system, or her electricity, or manipulate her bank account or shut off her water or electricity to her home that generally follows illness. **These acts are acts of terrorism** and these people do not care who they harm while as the attack innocent civilian such as the Plaintiff.

291. THE PLAINTIFF has been forced to place cameras in her vehicle and around her home to try to stop some of these attacks by the many on foot, in vehicles, and in trucks that attack her in and around her residence, or those who impede her drive daily, or try injure her in a forced vehicle accident as they try to run her off the roads or over hills or mountains on the dark Pennsylvania or Ohio turnpike.

292. THE PLAINTIFF have been harassed and set-up for a speeding ticket by several Ohio State Patrol officers (some who have been videoed in their actions against the Plaintiff) as well, all to maintain sense of financial loss to the Plaintiff along with the terror and harassment when a law enforcement representative has the ability to carry an harmed weapon. All of these act against the Plaintiff are to and have been to maintain a sense of helplessness as well if the Plaintiff is not able to rely on a Highway Sheriff for assistance. Instead the sheriff who blended in with those perpetrating crimes against the Plaintiff with the use of their large trucks stated to the Plaintiff that he recorded THE PLAINTIFF as she entered the Ohio turnpike entrance and followed her for miles as she was in route to University Hospitals IT center. This sheriff stated that he recorded THE PLAINTIFF vehicle as she entered near Lordstown then followed me until THE PLAINTIFF increased her speed to over 80 miles per hour which was not nearly 15 miles from the entrance of the turnpike. All along the drive, from the entry to the turnpike the Plaintiff was harassed, nearly driven into the center wall several times, by to large trucks with tinted windows. These truck even at one point performed a figure 8 as they cri-crossed the Plaintiff vehicle in with each truck starting from directly behind, causing the Plaintiff to lose control as to which direction she should go to avoid impact. AND THE SHERIFF WAS VIDEOING ALL OF THIS? (why me), as THE PLAINTIFF was harassed by two F150 trucks with dark tinted windows. As THE PLAINTIFF tried to avoid hitting the concrete wall to break away from these men who had harassed HER for miles, the police threw on his police lights and pulled me over. The state patrol officer gave me a false ticket stating that THE PLAINTIFF was going over 80 miles per hour and he had recorded me for miles, all which were lies. THE PLAINTIFF had gone nearly 20 miles dealing with harassment, if he followed me; he was also involved and witnessed the constant harassment by these truck driver. THE PLAINTIFF was stuck with a ticket that THE PLAINTIFF did not deserve.

293. Defendant (UH) University Hospital Information Technology Center - On October 10, 2011 the Plaintiff after enduring attempted auto crashes on the Ohio Turnpike repeatedly, organized stalking on the highway and roadways then workplace mobbing which included the attacks against her work

product for the University Hospitals Information Technology center as a Project Manager, she was fired from her contractual position and was told that several unnamed employees had lied and reported that the Plaintiff had brought a gun into the technology center to address those who were stalking her. This was a complete lie and the last effort of many who engage in organized workplace sabotage, workplace mobbing and corporate sabotage without caring. I had never carried a gun into any building but had been forced to endure ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY ASSAULTS quietly, the DELETION OF ALL OF MY WORK PRODUCT ON THREE OCCASIONS, where the Plaintiff videoed the hacking of her laptop once in front of another Project Manager and Director who immediately reported the occurrence. At this point, the third occurrence, the Plaintiff was now backing up all work produce every hour to avoid loss yet nothing happened to those who preached the security of the hospital medical records which were also erased during their work place sabotage. This is the seriousness of the organized terrorist groups who are operating in our country, in our banks, our post offices, our hospitals, our restaurants, and more as they are falsely lied to with false propaganda about innocent citizens who's lives and health are being destroyed for no reason. This client ruined my professional character, accused me of false damaging information and allowed a group of employees who should have been labeled as Domestic Terrorist as they did not hesitate to damage 'live' patient medical records that NO ONE SHOULD HAVE EVER HAD ACCESS TOO FOR TESTING. This in itself was a major HIPAA violation and was brought to their attention by me upon my arrival to the I.T. center.

O. LAW AND ANALYSIS (AND IMPLANTATION OF FOREIGN DEVICES)

294. The DOD Department of Defense is responsible for EVERY ASPECT of the lives of ALL CITIZENS of these United States of America. The DOD has established directives (not laws) for years that are only designed to permanently injure and or destroy the lives of law abiding citizens; from our health, to our finances, to the IRS, to local police and that includes our Civil Rights, Human Rights, Mental Health, and our Military Agencies Jointly. For The Department of Defense to have documented a DOD Drone Joint Targeting training Document that details how to destroy even law-abiding citizens, to inflect inhuman painful nano sensors into their body (that includes the Plaintiff) and control the population with false propaganda, it is hard for the Plaintiff to believe that any human being governing our country could had documented such a torturous genocidal process and procedure where NO ONE PERSON (HUMAN BEING) IS EXEMPT FROM these harms. NOT EVEN THOSE WHO WORK FOR THEIR AGENCIES. Referencing 'Joint Publication 3-60 Joint Targeting – 13 April 2007 and attached as an Exhibit and evidence. And referencing Department of Defense 'Procedures Governing the Activities of DOD Intelligence Components that Affect United States Persons – December 1982'.

295. At the beginning of these life- changing devastating occurrences, attacks and torture of the Plaintiff; the Plaintiff was unaware of the above documents and the funding provided by our government to the Department of Defense that encourages, creates and authorizes the targeting of innocent civilians across our country as documented in the DOD Joint Targeting training document and the DOD Directive 5240.1-r – Procedure 13 – Human Experimentation for Intelligence purposes, as local police code of conduct falls also under the Department of Justice, who clearly refused to investigate the Plaintiff many

police reports issue about police abuse, nor investigate the formal complaints of harassment and assault by war weapons and other technologies shout into the home, vehicle and at the body of the Plaintiff; this in it self has allowed for the number of criminal elements that have occupied our local police, the FBI, and community oriented policing, neighborhood watch groups and Fusion centers across our county with the spreading of false propaganda in order to selectively destroy innocent citizens such as the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, and has.

295. Where the process of targeting and criminally torturing the Plaintiff and other citizens of this country, the Plaintiff was not being subjected to the severe burns of Electronic Harassment by Lasers and other military war devices and technologies. The Plaintiff was a quite citizen, working in her profession, raising her child, serving her church and community not being horrified by burning lasers being shot into her home and cowering in the corners of her home trying to find relief from the constant pain, burns and frequencies being massively sprayed into here home. The objective of the Cleveland Heights police, fire and city employees were to target, torture and assault The Plaintiff without cause and did. An objective to terrify the Plaintiff out of her home, and career thus causing the Plaintiff home to go into Foreclosure and it did. As stated by recorded information shared by Cleveland Heights police outside of her home; 'THE PLAINTIFF had no right to live in her home or to have built it' - so the hate crimes escalated until they terrorized me out of her home. Within twenty-four hours after the PLAINTIFF was forced out of her home, and on a public street where the police purposefully parked on the wrong side of the street, across from her home shooting directed energy into her home all day, and on a public street, the Plaintiff home was stripped to the drywall on a street that the Plaintiff was placed under constant surveillance, twenty-four hours a day; with police photographed outside her home harassing her daily. Now a team of men were allowed to strip the Plaintiff home to the bare walls; marble, ceramic tile, lighting, cabinets, four bathrooms, maple wood; nearly \$50,000 in damages the Plaintiff own custom work and money that she had paid for and put into her home all removed, stolen for profit. This was the pattern of several homes on the Plaintiff street of Monticello Blvd Cleveland Ohio for a couple of years prior to her departure. One investor allowed to take position of homes for a very low price which appeared to be with the success of the local police and city employees, after those home were stripped to nothing, then rehabbed and resoled for a large profit. To these criminals, targeting me (the Plaintiff) out of her home was easy however the use of war weapons against her body was criminal torture. This was their pattern and use of government funding, weapons, technology and resources to attack, harass and destroy her life and health for her property and the use of her body illegally (HUMAN TRAFFICING) for non-consensual experimentation, and criminal attacks that continues today.

296. Unaware of the term 'targeting' especially by our own government agencies;, or the 'governments definition' of targeting as described in the many military training manuals such as FM 2-05.301 (FM 33-1-1) MCRP 3-40.6A PSYCHOLOGICAL OPERATIONS TACTICS, TECHNIQUES, AND PROCEDURES – DECEMBER 2003 that is distributed to the U.S. Government agencies and their contractors, researchers and others as these documents and training manuals only details the process and procedure of TARGETING, RESERVE MOBILIZATION, PSYOP MAPPING, SOCIAL

MARKETING, UNCONVENTIONAL WARFARE 'gang-stalking' their targets, targets defined as U.S. PERSONS and others on U.S. SOIL. These training manual (amongst a host of others), alone refers to human links, nodes as centers of power and influence on a human being along with human factors; (the following/stalking to gain information such as their strengths and weaknesses or support systems, or to indicate if the citizen target is law abiding, all about their targeting of individuals and groups) intelligence, attitudes, emotions, beliefs values, morality, personality and so on, and which weapons systems to be deployed upon that citizen. This document even refers to humans as weapons to be deployed against their targets. This document provides training for the military and contractor base on how to deploy critical nodes as elements and placed in position to **degrade and attack their targets**. This document discussed the tactics on the dispensing of propaganda material against their target and the initial use of lethal and non-lethal weapons to determine the reaction of the target. Just this document alone and provided as a training manual to the military and contractor base is destroying the Plaintiff life along with the millions of other citizens who are being 'unwittingly' (unknowledgeable) targeted for testing of war weapons, war games, and military theater operations and even National Guard Peacetime 90 day rotation training. This goes without say, the many criminal cells who have linked to the many government agencies, contractors, Homeland Security Fusion centers, Community Oriented Police, Infraguard, and neighborhood watch groups and more across our country. And lastly, to prove that the 'Joint Targeting' document is designed for the use of targeting of U.S. Persons, the document included the reference of another division of the Department of Defense which is the use of Health and Human Service for targeting citizens assistance. HHS or Health and Human Services encourages citizens by television commercials and other means to label themselves as depressed, bi-polar or suffering with any other forms of mental disorder. If all citizens were aware that 90 percent of the mental disorders are possible imposed by devices, techniques and technologies Patented and perfected by government funded agencies, contractors and researchers their would be an outrage demanding accountability for these many harms, this includes the nearly daily spraying of what are known as CHEMTRAILS, the spraying on all citizens with unknown chemicals that result in all forms of illnesses and breathing problems as minor forms of releases.

297. As this complaint is began its initial draft in (February 2012) 75 United States Senators voted to authorize 30,000 additional UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES Kill Drones over such as the Reaper and over the United States skies. As the Plaintiff, THE PLAINTIFF inform you that these drones are attack Drones, drones and unmanned Ariel vehicles that can now in 2015 hover as high as 65,000 feet of entire cities with nano sensor payloads that are designed as GPS sensors to track citizens, Infrared Sensors to light the human body and Chemical and Biological Sensors that can result in rare cancers, and other illnesses at the will of the UAV/UAS drone handler with 'Godlike Powers' granted. As Plaintiff, THE PLAINTIFF have been attacked by these nano sensors and endure the pains as these Ariel devices hover all around her home 24 hours a day; attacked by Direct Energy Weapons and Electronic Magnetic Pulse Weapons, High Frequency Weapons, Chemical Weapons and more along with others as these military and government contractors attack, test and evaluate these weapons on innocent civilians with these weapons; now a list of 75 Senators authorize more of these weapons in the skies to attack humans and invade their privacy with through-the-wall visibilities that can monitor and record our every private

moments. These Drones (UAV/UAS including low orbiting satellites) have the ability to target with 'through the walls' technology with pin-point precision, there is no place that any citizen can be protected in the so-called safety of your home, from harms as these war weapons and devices are pointed at our organs and body. They have the ability to scan your body, from head to toe with technology that will burn are body from the inside out as 'they' have now done to her body, the Plaintiff for years leaving permanent damages.

298. As, the Plaintiff, THE PLAINTIFF researched further, THE PLAINTIFF located the mandated training manuals for the military and government contractor base that details mandatory training and target mutations. This document went as far as to qualify U.S. targets from nursery schools to hospitals and private citizens; it continued by stating what was considered as 'low' targets that (NSL) National Security Letters are issued upon; targets that appeared to be easily targeted for testing, evaluation or elimination as collateral damage. The training manual required mandated number of hits upon each target by each training participant. With that being said, and THE PLAINTIFF as Plaintiff in this complaint have directly been targeted by military Drones, parked, filmed and photographed outside her home, night after night subjecting me to injuries, torture, severe emotional distress and more – THE PLAINTIFF now read that a group of Senators including Senator Barbara Boxer have voted to authorize 30,000 additional Drones across our skies. With an already designated number of required training strikes by these drone handlers, upon human targets for inhumane, non-consensual illegal human experimentation or as 'collateral damage' as per any (NSL) National Security Letter issued; this is clearly defined as **WAR CRIMES against Humanity and against American citizens**. This information was drawn from required training for USAF Drones defined as 'Weapons Employment Qualifications' drawn from the National ACLU DOD Drone FOIA located at http://www.aclu.org/files/dronefoia/dod/drone_dod_AFI_11-2MQ-9V1.pdf

299. An additional document in reference is the Department of Defense Report for Search of Human Radiation Experimentation Records compiled by Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs dated June 1997. An example of continued budgets to government agencies, the military and contractor base is FY 2007 RDT&E, N BUDGET Item Justification Sheed Exhibit R-2 detailing JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH

1,880 8,910 6,036 6,043 6,043 6,043 6,043

***P. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION,
with an ESTIMATE COMPLETION OF FY 2011.***

300. This program funds the applied research, study, assessment, and demonstration of technologies that could provide a non-lethal capability or target effect.

Investment areas include applied research related to: non-lethal directed energy weapons (lasers, millimeter wave and high power microwave) for counter-personnel and counter-material missions; non-lethal acoustic and optical technologies; advanced non-lethal materials (including materials for

vehicle/vessel stopping and advanced anti-traction materials); associated human effects and effectiveness for new non-lethal stimuli; injury potential and effectiveness of directed energy, electric stun, ocular, and acoustic based non-lethal technologies; and developing models of crowd behavior and dynamics. This program transitioned from Program Element (PE) 0602114N, Power Projection Applied Research by order of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, USD(AT&L), to a separate PE for Joint Non-Lethal Weapons Applied Research and established the Marine Corps as the executive agent for DoD Joint Non-Lethal Weapons RDT&E. Due to the number of efforts in this PE, the programs described herein are representative of the work included in this PE.

301. Without such knowledge, all of what was researchable on the internet; THE PLAINTIFF was not aware that the many damages to her body, her property and her health, were a part of the many strategies defined as ‘targeting’ of U.S. persons as defined by the **Department of Defense Directive 5240.1-R**. For the sake of that period, THE PLAINTIFF will summarize the many occurrences that are supported by evidence, health records, property damage and property repair invoices, along with photographs and video recordings of local police and others in the commission of their many torturous illegal and inhumane acts against the Plaintiff.

302. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles requests to file this lawsuit as means of removing any (NSL) National Security Letters that may exist against her and her life, and to cease the documented targeting, attacks, physical assaults, workplace sabotage, all crimes and non-consensual human experimentation, devices and unnamed systems pointed at her body that are being performed, orchestrated, and executed against the Plaintiff ‘under to color of laws’; and to seek all payments and remedies, for damages incurred due to these physical and psychological attacks, crimes, non-consensual experimentation, physical assaults, attacks and intentional infliction of emotional distress.

303. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles has sought legal counsel but has been denied at all times. As documented and verified’, the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles’ life has been physically destroyed and financially ruined as a result of theses actions by the Defendants. Audio and video evidence will prove that local police and others conspired to unjustly target, destroy, harass and assault while inciting severe emotional distress on the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles.

304. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, was in good health, and financially sound with a career that she loved prior to the targeting and attacks on her life by the Defendants. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles was a successful homeowner, and successful information technology consultant, author and community and church volunteer prior to these attacks by the Defendants. Plaintiff, can no longer live or work in peace without constant fear of harm by Defendants and their co-conspirators, and has sustained irreparable physical and visible damages to her life, her health, her finances and her profession as result of the continued targeting and attacks by Defendants and their ‘unnamed co-conspirators’.

305. The Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, have been subjected to twenty-four hour day, seven day a week targeting, by directed energies, radiation and other energies, devices and the positioning of UAVs around her home for years. UAV photographed an video as close as to the roof of her bedroom as lasers were

being ran up and down her body burning her internally. The harassment by organized stalking by groups have never stopped, the constant pressure of worry of constant staged accidents continue each time the Plaintiff leaves her home, as mind controlled participants in the acts of targeting the Plaintiff into undo stress for which they are successful. The war weapons, technologies and device assaults never stop. The Plaintiff, subjected to injuries by some of these war weapons as defined by the military as 'surplus military weapons' for crowd control and not being pointed at the Plaintiff. Targeting, attacks and harassment as a 'cover' that is resulting in illegal inhumane non-consensual human experimentation of war weapons and blatant criminal acts against the Plaintiff body and property continue which included the breaking and entering into the Plaintiff home most times that she leaves her home, these act now include the opening and closing of the Plaintiff garage door leaving her with no other control than to lock the garage door internally in order to leave her home, all additional distress. Local police and others leading those who are engaging in constant harassment and targeting of the Plaintiff by neighbors, strangers, and other; harassment and targeting by impeding the Plaintiff vehicle as she attempts to drive with acts of aggressive road rage by multiple drivers at once as they swarm her vehicle. Road rage and violent acts of being forced off the roads at high speeds by multiple vehicles and truckers as they swarm and block her vehicle. Targeting as defined as community-policing and 'gang-stalking' by repeated damage to her home and her vehicle constantly.

305. Targeting against the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles in the workplace on many occurrences that has resulted in incidents of loss of work and loss of employment contracts due to this ongoing networking of those targeting the Plaintiff in the workplace. Workplace mobbing targeting, work product sabotage, slander, and other targeting techniques including damage to the Plaintiff computers and work product continued to occur against Plaintiff as these acts have been videoed and witnessed by workplace workers.

306. Targeting by many including UAVs that have been photographed and videoed as assaults increased to alarming rates of injury to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles made every attempt to traveled to and from work until the attacks escalated to dangerous paralyzing attacks to her joints, legs, heart and ever her head that have now left her body undependable to daily life as such a young age. Prior to forcibly sustaining these attacks while driving at early morning hours and before sunrise the Plaintiff was subjected to horrific methods while attempting to gain employment or technology contracts as the Plaintiff would meet clients or employment interviews that resulted in positive meetings, and at times verbal offers of contracts, but where later withdrawn as the Plaintiff saw two men who had continually followed and stalked her to every interview or meeting for employment were the offers of employment or contract were later withdrawn. When the Plaintiff was fortunate to obtain a contract or employment with a large company, a company to large, or with necessary security were those targeting her could not reach the interviewers, then targeting in the workplace by those networked would soon begin upon her arrival to that new position and continued on a hourly and daily basis by those who are quickly engaged at the new company of the Plaintiff. Since the targeting of the Plaintiff has began in the workplace she has continued to video and photograph these acts being committed against her by those who have little concern about corporate ethics, or company privacy as they are more than willing to

destroy company information in order to destruct the life of the Plaintiff or any others they may target as well.

307. The Plaintiff has been placed under surveillance and targeted by hundreds that are lead by local police, and others in every city that she lives and drives. As the Plaintiff is being targeted with unlawful surveillance she is also being subjected to assaults by war technology placed in the hands of local police as surplus war weapons for crowd control and. Such weapons as direct energy and electromagnetic pulse (burn) weapons as well. The injuries that the Plaintiff has sustained from these weapons have been many. Injuries, such as burns to her body that are still visible, to being subjected to high-levels of heat to her body, to severe muscle spasms that frequently occur, to the loss of feeling in limbs as the Plaintiff attempts to walk or drive, to the constant severe headaches that she if forced to endure daily as she sustains attacks to her head.

308. The assaults and attacks on the life of Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles is not limited to those noted in prior paragraphs, these attacks have also include severe food poison, poisoning so severely that the Plaintiff was unable to eat or walk for over a week, to rashes that suddenly appeared on her body after contact with a stranger in a grocery store where a chemical was sprayed to her feet and within twenty-four hours an unknown rash began to traveled up her body from her feet to her arms. Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles being forcible subjected and attacked with direct and finite streams of direct energy weapons, burning sensations of energy that was being shot into every area of her home that continues to exist today; to being forcibly subjected to direct and high levels of heat attacks to her body nearly every half hour as she, the Plaintiff, attempts to shield her body in order to sleep every night. To the constant attacks to her head and limbs as she can hear this constant impact. These are acts of torture being committed against the Plaintiff everyday of her life. These attacks on the life of the Plaintiff are not limited to attacks by land, these attacks as photographed and videoed also include attacks by military hovercrafts, and military drones over the Plaintiff residence, and as these aircraft position themselves they have videoed and photographed over the Plaintiff home. These same drones and hovercrafts have been videoed and photographed, as assaults have occurred as the Plaintiff attempts to drive to and from work as well.

309. As recent as January 20, 2012 through current year 2014, the Plaintiff videoed these hovercrafts, drones and other Ariel devices(aircrafts)and that video was emailed to President Obama, his executive staff Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett, the Senate Intelligence Members, the now Defendant the (NSA) National Security Administration in a formal complaint of the attack and assaults by directed energy war weaponry amongst other wireless devices and war technologies. As the UAVs and military grade Hovercrafts continued their low orbiting over the Plaintiffs home, the Plaintiff also filed several formal written complaints with the to the National FAA and several other government agencies and asking that they assist the Plaintiff in removing these aircrafts, and their attacks from her and against her. The National FAA answered by requesting that the Plaintiff contact the local FAA for which she did several times and received no reply or answer back.

309a. In reference to the **Defendant the NSA the National Security Administration**, no responses were received from the nearly ten letters and emails sent to the Director of the NSA also requesting assistance in stopping the assault against the Plaintiff life and property, even with supplied video and photographic evidence of the assaults and physical damages caused to the Plaintiff.

310. **The Plaintiff also filed a request in a formal compliant was also sent filed as a formal U.S. Patriot Act Compliant with Maria Otero the Under Secretary of State for Civilian Security Democracy** and Human Rights at U.S. Department of State, 2201 1c. Street N.S. Room 7261, Washington D.C., 20520 Phone:201-647-34000, with a copy also sent to President Obama, and received no response. The letter was also emailed to her assistances at dymekmb@stste.gov. After waiting for over two months THE PLAINTIFF later addressed her letter to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton for which she did not respond either then resigned her position the following month. , at her residence be removed and asked that these attacks stop assault against her life. These military aircrafts and military drones remain today and continue to assault the Plaintiff daily outside the Plaintiff bedroom, living room, and kitchen windows with most attacks resulting in electromagnetic pulses to her entire body, residence, bed and other areas. These are only some of the acts of these Defendants and co-conspirators have continued to conspire against the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles.

311. The Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles is a human being, a living sole; and living beings, including animals, are aware of pain as injury is afflicted. Injuries as THE PLAINTIFF have been *forcible* subjected to for years. Afflictions and injuries such as sexual and perverted assaults with technology as she attempts to sleep; burns to her entire body also as she attempts to THE PLAINTIFF sleep, or being subjected to hit by these weapons as she walked that either leaves scaring or surface burns that pains for days and scares for ever.

312. Where the Plaintiff has been illegally targeted by police, community groups, neighbors, workplace mobbing/sabotage, and others without any ability to protect herself from their criminal actions; she the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles has not granted consent to any agency, person or otherwise to conduct any form of testing, evaluation or attacks on her person (mind or body), or property. If she the Plaintiff had been asked for consent to conduct any experimentation of weapons, she would not have granted consent to anyone. Plaintiff is not 'unwitting' of the acts of torture, acts of assaults to her health, acts of criminal intent to harm her, acts of theft of her property, acts of inflection of intentional injury to her body, or the acts of intentional psychological and emotional distress, that being purposefully inflicted, and other acts to be defined in this complaint; nor has (she) the Plaintiff, granted consent for any human or inhumane experimentation to her person, to anyone or any entity; though she, the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles has been forced to endure all stated and more

313. **These crimes against the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, as caused by the Defendants are also 'crimes against humanity' as defined in the Nuremberg Charter, and are crimes against all people of our United States of America, and those abroad as designated AND HAVE NO STATUTE OF**

LIMITATIONS IN THE PLAINTIFF LEGAL FILING, described and documented in public Senate Appropriations Budgets and Budget Justifications THIS INFORMATION IS NOT CONSIDERED 'STATE SECRET'.

314. Senate Budgets and Budget Justifications document, war weapons and war technologies, to be developed, perfected, tested, and evaluated on civilian populations and regions across our country are not considered 'state secrets' for these are public documents that affect all citizens of our United States of America and those countries that are notably documented in these budget documents. Many American civilians and those in the military think that they have an opportunity to live and work in peace as law abiding citizens of these United States, but they do not. Who would have thought that those with trillions of dollars in government funding; U.S. taxpayer dollars, would set out to destroy the lives of the citizens of these United States and others for the sake of developing and testing war weapons of mass destruction, who. If civilians only understood the truth about the ill effects to their health that is being caused each day due to the testing of these weapons in our air, our water, our body and other means- as millions are targeted for this the non-consensual testing of weapons. There would be an outcry so loud that it would be heard in the atmosphere.

Q. Plaintiff incorporates the covert and overt acts of fraud in this matter AS WELL:

315. The covert and overt acts engaged in by defendants and the government agencies and individuals involved, illegally developing a plan to cause the Plaintiff severe emotional distress and/or death, and these include, but are not limited to: remote assaults by UAV/UAS, military grade hovercrafts, and other Ariel devices that injected the Plaintiff with a number of war weaponry devices and technologies including painful nano sensors and other chemical and biological weaponry to include other 'Criminal Enterprise' activities as false collection communications, telephone calls, requested and mandated meetings with psychologist who rejected documented proof of medical assaults and photographs and videos of devices and more, verbal and written communications denying her Civil Rights, Human Rights and Civil Liberties; and mental processes and issuing a National Security Letter and possible Suspicious Activity Letter to groups and individuals to incite HUMAN INTELLIGENCE AND FALSE INFORMATION AS DEFINED IN THE DOD JOINT TARGETING OF INDIVIDUALS, against her to cause her and those important to her intentional physical and emotional distress, and possibly death in an inconspicuous manner while it is clearly documented in the DOD Directive 5240.1-r that a National Security Letter is required and is to be issued by the U.S. States Attorney General for the targeting of any U.S. Person.

316. These Defendants, have CONSPIRED WITH CO-CONSPIRATORS TO VIOLATE THE PLAINTIFF CIVIL RIGHTS, CIVIL LIBERTIES, and HUMAN AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS as well as commit Crimes against humanity as defined by the United Nations (<http://www.un.org/icc/crimes.htm>) the definition of crimes against humanity in article 5 of the draft

statute is based on the Nürnberg Charter and takes into account subsequent developments of international law. Proposals for the definition of crimes against humanity include acts, which would constitute such a crime when committed in a widespread and/or systematic manner, and/or on a massive scale, and/or on specified grounds. **In VERIFIED documentation, within our own United States Senate Appropriations Budgets that has authorized unethical, illegal, inhuman, and non-consensual human testing and evaluation of weapons of mass destruction, and chemical and biological weapons on United States populations and countries abroad, these acts, in the masses, are CONSIDERED CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY.**

317. As documented by the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, one item, amongst others as documented within this complaint, is the Plaintiff's January 2012 discovery of the Department of Defense Directive 5240.1-R. As the Department of Defense defines within DOD Directive 5240.1-R that the '*target*' (defined as a U.S. person) placed under surveillance, would be considered as '*unwitting*' or '*grants consent*' to allow their body to be used for testing..... Clearly as the DOD is responsible for local police and community groups placed under the Department of Justice, any citizen targeted and placed under surveillance would be illegally and inhumanly experimented on with war technology. The Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, is neither *unwitting*, nor has she granted consent for any type of testing of weapons or chemical experimentation, or evaluation, or psychological attacks, or assaults, or torture to her body, life, possessions or property.

318. Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles as an information technology consultant of over 20 years is familiar with various forms of technology; from medical imaging and laser capabilities, to various other forms of technology. The Plaintiff also understands some of the war weapons capabilities, and the use of satellites as weapons or as used for surveillance. The Plaintiff does not have to understand that satellites and other technologies are used to **purposely inflict physical and emotional damage to the citizens as herself and other citizens of our United States**. The use of satellites to harm innocent civilians would have not been an anticipated concept that the Plaintiff, as a *normal* human being would have. The Plaintiff believe in our Constitution and in Human and Civil Rights and Liberties; to think that any local police, government agencies, military, special forces or government contractors would come together to purposefully inflict injury on human beings, across our country or our world, as noted in DOD Directive 5240.1.R was previously inconceivable.

319. Where the Plaintiff may not have been '*witting*' to the DOD directive 5240.1-R until January 2014, the Plaintiff was clearly aware that she was being subjected to torturous attacks by many. Torturous attacks by local police in many cities, by military aircrafts, private planes (as described for use in one of the many military training manuals. Military training manuals such as the '*Army Peacetime Training manual*' and others. Torturous attacks by neighbors who are given these ***direct energy surplus weapons*** that were presented to local police and fire by the military for crowd control and not to be used on innocent civilians sitting in their homes as the Plaintiff. Torturous attacks by civilians and those driving (and photographed) with military license plates and police badges so proudly displayed on their license

plates that impede the Plaintiff and attempt to cause the Plaintiff serious vehicle accidents on a daily basis as she attempts to drive across roads and highways daily. These torturous and dangerous acts also includes the Ohio State Highway Patrol officers who also engaged in vehicle harassment accompanied by others and committed against the Plaintiff as these acts video as well. A never-ending cycle of tactic of assaults and harassment by vehicles, truckers, and police networked and engaged in these attacks.

320. With Plaintiff now having an understanding of the existence of such a inhuman directive as the DOD Directive 5240.1-R, (1994); in summary, authorizing the government, military and the thousand of government contractors (with no oversight) to conduct human experimentation on U.S. persons, who are targeted with surveillance. And with the Plaintiff knowledge of the DOD Drone Joint Targeting document of the targeting of individuals and groups, the Plaintiff considers these documents as clear evidence of her past years of torture and torment at the hands of government funded groups and individuals.

321. Surveillance and targeting created without cause in the life of the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles by the city police, fire and city employees of the city of Cleveland Heights Ohio, and each city police department their after that the Plaintiff has lived worked or occupied. This goes without stating the ongoing Senate Appropriations Budgets to government contractors, the military, special forces and others to continue to develop, test and evaluate (WMD) Weapons of Mass Destruction. Senate Budgets that authorize war weapons and chemical and biological weapons technology, amongst others that is granting inhuman authority to Defendants to target, and test these technologies in our United States regions and cites that has transcended into unauthorized non-consensual testing on humans such as herself the Plaintiff.

322. Government agencies, military, police, fire, and citizens groups (UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW) have continued their unlawful and inhumane targeting of the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles, as a cover for criminal activities, theft of her property, hate crimes and war technology testing and evaluation on her body. These torturous attacks continue within each city that the Plaintiff has lived, coupled, or worked. The acts of non-consensual government experimentation as documented in decades of Senate Appropriations Budgets to the military, government agencies, and the government contractor base within these United States of America and abroad.

323. The DOD Directive 5240.1-R, requires the consent of the *target* ‘U.S. Persons’ in order for any entity to conduct human experimentation and testing of war technology on their body. Those indicated as ‘targeted’ for surveillance. Such a statement in itself is a ‘*misnomer*’; under government or police surveillance, yet the *target* is to provide consent, to allow their body to be used for the testing of military weapons and technology, such technology as mind-control technologies, direct energy weapons, electromagnetic pulse weapons, high frequency and low frequency weapons, laser burn guns, chemical and biological weapons..... by the military, government contractors and others – those unnamed

renegade thousands of government sub-contractors with no oversight, really? DOD Directive 5240.1-R in itself has resulted in the DOCUMENTED and VERIFIED, torture that (I) the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles have been forced to endure, to this day –and now for years.

324. As also defined by human rights law and the United Nations these acts against the Plaintiff and all U.S. persons, caused her multiple persons are considered as Crimes Against Humanity. The definition of ‘crimes against humanity’ contained in the **Nürnberg Charter** included the requirement that the prohibited acts be committed in connection with crimes against peace or war crimes. According to the draft statute, the definition of this crime would include the following prohibited acts:

- murder;
- extermination;
- enslavement;
- deportation or forcible transfer of population;
- torture;
- rape or other sexual abuse of comparable gravity, or enforced prostitution;
- persecution against a group on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural or religious (and possibly gender) grounds;
- enforced disappearance of persons;
- other inhumane acts causing serious injury to body or to mental or physical health;
- detention, imprisonment, or deprivation of liberty in violation of international law.

In the draft statute, *extermination* is defined as including the infliction of conditions of life calculated to bring about the destruction of part of a population.

325. Torture may also be defined as it is in the United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, of 10 December 1984; which requires that the acts be committed by a public official. Or torture may be defined as the intentional infliction of severe pain or suffering, but excluding pain and suffering arising only from lawful sanctions for which the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles has and is being subjected to.

326. As further defined by the United Nations, these actions can be considered as considered Terrorism by Defendants as well as defined by the United Nations has committed acts of ‘TERRORISM’ as defined in ‘Other categories of crimes’ by the United Nations: (<http://www.un.org/icc/crimes.htm>):

326a. Other categories of crimes by named Defendants: Terrorism

In the draft statute, the crime of terrorism is defined in three paragraphs:

326b. Undertaking, organizing, sponsoring, ordering, facilitating, financing, encouraging or tolerating acts of violence against another State directed at persons or property and of such a nature as to create terror, fear or insecurity in the minds of public figures, groups of persons, the general public or populations, for whatever considerations and purposes of a political, philosophical, ideological, racial, ethnic, religious or such other nature that may be invoked to justify them;

additionally the 'Act of Terrorism' can be brought forward against the Defendants when individuals can make the same complaint about the same actions against them as has been done by the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles and the individuals who have also requested a Congressional Investigation and Hearing into these same actions against citizens, human beings, and without cause.

326c. Offenses under six listed conventions, such as the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft and the International Convention against the

326d. Taking of Hostages;

- a. An offence involving the use of firearms, weapons, explosives, and dangerous substances when used as a means to perpetrate indiscriminate violence involving death or serious bodily injury to persons or groups of persons or populations or serious damage to property.

327. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles has NO other remedy available as Plaintiff has sought resolution to cease these ongoing attacks, non-consensual experimentation, the violations of federal laws, and crimes against her person, her civil rights, her civil liberties, her property, and her health that are being committed against her daily. Plaintiff has sought resolution from the highest levels of our government and from local, state, and federal offices to include the Executive Offices of our current President of these United States of America with no avail.

328. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles is forced to combine all offenses due to the DOD 'directive' 5240.1-R (1994) that includes the methods of 'targeting', 'surveillance' and their assumptions that results in inhumane non-consensual human extermination on those that are targeted.

329. Due to the number of federal violations by the Defendants against the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, and the possibly higher criminal offenses that have taken place against the Plaintiff 'involving two or more people', that has been a *depraved preplanned and premeditated heinous unlawful acts* that was, and are being intentionally carried out knowing fully and well ahead of time that trauma, emotional distress, harm and injury is occurring during these acts against the Plaintiff; as the Plaintiff has filed numerous federal complaints with the police, and the Department of Justice Civil Rights Division (who is responsible for civil violations caused by police) in our United States; as well as many police reports filed against several local police in their jurisdictions to no resolution. Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles has also filed formal complaints regarding the use of military weapons and technology by defendant; police, military, and Homeland Security Fusion centers that has caused her injury without answer.

330. Plaintiff has requested assistance in investigating and stopping these crimes being committed against her from various government offices; the Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine as in individual and a joint request of 10 other Ohio victim; and of the Ohio Senator Sherrod Brown who has received several letters from the Plaintiff, amongst other Senators and government offices and officials. The Plaintiff ongoing request to ceasing these VERIFIED attacks, targeting, surveillance, and NON-CONSENSUAL AND UNAUTHORIZED HUMAN TESTING on her body that is currently taking place has continued. The NON-CONSENSUAL UNAUTHORIZED HUMAN TESTING of government technology, and military biological, chemical, and direct energy weapons that has resulted in documented injuries to the Plaintiff; again have not halted.

331. As clearly documented the relationships of Defendants are; local police and their community policing groups **AS I HAVE SPOKEN WITH A FORMER DIRECTOR OF CERTS WHO RESIGNED DUE TO THE LEVEL OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY THAT IS INCREASING**

AGAINST INNOCENT CITIZENS; all with direct relationships with Department of Defense and Department of Justice; the Department of Justice direct relationship with the Department of Defense; the Department of Defense and Department of Justice, relationship to DOD Homeland Security; with a direct relationship with local police, community oriented policing and neighborhood watch groups; (neighborhood watch groups established by anyone for any reason without oversight). The FBI, CIA, NSA, Infraguard, Special Forces, and military branches of the Army, Navy, Marines, Air Force and Homeland Security Fusion centers utilizing Fusion centers and community groups as a catalyst for military drills, military training, military testing of weapons, special missions and Peacetime Military Training which includes the use of lethal and non-lethal weapons testing per the various Army and Special Operations training manuals. DOD Homeland Security which oversee and/or established Fusion centers across our country that has a direct relationship with community policing and groups as neighborhood watch groups, Citizens Emergency Response Teams, FEMA, COPs (amongst others) and all having a direct relationship with thousands and potentially millions of individuals/groups involved in neighborhood policing and watch groups that are targeting victims as the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles.

332. DOD Fusion centers data mining and data sharing, and linking all agencies to U.S. civilians information as well as documented and confirmed surveillance and monitoring of U.S. citizens as stated in the Washington Post article 'A Top Secret America' and a follow up article by the Washington Post entitled 'Monitoring America – December 2010' where Fusion centers acknowledge surveillance (targeting) of millions innocent U.S. citizens who have NEVER been charged with any crimes. Just this acknowledgement alone places millions of innocent civilians lives at risk of torture and testing.

333. Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles has document and verified evidence to be presented that she, the Plaintiff is being targeted for surveillance by local police, and others, and has been subjected to non-consensual experimentation on her body. The Plaintiff has been forced to endure constant harassment, attacks to her body, being impeded by drivers on the roads and highways and has watched her body deteriorate with the presence of exposure to radiation where her hair is, and has fallen out at the root in clumps, to her finger nails and toe nails that are now brittle, and have separated from the base alone with the constant exposure to direct energy attacks. The Plaintiff can NO LONGER grow hair on her legs due to the constant attacks by radiation and direct energies. The Plaintiff is attacked in every area of her home; her bed, her living room, her bathroom, everywhere that she moves. The Plaintiff joints partly and systematically are made numb every night as these many attacks occur. Attacks, harassments, and direct energy assaults that continued to occur in every city that she occupies, as the Plaintiff has been forced to move three times in less than five years.

334. It is clearly documented and verified that the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles is tracked by illegal GPS devices, and is targeted, and placed under surveillance, as she lives, drives, and moves about on a daily basis. It is clearly documented and verified that the Plaintiff is under surveillance, targeted by many, targeted by aircraft, and military hovercrafts and military drones, targeted by Fusion centers, targeted by police and other co-conspirators; even as she attempts to worship in church. It is clearly documented and verified that the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, has sustained injuries caused by the non-consensual human experimentation, and attacks to her body. Criminal and inhumane attacks that are occurring everyday and have created irreparable damage to her body and health, finances and business reputation. It is clearly documented and verified that the Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles has been financially ruined by these acts and has lost property due to the many actions by the Defendants; their inhumane, unethical, criminal, fraudulent and purposeful acts committed against her. The Plaintiff, Darlene R. Miles is and has been under severe emotional distress and fear as these attacks continue upon her life and property.

335. Further investigations by private investigators and the Plaintiff were able to obtain a phone number of hiring sources who were responsible for the hiring of drivers who engaged in targeting and stalking of there victims, along with verifying various car rental locations that rented vehicles and SUVs to these drivers who responsible for the targeting of the Plaintiff. Information gathered showed that these drivers were paid as much as \$1000.00 per week and asked to target certain area. These were clearly criminal

activities that these individuals were hired to perform, since stalking is a criminal offense.

336. As the Plaintiff has been subjected to community slander, professional devastation, and financial ruin, the many Photos, videos, and audio recordings will show that there was a criminal strategy and network to the targeting of the Plaintiff to create a delusion to cover the non-consensual experimentation that was being conducted on her body as well as the criminal cover to take possession of her property and damage her professionally. Evidence will show how police involvement with local community policing groups engaged in purposeful emotional distress by targeting, stalking, and physically harassing the Plaintiff by what the military defines as 'theater operations' in front of her home, at her workplace, and on the streets as she drives.

337. Further evidence will prove that those targeting the Plaintiff used a strategy or tactic of 'gas-lighting'. The police and Detective Bureau of Cleveland Heights Ohio by not investigating actual documented and proven incidents of crimes against the Plaintiff; but instead suggested to the Plaintiff (as recorded) that her targeting was being created by a corporation that she worked for. While the police and others did not know the Plaintiff and investigators were recording and photographing their actions around her, and her home which included the engaging of neighbors into these acts against the Plaintiff. This included the videoing of those involved in the organizing, and orchestrating of the many drivers which included the attempt to create a state of delusion by the Plaintiff, as these groups repeatedly changing drivers in vehicles, engaging the same makes and models of vehicles were (as recorded) they would circle the Plaintiff house multiple times per hour or to rotate drivers of the same vehicles so that actual descriptions of perpetrators could not be identified, and again to create a delusion as orchestrated by local police and others; these acts were also verified in a report from the private investigator as many of these drivers were sent letters from the Plaintiff attorney.

338. It was verified that most of the vehicles engaged in targeting were parked in various areas of the city of Cleveland Heights around the Plaintiff home, and included parking or working at the Cleveland Heights city hall where these drivers would swap vehicles or drivers then return to circle, or park in front of the Plaintiff home, or drive back and forth for hours and sometimes stop in front of the Plaintiff home to block her driveway; any action to harass or incite anger. Again, to create a delusion in the event that the Plaintiff would report what she saw. **What was not known by those who harassed the Plaintiff, was that all actions were being photographed and videoed.**

R. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS – NONE; FOR CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

339a. As the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles has been forced for years to be subjected to these torturous and horrific crimes against herself, these crimes are considered as '**Crimes Against Humanity**', as defined by the Rome Statue of the International Criminal Court Explanatory Memorandum, "are particularly odious offences in that they constitute, a attack on human dignity or grave humiliation or a degradation of some or more human beings. They are not isolated or sporadic events, but are part either of government policy (although the perpetrators need not identify themselves with this policy) or of a wide practice of atrocities tolerated or condoned by a government or a de facto authority. Murder; extermination; torture; rape; political; racial; or religious persecution and other inhumane acts reach the threshold of crimes against humanity only if they are part of a widespread or systematic practice. Isolated inhumane acts of this nature may constitute grave infringement of human rights, or depending on the circumstances, war crimes, but fall short of falling into the category of crimes under discussion." (source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Statue_of_limiations)

339b. Heinous crimes in the U.S., Crimes that are considered exceptionally heinous crimes by society have no statute of limitations. (source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crimes_against_humanity). War crimes are serious violations of the laws applicable in armed conflict (Also known as International

Humanitarian Law) giving rise to individual criminal responsibility. Examples of such conduct includes “murder, the ill-treatment or deportation of civilian residents of an occupied territory to slave labors camps”, “the murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war”, the killing of hostages, “the wanton destruction of cities, towns or villages, and any devastation not justified by military or civilian necessity.” (source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/War_crimes)

340. IN SUMMARY

340a. The Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, As a technology consultant responsible for systems developed in most business disciplines THE PLAINTIFF never knew of the dangerous use of war weaponry lasers, direct energies, microwave weaponry, high frequency weapons, nano sensors (sprayed into our skies as Chemtrails and into citizens) and other nano technology with chemical and biological components that were only designed for the injection into human and living things; nor was she aware of other technologies and war weaponry devices being tested to perfection and evaluated on the citizens of this country (defined by DOD as U.S. Persons) of these United States until THE PLAINTIFF became a victim of these and other technologies. The Plaintiff was forced to research who, what and why would any leader of this country allow such needless torture of any citizen for the sake of greed since all Senators are permitted to receive millions in donations from the same corporations that create such Weapons of Mass Destruction. The Plaintiff has been forced to read and learn of the many Senate Appropriations Budgets, Bills and Budget Justifications to the military, joint forces, special forces, government contractors, corporations, researchers and scientists in order to understand what is happening to her body...destruction and a slow death at the hands of a vast 'Criminal Enterprise' with Billions in government funds. The Plaintiff, As a victim of these atrocities being committed against herself and other innocent civilians as herself, THE PLAINTIFF now ask God in Heaven for Mercy on herself and the Citizens of These United States' as we citizens have entrusted the safety of our lives and country on those who we have elected, yet they are the key to the many authorizations of genocide against innocent citizens for the millions in donations that they receive from these many corporations, or just chose to turn their backs on the destruction of our country, our soldiers on the front lines and even our children as they innocently play while being sprayed with chemicals and nano technology from the Chemical trails that are sprayed nearly every clear day on ALL CITIZENS.

340b. No normal citizen (with technology knowledge) would or could begin to understand the level of evil that it takes to torture a child, woman, elderly person, or even rape man with this same technology, as they attempt to sleep (as the Plaintiff has been forced to endure remote sexual assaults as well) or attempt to live, not one human (but they do as Defendants). Each Defendant knows of the criminal intent of their actions, that they are to hide their actions against citizens for what ever their actions are against the innocent. Not one Defendant is exempt from knowledge, yet they too are willing to place themselves in harms way in order to commit these genocidal acts against myself, the Plaintiff and millions, to billions of others.

340c. No one would understand the level of torture that I endured as these weapons are purposely pointed at my body to infect inhumane injuries, quarter size holes in my breasts that bleed for months, laser burns to my eyes, arms and legs as I attempted to sleep, drilled holes or slice across the Plaintiffs breasts and other private areas of her body, or the running of a laser up and down her body as she attempts to block further damages as her skin and tissue burns from the inside out as she is awakened from a random sleep, no one – but THE PLAINTIFF DOES as a victim of these ongoing attacks that continue today in March 2015. The Plaintiff is being struck with stinging nano sensors and lasers twenty four hours a day. She listens to the impacts to the shielding materials and the hovering of the endless UAV/UAS that remains over her residence, and can do nothing to remove this government funded torture device that has hovered over her residence, no matter where she has lived for years. The Plaintiff listens to the movement of this unmanned Ariel vehicles and hovercrafts (controlled by a UAV

handler from a computer screen with God-like capabilities) as she has had an opportunity to visibly three of these drones in the daylight fly directly from her residence on three occasions. DRONES, WAR SIZE DRONE SUCH AS THE REAPER posted over her residence during the night and day, yet caught flying away, during the day on three occasions.

340d. Where THE PLAINTIFF have been forced to gain a clearer understanding of these damaging attacks on her body and life, as a citizen of these United States of America would have had no idea that our own country would point war weapons at innocent law-abiding civilians for testing and evaluation, and not care of the possibility of death that could potentially occur as the DOD directive (not law) states in Procedure 13 (permanent physical and permanent psychological damage is permitted for the testing of war weaponry), truly **UNBELIEVABLE** as ALL CITIZENS, LEADERS AND UNWITTING CITIZENS ARE ALL BEING SUBJECTED TO THE CHEMICALS BEING SPRAYED INTO OUR AIR, WATER AND FOOD.

340e. As a citizen of these United States of America, who prided herself on the right to vote, the right to live and to serve her community, to volunteer and help the young and the old with free computer skills training, and to work free while driving across the country to manage the design, implementation and install computer systems; would ever think that our own Department of Defense, Congress and Senate would authorize such tortuous acts against human beings; women, children and men – all innocent and never charged of crimes, where even criminals would have rights.

340f. In her research, the effects of 'Mind control' means influencing the behavior of an individual, and to create or remold a targeted victim's personality towards desired state, this one statement puts our entire country in danger because those who are not knowledgeable of these war technologies such as 'mind control' of 'voice to skull' are harming innocent citizens based on the unknown torture at the pleasure of those with their 'hands on the computer keyboards' or low-orbiting satellites, or drone and hovercraft triggers.

340g. This action of 'mind control' secures the interests of those in power; military agencies, government contractors, corporations testing and evaluation across our country on any human being they chose to with the assistance of our military agencies involvement; Intelligence Agencies for the Air Force, Army, Navy, NSA, CIA or others, yet the same weapons are being turned on them as well. Apparently growing out of earlier government mind control research programs such as MKULTRA, and government suppression-of-dissent programs such as COINTELPRO, today's mind control was covert, now it is being referred to on television shows as though it is general conversation. Finely crafted, around the clock harassment perpetrated against innocent citizens, even our children, living in their homes and communities.

340h. As the PLAINTIFF I am forced to endure attacks to my nervous system, my head with continued attempts to damage my skull while chemically burning away my hair, additional attacks to my entire body, muscles and joints, to my heart by remotely increasing my heartbeat, increased at their desire, or my heart struck to attempt to induce a heart attack, or a strike to my head so hard that I am unable to see for hours and remain in pain for days while my head swells. As the Plaintiff, I endure daily scaring and burns to my body and unable to sleep as a normal person due to the constant attacks...all a form of physical and psychological damage and warfare against a citizen. This goes without say the number of individuals that these 'criminal enterprise groups' are able to engage into damaging my personal

property by slicing my vehicle tires (so far three times in three years), or flying over and blowing out the engine of my vehicle where the entire engine was damaged as my legs were burned by directed energy shooting from the engine, or the instant halting of my vehicle engine repeatedly and in the presence of a Columbus police officer who was trying to shield my vehicle, or damage to every residence that I have lived, or the ignorance by banging on my door at 3 or 4am, or creating other noise campaigns outside my residence to create sleep deprivation, YES and just a sampling of a day of a targeted victim of government funded criminal enterprises.

340i. The greatest concern should be of those who work within these groups who so easily commit these crimes against the innocent as THEY ARE THE victims under mind-control technology and being consensually directed to harm the Plaintiff and others at will.

340j. As the Plaintiff of the Complaint my statement would be; 'How, if not by control, could so many seemingly intelligent human beings be engaged in criminal acts against innocent civilians as herself', how if not a form of continued covert technology being tested as documented within the many Senate Appropriations budgets to these entities and others.

340k. Destruction of the family and other relationships by way of lies, bribes, and threats is a goal of the phase of today's mind control, which is coupled with a documented form of military Psychological Operations. The current day mind control program has been carefully engineered so that if the targeted victim complains, their own words will instantly cause them to be labeled as mentally ill, but not any longer. Even the perpetrators are now afraid of each other.

340l. Even our President, President Barack Obama admitted that Drones torture during his 2015 State of the Union address. Now it is time to stop this needless government funded program with genocidal capabilities and allow citizen to live in peace and free from harm hovering over our heads as high as 65,000 feet as the Reaper and Global Hawk UAVs.

COUNT I

Crimes committed 'Under Color of Law'

1. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336 directly and or indirectly of the Plaintiff Compliant though additional information is provided about the following Defendants.
2. The **Defendants, Cleveland Heights Police, Detective Bureau and Fire Departments and City** workers failed to utilize local, state and federal laws or policies and programs made available to them for which they receive funding and training in the protection of its citizens and this included the use of all training materials that guide them in 'assisting victims of crimes' instead they utilized government funded groups, programs, city workers, MILITARY SURPLUS WAR WEAPONRY as photographed as placed in a garage window directly facing the Plaintiffs entire home and blasted the Plaintiff with Electromagnetic and or Microwave Energy and or Radiation as the affects to the Plaintiff body reflected exposure to radiation. In addition the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio along with government funded groups orchestrated on video and audio tape the torture, harassment and assault of the Plaintiff as police and city workers and others terrorize the Plaintiff as recorded. The police code of conduct states..... and the many crimes committed against the Plaintiff lead by police utilizing WAR WEAPONRY across many cities and state lines and sprayed as a mass into the home, air and surroundings of the Plaintiff constitutes CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, as DoD defines the damage to those surrounding a 'target' as collateral damage and or 'targets of opportunity' . The use of war weapons and Weapons of Mass Destruction to torture, injure and terrorize the Plaintiff equates to violations of the Constitution, inhumane treatment, violations of her human rights, civil rights and civil liberties and equates to genocide as these weapons at times are sprayed into the home of the Plaintiff in a mass spray, gassing or as chemicals or biological chemicals sprayed into her neighborhood as Chemtrails all are developed for war, human injury, human disabilities and even death as there has been several attacks to the Plaintiff head by blows that left the Plaintiff unable to see, walk or even speak, additional strikes and attempts have been the Plaintiff heart that resulted in the feeling of an attempted heart attack as the strikes and plows to the Plaintiff head resulted in swelling and could have well resulted in death by a brain aneurism.
3. **Cleveland Heights Police Chief** was presented with evidence of police wrong doing and harassment yet he chose not to act thus unleashing hell upon the life and property of the Plaintiff as the attacks immediately increased after the Plaintiff filed the first report against the local police due to their many attacks on the life of the Plaintiff.
4. **Defendant, President Obama** and Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett received numerous letters and phone calls from the Plaintiff and chose not act on her stated responses to the Plaintiff. Both the President and the Senior Advisor Valarie Jarrett received photographs, video recordings and all

documents stated in this Compliant. The Executive officers of the United States, to be made aware that our military and government contractor base as allegations of committing crimes against U.S. citizens; war crimes is an act of human genocide was unconscionable.

5. **The Defendant, the DOD Department of Defense** is responsible EVERY ASPECT OF EVERY U.S. CITIZENS LIVE; Civil Rights, Human Rights, Civil Liberties, DOJ Homeland Security Fusion Centers, NIH National Institute of Health, HHS Health and Human Services (as named in the Joint Targeting of individuals and groups), the IRS, Banking, Healthcare and more, under their ancillary agencies, departments, groups and employees. DOD oversee the Department of Justice and all of its capacities, departments and components that includes the CIA and NSA and the research development and evaluation of war weapons and biological and chemical weapons, and all devices developed, tested and evaluated on human beings defined as U.S. Persons; their own DOD directive 5240.1-R equates to genocide against billions of citizens of these United States for we all are at one point considered 'unwitting', to think that such a directive would be developed and provided to all (government agencies, researchers, contractors, individuals and others) who are willing to develop , test and deploy weapons designed to kill, maim, and silently torture human beings including the Plaintiff.
6. In addition the use of the Department of Justice via the IRS Internal Revenue Service to continue to target the Plaintiff with false tax audits and documents fraud by inflating the Plaintiffs income then using the States Attorney General for Tax Collection to file and obtain false tax liens ALL WITHIN ONE DAY OF FILING, without any notice to the Plaintiff is unconstitutional and against Federal and State Tax Laws. The Plaintiff continues to this day March 10,2015 to request what amounts have not been fraudulently posted in collections as these actions continue on a quarterly basis without warning only to continue to endure financial and emotional distress and to ruin the finances of the Plaintiff.
7. **Defendants (UH) University Hospitals Information Technology Management Centers** employees were responsible for workplace harassment, workplace sabotage, workplace distress and workplace slander as groups of unidentified employees lied to cause the Plaintiff to lose a six-figure employment contract without cause nor investigation by the UH Information Technology center to support and protect their own patients information that was destroyed as multiple sabotaged acts by there own internal security staff and other were being committed against the Plaintiff in destroying her work product on multiple occasions, neither did the UH Information Technology Management Center investigate the actions of employees with security breaches and the accessing of 'live' current patient data which was a violation within itself. Nor did the UH Technology Management Center investigate the security breaches into the accessing of the Plaintiffs laptop and work product, yet fired the Plaintiff without any investigation into the false allegation made against her.
8. **Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans** was directed into harassing the Plaintiff and slandered the character of the Plaintiff by accusing her of being a stalker, accusing her of being crazy and

stating to an entire wedding party that the Plaintiff had been harassing her, while the Defendant did not know that the Plaintiff had videoed her in the Defendants criminal actions on many occasions including that same day as she followed, stalked, drove on the tail of the Plaintiffs vehicle and turned on her bright lights during the day to harass the Plaintiff. What the Defendant Ms. Evans did not know as well was that she was being videoed in the acts of harassment, yet it was proven that she was harassing and stalking the Plaintiff when she successfully was able to get not one police vehicle to a church to threaten to arrest the Plaintiff on false charges, she was able to get three Youngstown police vehicles at one church for a call by an admitted community policing member (Ms. Grace Vaughn Evans). The community harassment that was created by Defendant Grace Vaughn Evans did not stop there it went as far as the Defendant also filing complaints with the property management company to have the Plaintiff lose her residence, however they did not believe her but did call the Plaintiff in to explain what was occurring so again, slander and harassment of the Plaintiff was being committed by this Defendant.

9. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing United States government, Plaintiff incorporate by reference of all paragraphs.

-in this Complaint.

10. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request judgments of the court against all of the defendants awarding to Plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00 for each defendant; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iv) costs, including attorney fees for this action; (v) injunctive relief enjoining the above named defendants from continuing the invasion of plaintiff's privacy; and (vi) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT II

1. Intentional Inflection of Physical and Emotional Distress

11. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336 directly and or indirectly of the Plaintiff Compliant and as in Count I.
12. The Defendants, Cleveland Heights Police, Detective Bureau and Fire Departments and City workers failed to utilize local, state and federal laws or policies and programs made available to them for which they receive funding and training in the protection of its citizens and this included the use of all training materials that guide them in 'assisting victims of crimes' instead they utilized government funded groups, programs, city workers, MILITARY SURPLUS WAR

WEAPONRY as photographed as placed in a garage window directly facing the Plaintiffs entire home and blasted the Plaintiff with Electromagnetic and or Microwave Energy and or Radiation as the affects to the Plaintiff body reflected exposure to radiation. In addition the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio along with government funded groups orchestrated on video and audio tape the torture, harassment and assault of the Plaintiff as police and city workers and others terrorize the Plaintiff as recorded. The police code of conduct states..... and the many crimes committed against the Plaintiff lead by police utilizing WAR WEAPONRY across many cities and state lines and sprayed as a mass into the home, air and surrounding of the Plaintiff constitutes CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, as DoD defines the damage to those surrounding a 'target' as collateral damage and or 'targets of opportunity' . The use of war weapons and Weapons of Mass Destruction to torture, injure and terrorize the Plaintiff equates to violations of the Constitution, inhumane treatment, violations of her human rights, civil rights and civil liberties and equates to genocide as these weapons at times are sprayed into the home of the Plaintiff in a mass spray, gassing or as chemicals or biological chemicals sprayed into her neighborhood as Chemtrails all are developed for war, human injury, human disabilities and even death as there has been several attacks to the Plaintiff head by blows that left the Plaintiff unable to see, walk or even speak, additional strikes and attempts have been the Plaintiff heart that resulted in the feeling of an attempted heart attack as the strikes and plows to the Plaintiff head resulted in swelling and could have well resulted in death by a brain aneurism.

13. Cleveland Height Police Chief was presented with evidence of police wrong doing and harassment yet he chose not to act thus unleashing hell upon the life and property of the Plaintiff as the attacks immediately increased after the Plaintiff filed the first report against the local police due to their many attacks on the life of the Plaintiff.
14. Defendant, President Obama and Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett received numerous letters and phone calls from the Plaintiff and chose not act on her stated responses to the Plaintiff. Both the President and the Senior Advisor Valarie Jarrett received photographs, video recordings and all documents stated in this Compliant. The Executive officers of the United States, to be made aware that our military and government contractor base as allegations of commenting crimes against U.S. citizens; war crimes is an act of human genocide was unconscionable.
15. The Defendant, the DOD Department of Defense is responsible EVERY ASPECT OF EVERY U.S. CITIZENS LIVE; Civil Rights, Human Rights, Civil Liberties, DOJ Homeland Security Fusion Centers, NIH National Institute of Health, HHS Health and Human Services (as named in the Joint Targeting of individuals and groups), the IRS, Banking, Healthcare and more, under their ancillary agencies, departments, groups and employees. DOD oversee the Department of Justice and all of its capacities, departments and components that includes the CIA and NSA and the research development and evaluation of war weapons and biological and chemical weapons, and all devices developed, tested and evaluated on human beings defined as U.S. Persons; their own DOD directive 5240.1-R equates to genocide against billions of citizens of these United

States for we all are at one point considered 'unwitting', to think that such a directive would be developed and provided to all (government agencies, researchers, contractors, individuals and others) who are willing to develop , test and deploy weapons designed to kill, maim, and silently torture human beings including the Plaintiff.

16. In addition the use of the Department of Justice via the IRS Internal Revenue Service to continue to target the Plaintiff with false tax audits and documents fraud by inflating the Plaintiffs income then using the States Attorney General for Tax Collection to file and obtain false tax liens ALL WITHIN ONE DAY OF FILING, without any notice to the Plaintiff is unconstitutional and against Federal and State Tax Laws. The Plaintiff continues to this day March 10,2015 to request what amounts have not been fraudulently posted in collections as these actions continue on a quarterly basis without warning only to continue to endure financial and emotional distress and to ruin the finances of the Plaintiff.
17. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing United States government, Plaintiff incorporate by reference of all paragraphs in this Complaint.
18. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request judgments of the court against all of the defendants awarding to Plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00 for each defendant; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iv) costs, including attorney fees for this action; (v) injunctive relief enjoining the above named defendants from continuing the invasion of plaintiff's privacy; and (vi) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT III

2. Civil Conspiracy/Collusion

19. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336, Defendants directly and indirectly of this Complaint AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.
20. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT IV

3. Fraud By Commission

21. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II..

22. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT V

4. Fraud By Omission

23. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

24. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT VI

4. Misrepresentation/Concealment

25. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all in public or private capacity, and others involved, and the United States government, plaintiff incorporated by referencing all Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

26. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request judgments of the court against the above named defendants awarding to Plaintiff: (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) costs, including attorney's fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT VII

5. Intentional Fraud

27. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

28. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT VIII

6. Gross Negligence

29. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336, Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

30. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

31. The failure of defendants, the United States, and President Barack Obama and or his Executive Staff to give Plaintiff the proper standard of care required of government leaders/state actors constitutes gross negligence.

32. The failure of the defendants, the United States, and President Barack Obama to bring criminal fraud and negligence charges against the other defendants (EVEN AFTER DOCUMENTED PROOF WAS PRESENTED SEVERAL TIMES BY THE PLAINTIFF) in this matter constitutes gross negligence. Even as the Plaintiff supplied dated photographs and video along with a number of official complaints filed to stop the Plaintiff torture, abuse and attacks against herself.

33. The defendants, the United States, and President Barack Obama had a duty under the law to bring criminal fraud and negligence charges against the other defendants, and they failed to do so

34. As a result of the negligence/inhumane torture of the Plaintiff caused by the above named defendants, the plaintiff has been permanently damaged in excess far beyond the sum of \$75,000.00.

35. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the defendants awarding to Plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) costs, including attorney fees, for these action; and (iv) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT IX

7. Detrimental Reliance

36. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336, Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

37. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT X

8. Violations of Plaintiff's 4th Amendment Right to Privacy

38. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336, Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

39. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

40. The illegal theft of the Plaintiff personal and intellectual properties, her home, her health, career and income. The home breaks-in, the use of through-the-wall war weaponry such as directed energies, microwave energies, radiation war weaponry and non-consensual human experimentation amongst many other inhumane torture by unmanned and manned military war weaponry as UAV/UAS; drones, hovercrafts, military aircrafts, military surplus weaponry to local police and other Homeland Security personnel, and other technologies and devices including the use of Human (groups; certs, citizens corps, community oriented policing groups and others) and Signal Intelligence was and still is an invasion of the Plaintiff Privacy. To invade the Plaintiff human body with war technologies, devices and others is inhuman treatment and a violation of the U.S. Constitution amongst the violation of the defined Federal law as listed in the Plaintiffs Compliant.

COUNT XI

10. Violations of Plaintiff' 5th Amendment Rights (as incorporated to the States through the 14th Amendment)

41. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336, Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

42. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-

judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT XII

11. Violation of Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978

44. With regard to all defendants, (except Grace Vaughn Evans and (UH) University Hospital Informational Technology Management Center), including With regard to all other defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing United States; United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336, Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

45. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request judgments of the court against all of the defendants awarding to Plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000 for each defendant; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iv) costs, including reasonable attorney fees for this action; (v) injunctive relief enjoining the above named defendants from continuing the invasion of plaintiff's privacy; and (vi) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT XIII

12. Outrageous Conduct Causing Severe Emotional Distress & Permanent Physical Harm Outrageous Government Conduct (TORT OF OUTRAGE)

46. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing United States Plaintiff incorporate Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336, Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II, and the government's conduct in issuing a National Security Letter (NSL) against Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles that has caused permanent physical and psychological harms with repeated attempts against her body and her life that could result in death, was and is extreme and outrageous.

47. The defendants and representatives under President Barack Obama, Under Secretary Maria Otero for Civilian Security Democracy and Human Rights and former Secretary of State Hillary Clintons' conduct in failing to offer assistance in resolving the Plaintiff formal complaint filed regarding U.S. Patriot Act Abuses and Federal government agencies abuses; to even address the Plaintiffs complaint, attempt to resolve and protect the Plaintiff or to offer an resolve in this same matter prior to filing of this lawsuit that now can lead to possible litigation. The formal compliant filed by the Plaintiff was sent several times by mail, email, and fax to the Secretary of States office and to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, strangely within two months after sending Ms. Clinton a copy of the formal compliant, Ms. Clinton resigned her position.
48. Now causing the plaintiff to have to file suit *pro se*, having prior knowledge that she has suffered numerous injuries, attacks, and permanent illnesses and was likely to suffer further from their lack of legal guidance, representation, or even their response was extreme and outrageous.
49. At all relevant times, all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing United States government knew that their acts and failures to act under the National Security Letter (which as documented must be issued by the a representative of the United States government) regarding the health and well-being of Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles was extreme and outrageous.
50. At all relevant times, the defendants knew that they could offer legal assistance in ceasing the torture of the Plaintiff, remedy and or a settlement within the six years of letter writing and stop the further researching of these many war technologies and the damages they have caused to the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles.
- 51. At all relevant times, the defendants' participation in the aforementioned plan under an NSL was intentional, and with full knowledge that their conduct was substantially certain to result in severe physical, psychological damage and emotional distress along with further bodily harm, and/or possible death to plaintiff. They acted with "the dagger of an assassin."**
52. By participating in a plan to cause severe emotional distress and bodily harm under the NSL to Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, the defendants breached their duty owed the Plaintiff. The defendants' conduct was grossly disproportionate under such circumstances, and must have been inspired by malice, so as to amount to abuse of power that shocks the conscience of any reasonable human being.
53. By participating in the plan under the NSL to cause severe emotional distress and bodily harm to Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, the defendants contributed to the permanent injuries to the Plaintiff.

Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles has suffered severe emotional distress and bodily harm as a result of the defendants

54. Negligence and participation in the schemed plan under the NSL to cause her extreme pain and suffering, including, but not limited to: permanent scaring to her entire body, loss of her hair, internal health damage, depression, acute anxiety attacks, headaches/migraines, severe spine, back and neck pain and damage, heart problems, intermittent bowel obstructions, irritable bowel syndrome, kidney stones, excessive bleeding, headaches, neurodermatitis, eye ulcers, digestive problems, paranoia, osteoarthritis, tendonitis, short-term memory loss, bone loss and muscle atrophy.
55. The defendants' outrageous conduct included, but was not limited to: participating in a plan to fail to give Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles adequate health care by the continued theft of her mail which included her insurance renewal and is a documented tactic written in the DOD Directive 5240.1-r which immediately resulted in a severe fracture to her foot that in six months continue to be restrict by remote nano sensors and not yet healed.

The conduct of the defendants in this matter to cause Plaintiff to act to their detriment constitutes intentional infliction of emotional distress/bodily harm and liability to the Plaintiff for their injuries.

56. As a result of the outrageous conduct and intentional infliction of emotional distress by the defendants, the Plaintiff have been damaged in excess of \$75,000.00.
57. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request judgments of the court against all of the defendants awarding to Plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00 for each defendant; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) costs, including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining all defendants from continuing the intentional infliction of emotional distress; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.

COUNT XIV

**13. RACKETEERING INFLUENCED CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT. "RICO"
(18 U.S.C. §1961(1)(a)(b)(c), (18 U.S.C. §1962(a)(b)(c)(d),
(18 U.S.C. §1951(a),(18 U.S.C. §1964 (a)(Against all Defendants)**

58. With regard to all defendants, including all U. S Personnel, public officials, and any and all public or private capacity and others involved, and the United States government, Plaintiff incorporated by referencing all paragraphs 1-336, Defendants directly and indirectly of this Compliant AND AS IN THE DETAILS OF COUNT I AND COUNT II.

59. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request a judgment of the court against the above named defendants awarding to the plaintiff (i) damages in excess of \$75,000.00; (ii) pre- and post-judgment interest; (iii) cost; including attorney fees, for this action; (iv) injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from continuing their harms against the Plaintiff; and (v) any other relief deemed just and equitable by the court.
60. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth here.
61. Conspiracy to violate the Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1951, is a RICO predicate because Section 1951(a) expressly makes conspiracy a crime. 18 U.S.C. § 1951(a) provides: Whoever in any way or degree obstructs, delays, or affects commerce or the movement of any article or commodity in commerce, by robbery or extortion or attempts or conspires so to do, or commits or threatens physical violence to any person or property in furtherance of a plan or purpose to do anything in violation of this section shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both.
62. Section 1961(1)(A) The federal offense must be an "act" that is "indictable under" one of the listed statutes; attempts and conspiracies cannot be used as predicate offenses unless they are expressly included within the terms of the statute..
63. Each statute listed in Section 1961(1)(b) is accompanied by a parenthetical phrase that gives a brief description of the conduct proscribed by the statute. These descriptions are included only for convenience and do not limit the conduct that can be charged as a RICO predicate.
64. Section 1961(1)(C) defines racketeering activity as "any act which is indictable under" 29 U.S.C. § 186 or 29 U.S.C. § 501(c). Because of the "indictable under" language, the same considerations apply here as to the Section 1961(1)(B) offenses, with respect to charging attempts and conspiracies.
65. Section 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a) provides, It shall be unlawful for any person who has received any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of section 2, title 18, United States Code, to use or invest, directly or indirectly, any part of such income, or the proceeds of such income, in acquisition of any interest in, or the establishment or operation of, any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

Elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- A. Existence of an enterprise;
- B. The enterprise engaged in, or its activities affected, interstate or foreign commerce;
- C. The defendants derived income, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal;
- D. The defendant used or invested, directly or indirectly, any part of that income, or the proceeds of that income, in the acquisition own an interest in, or the establishment or operation of, the enterprise.

66. Section 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b) provides: It shall be unlawful for any person through pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

67. Section 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) provides: It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise to engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.

68. Section 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), makes it a crime to conspire to violate any of the three substantive provisions of RICO set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a)(b)(c).

69. The effect of 18 U.S.C. § 2, one who aids and abets the commission of a federal crime is treated as if he or she had committed the crime as a principal and can be charged under RICO if the crime is one set forth in Section 961(1)(B)-(G). 99. Section 18 U.S.C. § 1964.(a)(c) The district courts of the United States shall have jurisdiction to prevent and restrain violations of section 1962 of this chapter by issuing appropriate orders, including, but not limited to: ordering any person to divest himself or herself of any interest, direct or indirect, in any enterprise; imposing reasonable restrictions on the future activities or investments of any person, including, but not limited to, prohibiting any person from engaging in the same type of endeavor as the enterprise engaged in, the activities of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or ordering dissolution or reorganization of any enterprise, making due provision for the rights of innocent persons.

70. Plaintiff is entitled to treble damages, reimbursement of the costs of suit, and a reasonable attorney fees as a result of the Defendants conspiracy.

71. Plaintiff allege that ALL of the Defendants conspired and 'acted in concert' directly and or indirectly in violation of the Hobb's Act 18 U.S.C. § 1951(a) to non-consensually implant Radio Frequency Controlled Nano Sensors and/ or Nano electrodes and / or Nano stimulators amongst other government funded biological chemicals into the body of the Plaintiff without consent

or privilege for purposes of inducing pain, illnesses, experimentation, permanent physical and psychological damage, and for financial gain.

72. 'Joint Targeting of Individuals' Joint tactics of Psychological Operations (All Defendants including (UH) University Hospitals Information Technology Management Center)

73. Defendants all conspired to have the Plaintiff diagnosed with depression, mental disorder and or described her as suicidal after she filed a police report that she was being stalked and harassed by strangers and followed by a series of vehicles for an entire day. This 'Joint Targeting' of the Plaintiff applies to all Defendants including the University Hospitals Information Technology Centers employees as well as the Information Technology center allowed employees to falsely calm that the Plaintiff brought a gun into the facility which was not true and labeled the Plaintiff as requiring mental health assistance when the criminal acts by employees of the Technology Center were the guilty parties and went without any retributions, yet the Plaintiff was harmfully and professionally labeled mentally unstable and was fired from a six-figure Technology Contract. A now known (2015) Joint tactic of (2015) Joint tactic of Psychological Operations and character assassination in order to ruin the targets complete life and career. Defendants knowing that if they successfully defined the Plaintiff as suicidal falsely and forcibly had her committed to a mental facility, which it the 'Joint Targeting' procedure and DOD Directive 5240-1-r to ruin the target, in this case, the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, would lead to the Plaintiff losing all that she had worked for, for her entire life, yet they systematically were still successful in ruining her health and complete life.

74. The 'DOD Joint Targeting' process of injecting the Plaintiff with nano sensors, nodes and other devices were to track and continue to conduct non-consensual experimentation without consent. These sensors also provides remote access to the body of the Plaintiff so that they could continue gaining access to her body so that they could monitored, supervised, upgrade, and to implant Radio Frequency Controlled biomedical Nano technology devices at will into her body for experimental purposes and financial gain.

75. Defendants furthered their scheme by continuing their pattern of racketeering activity of forcefully injecting the body of the Plaintiff over a ten year period and implanting her with Radio Frequency Controlled biomedical painful (Nano technology) devices.

76. Defendants participated together in the conduct of business(s) engaged in interstate commerce through a pattern of racketeering activity (§ 1962

(c); and Defendants conspired to profit from that racketeering activity by non-consensually implanting into the body of the Plaintiff Radio Frequency Controlled Nano Sensors, Nano electrodes and Nano stimulators and other government funded devices and technologies in order to induce pain, torture and medical illnesses for experimental purposes and financial gain.

The Enterprise (a RICO Enterprise)

77. A RICO "enterprise includes any union or group of individuals associated in fact. for a common purpose of engaging in a course of conduct." Boyle v. United States, 556 U.S. 938, 944 (2009). The association must have structure, meaning "a purpose, relationships among those associated with the enterprise, and longevity sufficient to permit these associates to pursue the enterprise's purpose." Id. at 946. Any one of the Plaintiff combinations of the Defendants and various other parties would be sufficient to establish a RICO enterprise under a broad standard.

78. The R.I.C.O enterprise herein consists of all of the here named Defendants, Defendants herein above were an association- in-fact as they managed and operated a criminal enterprise consisting of multiple schemes to covertly implant Nano Sensors, Nanotechnology and other government funded war weaponry devices, and to conceal their "Racketeering Activity" of non-consensually implanting biomedical devices for experimental purposes and financial gain. Upon information and belief Defendants acted in concert to operate their criminal enterprise conducting their lawful businesses, employment or as volunteers as government funded entities.

79. Defendants operated their criminal enterprises under the guise of providing (lawful) abuses 'UNDER COLOR OF LAW' and clearly unlawful services during the course and scope of their duties. Defendants pervasive and intertwining relationship is established by their contractual agreements of longevity to perform services; employment, contractual or voluntarily and to be paid from the proceeds of their "Racketeering Activity" as a part of their agreement; all against the Plaintiff without her consent or knowledge.

Participation in the enterprise

80. All Defendants as named herein directly or indirectly participated in the criminal enterprise to conceal the non-consensually implantation of Nano Sensors, Biomedical devices, Nanotechnology, devices and other government funded war weaponry by denying the Plaintiff human, civil and lawful protection from the documented photographed and videoed harms committed by government funded technologies. The Plaintiff provided documented proof of government agencies involvements and procedures to non-consensually implant the Plaintiff and other individuals with painful sensors

without human consent yet the Defendants continued to allow these dangerous torturous harms to continue against the Plaintiff twenty four hours a day as these device injuries are strikingly obvious to the naked eye.

Pattern of Racketeering

81. To establish "a pattern of racketeering activity" under 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), the Plaintiff must show "at a minimum, two acts of racketeering activity within ten years of each other." Heinrich, 668 F.3d at 409 (citing 18 U.S.C. § 1961).

82. The plaintiff must also show that the predicate acts "are related, and that they amount to or pose a threat of continued criminal activity." Id. (quoting H.J. Inc. v. Nw. Bell Tel. Co., 492 U.S. 229, 237-39 (1989)).

83. Defendants "pattern" of criminal acts encompassed the "same and or similar purposes, results, participants, victims, or methods of commission, or otherwise are interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and are not isolated events.

84. Defendants directly or indirectly Participated in patterns of racketeering activity by their deliberate, repeated, systematic efforts to conceal the non-consensually implanted Frequency Controlled 'Sensors'. Nano electrodes and or Nano stimulators which induces severe pain and illnesses in the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff, even as a contracted contractor on client facilities were being subjected to directed energy assaults at her desk and with pin-point accuracy from client employed individuals, also known as Human Intelligence under 'Joint Targeting'.

85. Defendants deliberately, repeatedly, and systematically over a 'known' six year Period as the Plaintiff knowledge increased to today's knowledge and Defendants conspired, participated and /or activated these devices in order to induce severe pain, painful breaks in the skin of the Plaintiff (from her head to her breast, legs and private areas amongst others) and permanent injuries, stimulate and interfere with the Plaintiff activities and life of Plaintiff, the Plaintiff brain, muscles, limbs, organs, and other bodily systems for the purpose of non-consensual experimentation, torturing and disfiguring of the Plaintiff body for pleasure and financial gain as all Defendants received government funds and or properties, i.e., vehicles, homes, monies and other valued properties.

86. Defendants invested the proceeds of the pattern of racketeering activity into the enterprise ; and the defendants acquired or maintained an interest in, or control over, the enterprise through the pattern of racketeering activity; and the defendants conducted or participated in the affairs of the enterprise 'through' the pattern of racketeering activity; and the defendants conspired to do all of the above acts as plead herein above.

RICO Predicate Acts

87. Racketeering activity is established by the commission by All Defendants of a number of RICO predicate acts which caused harm to the Plaintiff including Ohio felonies punishable by imprisonment for one year or more.

88. The racketeering activity of Defendants is established by the commission of the RICO predicate, Ohio felony, Aggravated Battery, in violation of section 2903.13 of the Ohio Revised Code of the State of Ohio, to wit, the use of force upon another causing or attempting serious bodily injury; (here, cutting, slicing of skin, breaking of bones of the Plaintiff flesh and more to implant 'Sensors' as documented in the DOD Joint Targeting Manual, and /or forcibly injecting Sensors, Nano electrodes and or Nano stimulators into her body without consent or Privilege.

89. The racketeering activities of the Defendants are further established by the commission by Defendants of the RICO predicate act, Assault with a Deadly Weaponry, in violation to wit, the threat use upon another, or the use upon another, of a deadly weapon other than a firearm, In this case, a laser device ran across the Plaintiff entire body to burn her flesh from the inside out, or other flesh-cutting instrument as nano sensors sliced and are being forcible inserted into the Plaintiffs skin, which causes or is capable of causing serious bodily permanent injury and or death, comprising an unlawful attempt, coupled with a present ability, to commit a violent injury on the person of another. here, cutting Plaintiff flesh to implant 'Sensors' as Nano electrodes and or Nano stimulators into her body without consent or privilege while also subjecting the Plaintiff to assaults by Directed Energy frequencies such as Microwave and Radiation, and Electromagnetic frequencies at dangerously high levels.

90. Defendants furthered their criminal enterprise by committing a number of offenses constituting RICO predicate acts, including mail and wire fraud.

91. Defendants mail and wire fraud consisted of the following:

a. Mailing false tax collection reports to the Plaintiff and false altering the Plaintiff income to result in court filings against the Plaintiff along with collection activities that will not stop, even as of March 10th 2015 the Plaintiff is trying to understand who and why within the IRS and State of Ohio Department of Taxation is claiming that the Plaintiff owes money as all was proven to not be true in 2014, yet these departments continue to target the Plaintiff by restarting collections proceeding at will.

b. Electronically authenticating false IRS tax audit and income reports.

c. Triggering the (Radio) Frequency devices and deceiving the Plaintiff into believing that they were experiencing medical heart and lung conditions which may be permanent as the effects over the years and to date have affected the Plaintiffs heart and breathing.

92. Defendants used the United States mail to send fraudulent billing statements to the Plaintiff and Insurance carriers in order to collect payment for services that they knew as they were repeatedly notified by the Plaintiff as she also provided proof of the false charges, and/ or the Defendants should have known were fraudulent as the Plaintiff involved the Treasury Inspector General, and Inspector Generals office regarding the repeated manipulations (opening and or destroying) and or theft of the Plaintiff mail for years. One purposeful theft of the Plaintiff mail occurred over a two month period where mortgage documents were repeatedly stolen, then finally returned two months later after the Plaintiff could no longer save her home or gain refinancing as her career was systematically destroyed and she was removed from her contractual client without cause, repeatedly. A systematic enterprise attacking the character of the Plaintiff with false slander as the Plaintiff has always received accolades for her work and ethics as a technology consultant for nearly every industry.

93. Defendants used internet communications, fax, telephone and electronic authenticating systems as facilities in interstate commerce to further their criminal enterprise and scheme in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 which included shutting of the phone lines to the Plaintiff home as crimes were being committed outside her home.

94. 18 U.S.C. § 1343 provides: Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, transmits or causes to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, or television communication in interstate or foreign commerce, any writings, signs, signals, pictures, or sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both. If the violation occurs in relation to, or involving any benefit authorized, transported, transmitted, transferred, disbursed, or paid in connection with, a presidentially declared major disaster or emergency (as those terms are defined in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122)), or affects a financial institution, such person shall be fined not more than \$1,000,000 or imprisoned not more than 30 years, or both.

95. Defendants knew or should have known that once the Plaintiff was able to gain employment that when the Plaintiff deposited her payroll check that the banking ACH system would automatically verify the funds of a million dollar employer yet the targeting process rendered upon the Plaintiff that only required one criminal racketeering participant at a bank informed the Plaintiff that her pay check would be held for three days, after the three days the Plaintiff began to make transactions and ALL transactions for 13 days were systematically rejected and returned as NON-SUFFICIENT FUNDS and left the Plaintiff in a serious emotional distress with zero dollars in her bank account. This was an unlawful act that affected the Plaintiff character, hindered her ability to drive from Ohio to Pennsylvania to work or to pay her lease or purchase food. This was another unlawful act in order to conceal their racketeering enterprise and were in violation of banking law.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE VIOLATIONS

96. Defendants during the course of their racketeering activities made use of materials acquired through Interstate Commerce.

97. 18 U.S.C. § 1958(a)(b) provides: (a) Whoever travels in or causes another (including the intended victim) to travel in interstate or foreign commerce, or uses or causes another (including the intended victim) to use the mail or any facility of interstate or foreign commerce, with intent that a murder be committed in violation of the laws of any State or the United States as consideration for the receipt of, or as consideration for a promise or agreement to pay, anything of pecuniary value, or who conspires to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for not more than ten years, or both; and if personal injury results, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for not more than twenty years, or both; and if death results, shall be punished by death or life imprisonment, or shall be fined not more than \$75,000, or both. (b) As used in this section and section 1959-(1) "anything of pecuniary value" means anything of value in the form of money, a negotiable instrument, a commercial interest, or anything else the primary significance of which is economic advantage; (2) "facility of interstate or foreign commerce" includes means of transportation and communication. and (3) "State" includes a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, and any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States.

98. Defendants used internet communications, fax(telecommunications), telephone and electronic authenticating systems as facilities in interstate commerce to further their criminal enterprise and scheme in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1958(a)(b) Defendants in their racketeering activities also engaged in the transfer and transportation materials and products by way of interstate commerce. The general business activities of the Defendants and their wrongful acts required substantial effect on Interstate Commerce as they laundered the proceeds from their "Racketeering Activities" into United States banking institutions. Radio Frequency Controlled Devices are regulate by the Federal Trade Commission (FCC), as UAV/UAS Unmanned Ariel Vehicles are regulated by the FAA as the Plaintiff have reported no less than five formal reports, one report as resent as six months ago that the Plaintiff was being subjected to 'Sensor' strikes from Unmanned Ariel Vehicles, low orbiting aircrafts shaking her residence and informed that these UAVs and UAS from most likely agency Drones that are hovering at extremely high levels in the skies of the Plaintiff home and community. This information was gained from the National FAA office who advised that the Plaintiff inform the local FAA office in Columbus for which she did on three occasions and received no response at all, the refusal to admit that a Drone or UAV of UAS was assigned to the Plaintiff residence was furthering the "Racketeering Activity" and they had a substantial affect on the injuries and health of the Plaintiff as no legal remedy was provided to stop nor remove these systems from further harms against the health of the Plaintiff.

Defendants acts are all related

99. Acts are related for RICO purposes if they "have the same or similar purposes, results, participants, victims, or methods of commission, or otherwise are interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and are not isolated events.

a. Time: ALL of the Defendants directly or indirectly were responsible for the triggered (Radio) frequency controlled devices that were successfully injected into the Plaintiff that results in the continued daytime and nighttime assaults. Per DOD Joint Targeting of individuals as in the Plaintiff in takes Human Intelligence (workers, agencies, groups, individuals, and more along with Signal Intelligence; UAV/UAS, hovercrafts, military grade aircrafts, satellites and more) to sustain the twenty-four hour torture, experimentation, assaults, and harms against the Plaintiff, that includes the continued health damages, broken skin, blood clots, fractures and paralyzing spasms that leave the Plaintiff without use of most of her body at times. From leaving her home, to going into private areas such as her bathroom, the Plaintiff is attacked in one form or another.

DEFENDENTS CONTINUITY IN THEIR ACTS

100. The plaintiff contend that the Defendants criminal enterprise is open-ended and that it relates back to the predicate acts themselves and is a continuous threat of long-term racketeering activity.

a. Defendants predicates are a regular way of conducting their

"scheme of inducing injury and illnesses" by cutting open or drilling holes into the breast and other areas of the body of their patients and implanting them non-consensually with Radio Frequency Controlled 'Sensors', Nano technology devices for experimental purposes, torture and financial gain. The Defendants scheme would continue on past the denial of any individual Plaintiff however THEIR DOCUMENTED TRAINING PROCEDURES REMAIN AS EVIDENCE OF THEIR CRIMES .

b. Plaintiff contends that continuity, and relationship is established by the predicate acts that were committed by the Defendants within a close period of time to one another, involve the same victims, and the involvement the same types of conduct as represented in the Plaintiff and other victims letter to Congress requesting a Congressional Investigation and Hearing into the non-consensual tortuous acts against the Plaintiff and citizens of this country 138. 18 U.S.C. § 1341 provides in pertinent parts: Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation security, or other article, or anything represented to be

or intimidated or held out to be such counterfeit or spurious article for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice or attempting so to do, places in any post office or authorized depository for mail matter, any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by the Postal Service, or deposition causes to be deposited any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by any private or interstate carrier, or takes or receives there from, any such matter or thing, or knowingly causes to be delivered by mail or such carrier according to the direction thereon, at the place at which it is directed to be delivered by the person to whom it is addressed, any such matter or thing, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years.

101. Defendants actions and omissions described herein above evidence the;

- (1) The Enterprise
- (2) Participation by Individuals
- (3) pattern
- (4) of racketeering activity.

COUNT XV

ASSAULT AND MEDICAL BATTERY

102. As a proximate result of Defendants' battery, the Plaintiff was and is being injured in her health, strength and activities daily. She has sustained permanent injuries to her body, all of which caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental, physical and nervous pain and suffering. Plaintiff suffered the unauthorized and unlawful implantation of subcutaneous devices. The subcutaneous implant leads and devices have cause permanent injury to the chest cavities and various other organs of the Plaintiff body. The injuries sustained due to the non-consensual remote injections that required incisions which left VISIBLE scarring as well as internal bodily injuries, for the treatment of which Plaintiff have been forced to incur past, present, and future medical expenses.

103. In the case of Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles, these electronic activations of the brain led to chemical burns to her scalp, loss of 70 percent of her hair, direct pain to her head in the form of daily migraines and headaches along with interference with her frontal lobe, chronic pain, negative affect of executive functions that have resulted in chronic headaches.

104. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' battery, Plaintiff have been prevented from leading normal healthy life, absent medical care for the rest of her life, never to qualify for standard health care and necessary medication needs due to being established as a high-insurance risk.

105. The Plaintiffs injuries have also prevented the Plaintiff from participating in activities and other functions as a flourishing female in a male to female relationships, and for a period of time in the future until these foreign objects can (if possible) be removed, if at all possible due to the nature of their locations of these devices in the Plaintiffs heart, attached to the Plaintiffs head/brain, breasts, shoulders, hands, arms, legs, spine/back/waist, vaginal area, feet and lungs, and possibility a cause of premature death if removed.

106. Even if most of these devices are removed, the Plaintiff spine now has disc degeneration and most likely will never return to normal as these disc also affect pain into the Plaintiff tailbone and continues down her legs to her feet.

107. Plaintiff has permanent injuries that will required medical attention for the remainder of her life and has been categorized as unable to obtain the proper insurance for all required medications and their costs. Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles has suffered lost wages as a former independent technology consultant to the banking, healthcare, manufacturing, court and insurance industries amongst over 20 other industries; and loss of future earning capacity and the Plaintiffs body is no longer reliable and she remains paralyzed with muscle spasms and severe pains, and emotional distress that leads to panic attacks from the severe pains as she suffers severely as a result of being implanted with the devices and she is unable to live a normal life until all of the devices are possibly removed or disabled from her body, if humanly possible.

108. Defendants intentionally caused injury and harm to the Plaintiff. Thus the Plaintiff seek actual damages, compensatory damages, and injunctive relief.

CAUSE OF ACTIONS

109. By 2015, the Plaintiff has filed number of formal Complaints with the Federal Government; 'A Letter of Intent to Sue' Listing all government agencies and their Directors including the President of The United States'; A Human Rights, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Compliant with the Department of Justice due to Police Abuse and Harassment; 'A U.S. Patriot Act Compliant with the Secretary of State Hillary Clinton'; 'A Formal Statement and Compliant with the Senate Intelligence Committee Members'; 'A Request for Congressional Investigation and Hearing Request into the Torture and Abuse my Government Funded

Technologies for War'; Complaints with the Department of Justice requesting assistance is stopping UAV/UAS Sensor torture and abuse; The Inspector General regarding stolen mail from the Post Office and Delivery Personnel, Local Police Reports Filed in every city; 'Three filings with the FAA regarding low orbiting Drones, Hovercrafts, Helicopters and the use of nano sensors being shot into the Plaintiff home; Cease and Desist request to CERTs, Citizens Corps, Infraguard, and others networked as organized supporting groups to the DOD/DOJ to include several Homeland Security Fusion Centers as well, amongst others.

Non-Consensual Implantation of Subcutaneous Devices (Patent us7736330) and Ohio laws and rules under 3701-9-01 Definitions

(Against all Defendants,
Direct and or indirect)

Plaintiff hereby incorporate by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

110. Defendants, and each of them, are in violation of Ohio laws and rules under 3701-9-01 Definitions. Between the years of 1998 through 2015 (current day). Defendants operated and associated in a criminal enterprise and scheme which was designed to non-consensually implant the Plaintiff with subcutaneous devices in order to induce pain, illnesses and harassment for financial gain. The actions of these government funded Defendants with the help of the Department of Defense and other government agencies, including the use of the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio began their process of non-consensual experimentation and attacks on the health, finances and property in the year of 1998 when the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio's' city building inspectors, and other workers refused to adhere the home building codes as builders permits were issued to the builder of the Plaintiff home, that lack of compliance included the adherence to contractor and building laws and regulations as well leaving the Plaintiff on her own to live in a home that was only $\frac{3}{4}$ complete while the city building department was involved in every aspect of the building process yet turned their backs and did not force building law compliance. When the City of Cleveland Heights Ohio refused to force the Builder to comply with building rules and regulations, the Plaintiff was forced to take a second mortgage in order to complete her home as her own and as her own contractor with no help from the City of Cleveland Heights building departments employees. Immediately upon taking occupancy of the Plaintiff home she endured property damages and health attacks. Unaware of why her health was beginning to diminish she pushed on to try to continue to work and to complete her home though the home was being vandalized with spray paint, windows broken and both she and her child began to experience severe joint, head and muscle pains. Later these same pains included nightly sleep deprivation where sounds were being projected into the home of the Plaintiff walls against the heating vents that were not accessible to the Plaintiff. These occurrences never decreased, they only increased, yet the Plaintiff tried to push on to complete her home and continue to work in her profession along with taking on a part time job due to the mounting expenses.

111. Defendants conspired directly and indirectly to implant the Plaintiff with subcutaneous devices which consists of implant leads Biomedical 'Sensors' Nano electrodes

and or Nano stimulators without the Plaintiff informed consent.

112. Defendants, by way of malice, oppression, fraud, duress, coercion, compelled the Plaintiff to undergo medical treatment for the subcutaneous implanting of Radio Frequency Controlled biomedical sensors and medical devices without her consent or privilege. Defendants intentionally caused injury and harm to the Plaintiff. Thus the Plaintiff seek actual damages, compensatory damages, and injunctive relief.

CAUSE OF ACTION

Ohio Revised Code Chapter 2307.011

Fraudulent & Any Concealment Non-Disclosure Action by all Defendants, directly and or indirectly

(Against all Defendants)

113. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference in this paragraph the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

114. The elements of an action for fraud and deceit based on a concealment are: (1) the defendant must have concealed or suppressed a material fact, (2) the defendant must have been under a duty to disclose the fact to the plaintiff, (3) the defendant must have intentionally concealed or suppressed the fact with the intent to defraud the plaintiff, (4) the plaintiff must have been unaware of the fact and would not have acted as he did if he had known of the concealed or suppressed fact, and (5) as a result of the concealment or suppression of the fact, the plaintiff must have sustained damage." (Boschma v. Home Loan Center, Inc. (2011) 198 Cal.App.4th 230, 248 [129 Cal.Rptr.3d 874].)

115. Plaintiff contend that ALL of the Defendants to operate a criminal enterprise which orchestrated an elaborate scheme to implant Radio Frequency Controlled Nano technology devices into their body of the Plaintiff for financial gain and Experimental, harmful and painful purposes.

116. ALL Defendants maintained a 'joint' relationship as the Plaintiff was targeted as documented in the DoD Joint Targeting training manual and per the DOD Directive (not law)

5240.1-r Procedure 13 – Human Experimentation U.S. persons for Intelligence purposes that result in inhumane permanent physical and psychological damages. The Defendants all directly or indirectly participated in order to conceal the ‘joint’, "Racketeering Activity" and scheme to conceal the non-consensual procedures of organized harassment, property damages and forced implantation of painful nano and other technologies and devices with the purpose of each act being a documented joint procedure and act of targeting the Plaintiff.

117. Plaintiff as a result of the concealment and suppression of facts of organized harassment, the attachment of subcutaneous foreign devices to her body, property damages and the lack of lawful support in assisting the Plaintiff, per natural laws that govern the Plaintiff life, health and property.

118. The Plaintiff has been emotionally and physically harmed by the non-consensual implantation of the Radio Frequency Controlled Sensors and Nano technology devices. As a result she has sustained permanent damages.

119. Defendants and each of them were aware or should have known the risk of serious and life threatening permanent injuries, side effects and/or significant potential complications as documented within their own training documents that could occur in the non-consensual implantation of subcutaneous devices into non-suspecting patients. The subcutaneous devices are known in the medical field as implant leads and Radio Frequency Identifications that include GPS sensors, IR Infrared to light the body, and Chemical and Biological chemical Devices (RFID's) which were implanted into the body of the Plaintiff without her consent.

120. Specifically, all of the Defendants directly and indirectly failed to disclose as they concealed the following;

a) The organized harassment process was defined with their knowledge as Psychological Operations involving Human Intelligence and Signal Intelligence, yet all Defendants cooperated in ‘joint’, "Racketeering Activity" against the Plaintiff.

121. That the Plaintiff had been implanted without her consent and is painfully tracked everywhere she moves as though she is an animal, though DOD provides more rights for animal testing than for any U.S. Person.

b) That the Plaintiff is the subject of experiments that is resulting painful torture; chemical burns to her head and permanent loss of hair, permanent scarring to her skin cover nearly every area of her body, severe muscle and joint pains, severe and paralyzing muscle spasms that leave the Plaintiff paralyzed for hours where the pain can remain for days once the spasms release, sensors attached to nearly every area of her body that results in constant pain including her breasts, hands, feet and private areas, and the re-fracturing of bones that will not heal.

c) That the Defendants were personally being paid to participate in these experiments by, stalking as human intelligence, supervising and organizing personnel, upgrading war technologies and or devices pointed or attached to the Plaintiff body, record keeping and concealing the use of nano sensors and other implants in an effort to conceal their unlawful harassment and experiment devices and technologies.

d) Plaintiff was never informed of the risks and hazards associated with having implant, nano sensors, nano leads and or Radio Frequency Controlled Nano technology devices implanted non-consensually within her body, and if given a choice would have clearly stated NO to being tortured, implanted and experimented upon.

e) Medical imaging studies do for the record indicated visible damage to the Plaintiff spine, joints and muscles and the presence of foreign objects within the Plaintiff body and have been reviewed by a lower Federal Court that found in 'FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFF'.

122. Defendants failed to exercise their duty to disclose to the Plaintiff all material information to enable the Plaintiff to make an informed decision regarding the medical procedures and or any surgeries that the Plaintiff may have been able to utilize in order to remove these many painful devices from her body but rather than to decrease the Plaintiff torture and pains, the Defendants(directly and indirectly) altered the Plaintiff medication requirements and replaced them with generic non-formulary medications in order to increase the pains, weight gains, and all other negative results that the Department of Defense / Department of Justice via is many Ancillary departments and groups have total powers over the medications of the Plaintiff. Likewise, Defendants failed to fully disclose the risks, hazards, and toxins associated with the non-consensual implantation of Nano Sensors, of Radio Frequency controlled Nano technology devices.

123. All of the Defendants were required to disclose the suppresses and concealed Facts and the unauthorized implanting the subcutaneous devices.

124. Defendants knew that full disclosure to Plaintiff of their intentions to implant subcutaneous devices would be declined.

125. Defendants failed to inform the Plaintiff that a surgery or remote injection had taken place even has the Plaintiff was seeking medical attention for cuts, bruising and spinal disc injuries.

126. Plaintiff never consisted to any form of human experimentation, to any remote or stationary injection of nano sensors, or any for of war technology, chemicals, or any form of use of her body for the implantation of subcutaneous devices and would not today or ever in her life.

127. However, the Defendants did concealed and or suppressed Facts and evidence and attempted via their 'Joint Targeting' procedure and unethical DOD Directive 5240.1-r Procedure

13 (along with all others) Human Experimentation for Intelligence Purposes to permanently destroy her health and life for the testing of war weaponry without her consent. True disregard of a law abiding productive human being. With the intent to further injure and cause harm to the Plaintiff. But for the concealment, Plaintiff would not have consented to the unethical injuries, DOD abusive directive to abuse human life, nor the remote surgeries, nor the implantation of subcutaneous devices.

128. In failing to disclose the concealed facts, the Defendants acted recklessly, in violation of fundamental public policy, and in violation of ethical standards for medical professionals, with reckless disregard of the health, life, and safety of the Plaintiff.

129. As a result of the Defendants' suppression and failure to disclose the concealed facts, Plaintiff is and am hurt and injured in her health, strength and activity. All of the Plaintiff have sustained permanent injuries to her body, which caused and continue to cause her great mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering. As a result of the unauthorized implantation of the Radio Frequency Controlled 'sensors', Nano technology devices. The Plaintiff has incurred lifelong bodily injuries, past, present, and future medical expenses.

130. As a further proximate result of Defendants' suppression and failure to disclose the concealed facts, the Plaintiff continue to suffer and are unable to seek the appropriate medical services so that the non-sensually implanted devices can be removed. Plaintiff Darlene Miles has suffered loss of reputation, wages, loss of future earning capacity, physical functions, suffered psychological and emotional distress, and permanent pain and suffering by torturous attached devices to and within her body.

131. Plaintiff Darlene Miles is entitled to treble damages, reimbursement of the costs of suit, and attorney fees under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(a) Civil Remedies.

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT A. Series the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles photos showing physical health damage caused by the various attacks and assaults by government funded war weaponry such as UAV/UAS (drones, military hovercrafts and other wireless devices); and other military war weaponry injections and assaults, and torture by nano sensors, other nano technologies, chemical/biological attacks to her head, radiation and microwave energy damage, and other war weaponry devices damage to her hair, back, breasts, fractures to her feet, blood clots to legs, and strikes by remote nano sensors shot into her home as they sliced her entire body. All scars sustained by government funded war weaponry and other torturous war weaponry devices without her consent. Photos include the harassment by local police in each city that the Plaintiff has lived, and others that is also backed by video and audio tapes. Photos include police harassment from nearly every city that the Plaintiff has lived along with some of the property damages she has been forced to endure as well. As defined in the DOD Directive 5240.1-r Procedure 13; the permanent physical and psychological damages to the U.S. person targeted.

EXHIBIT B.; Collaborated Victims of Covert War Weaponry Crimes as proof of Treason by government funded agencies, corporations, researchers, and others as 'Criminal Enterprise' crimes. Letter to Congress representing additional victims of covert war weaponry assaults, attacks and torture. A request for Congressional Investigation and Hearings request to Senate Appropriations Committee Chairs, the President of the United States and Senate Intelligence Committee Members amongst others.

EXHIBIT B1 – Original letter by Collaborated Victims of Covert War

EXHIBIT C.; UAV/UAS Nano Sensor types and details. GPS nano sensors to track the human body, IR Infrared Sensors to light the human body, Chemical and Biological to inject bodies and living things with chemicals and biological chemicals remotely and without consent.

EXHIBIT D.; **(DOD ORG CHART)** Department of Defense organization chart linking all divisions of the military, the Secretary of Defense, the Inspector General, Joint Chiefs over each military agency, field agencies, Defense Agencies and Combatant Commands including Special Operations amongst others

EXHIBIT – E.; **(DOJ ORG CHART)** with structure where no citizen could even obtain protection for the very same structure that has created a directive (DOD Directive 5240.1-r – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation on any U.S. Person for Intelligence Purposes) to allow non-consensual human experimentation and permanent physical and psychological damage to any citizen (U.S. Persons and Others). Our civil rights, human rights, FBI, States Attorney Generals, Homeland Security Fusion Centers, Community Oriented Policing, local police, IRS/taxes, banking, health care and more is controlled by the DOD/DOJ and its ancillary agencies.)

EXHIBIT F.; Examples of U.S. Patents for War Weaponry and other Technologies being developed, tested and evaluated within these United States of America and approved by Senate Appropriations Budgets, Approved that includes testing and evaluation within and across the United States of America. These same technologies are torture attacks that the Plaintiff and other citizens across this country have filed abuse complaints with the Federal Government for decades.

EXHIBIT G.; One example of an outrageous Senate Appropriations Authorization for Brain Experimentation, High Power Lasers and more considering all testing and evaluation is being conducted on all U.S. Persons per DOD Directive 5240.1-r.

EXHIBIT H.; – The below symptoms highlight a fraction of the vast array of Nano technologies, Nano Sensors and Neuro-Electromagnetic (Microwave, Radiation, Chemical and other devices as well) Frequency Assaults perpetuated by the military agencies, corporations, researchers and ‘criminal enterprises; utilizing Military Intelligence Agencies toward Remote Mind and Body Control Experiments, Behavioral Manipulation and even death of the citizen target.

EXHIBIT I: ‘Letter of Intent to Sue’ the IRS, State of Ohio Department of Taxation and Others regarding Tax Fraud and Targeting of the Plaintiff into Financial Distress

EXHIBIT J.; A lawsuit filed against the U.S. National Security Agency reveals a frightening array of technologies and programs designed to monitor individuals without cause, yet for the purpose of destroying the citizens character, support system, family support, career, health and finances amongst others in order to force the citizen into isolation for unethical testing and evaluation of war weaponry and corporate greed as even our own Senate is allowed to accept donations from the same corporations that commit these crimes against innocent targeted citizens as the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles.

EXHIBIT A. Series the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles photos showing physical health damages and police harassment.

Physical and other damages caused by the UAV/UAS and other military war weaponry injections and assaults, and torture by nano sensors, other nano technologies, radiation and directed energies, chemical/biological attacks to her head, radiation and microwave energy damage, and other war weaponry devices damage to her hair, back, breasts, fractures to her feet, blood clots to legs, and strikes by remote nano sensors shot into her home as they sliced her entire body. All scars sustained by government funded war weaponry and other torturous war weaponry devices without her consent. Photos include the harassment by local police in each city that the Plaintiff has lived, and others that is also backed by video and audio tapes. Photos include police harassment from nearly every city that the Plaintiff has lived along with some of the property damages she has been forced to endure as well. As defined in the DOD Directive 5240.1-r Procedure 13; the permanent physical and psychological damages to the U.S. person targeted.



Photo of the law-abiding caring Plaintiff prior to knowledgeable assaults by government funded entities, agencies, Nano Sensors, chemical burns, remote lasers, microwave and radiation weaponry and other war weaponry devices that have permanent scarring and more that the Plaintiff is being forced to endure. This was when I thought life and my body was free-willed;



Current March 2015 injuries to Plaintiffs breast due to constant strikes by NANO sensors and other remote war weaponry devices



Pure remote torture and disfiguration of the plaintiff by chemically burning the hair follicles of her hair leaving her nearly hairless within a four year period of torture and pain to her head.



Sensor strikes and slices to the Plaintiffs arms; also the same strikes to her back and head and legs



2014 Plaintiffs foot fracture that continues to be struck remotely and remains injured, in pain and swollen current day.



Remote war weaponry strikes sustained by the Plaintiff to her left knee and leg, the scaring of the blood clot remains today March 2015 and shows how the strike went through the knee and out the back of the knee



Sensor slices to shoulders that appear on both shoulders, pure hate in scaring the Plaintiff with permanent scaring and disfigurations.



Nano Sensors and other war weaponry and other device strikes to the Plaintiff arms



Nano sensor strikes to the plaintiffs back and shoulder leaving scaring and burns along with pain to my joints, muscles and nerves surrounding my shoulder and arm.




The Plaintiff was struck in her chest as she walked on 9-24-13; this injury lead to immediate infection leaking from the Plaintiffs chest for a month and required medical attention.



Constant nano sensor and other device (war weaponry) strikes and impact, and burns to shoulders as of March 2015



Sensor strike to the Plaintiffs hand 6-11-13



UAV/UAS outside the residence windows of the plaintiffs home in the night skies 2015



April 13th, 2013, Plaintiff being severly hit with directed energy and nano sensors as her bedroom window and livingroom is surrounded by UAV/UAS and visible hovercrafts assaulting her as frequency devices pick up their direct dangerous freuencies.



May 12. 2013 1am assaults against the Plaintiff where she was awakened to extreme heat and burns to her skin, outside her bedroom roof and window was another parked UAV.



Plaintiff tracked February 2015 to a family members home, by UAV/UAS and other aircrafts nightly



One of many occurrences of Columbus Police Harassment, blocking my vehicle behind a gated apartment complex for no reason than to harass the Plaintiff. This blocking of Plaintiffs vehicle continued for over ten minutes until the Plaintiff, was able to maneuver her vehicle and drive out of the gated complex then back in to get home (2012 incident).



Youngstown Ohio Police that followed me daily from Rt 680, one vehicle pulling in front of my vehicle while the other tailgated my vehicle closely. On this day I stopped and pulled over to photograph and video their actions. This act then stopped and they then began parking outside my home at 4am in the morning and were recorded only pulling off when I would leave to work to PA by tailgating me in the dark, terrorizing harassment to stop me from going to work.





With the level and constant rotation of cars in front of my home (organized stalking, theater operations and other tactics) they placed a flag that increased traffic as they all began to turn around in front or in my driveway. All videoed daily.



Cleveland Heights police stalking outside my home. Several officers did this daily or until a police officer who was not involved in their illegal activity drove by, then they would leave. They would sit to intimidate me by driving-up and on the tail of my vehicle when I would leave by backing out of my driveway, or block my drive so that I could not exit, or flash me with lights and sirens as I was driving to pull me over then drive either stop behind me and never approach my vehicle leaving me afraid to drive off for which I could not legally do so, or they would just drive off without approaching my vehicle.



Cleveland Heights police stalking outside my home – another day.



At times police vehicles would be at both ends of the block from my home and sit for long periods, if I were to leave one would drive upon the tail of my vehicle and the other slowly in front. Pure hell to endure for nothing, not a crime committed only harassment.

Cleveland Heights police stalking outside my home – another day.



Cleveland Heights police stalking outside my home another day...




To prove that this police vehicle sat for a period of time as multiple vehicles passed.



Cleveland Height police, another day of the same harassment while subjecting the Plaintiffs home with radiating energy that burned the Plaintiffs body in a mass.



This is a cut to the great room roof, nearly two stories high. I clear and straight cut that allowed water into my great room. It took a very tall ladder to do this.



Someone placed a metal object under the Plaintiffs garage door in order to see into the garage. The Plaintiff had no window on my garage door and had not left her home for days due to illness.



Workers parked at the end of the Plaintiffs driveway and walked away from their city vehicle. There was no reason for these city vehicle being at the Plaintiffs home. No one lived in the home next door and a vacant lot was to the Plaintiffs right of her home. She phoned the City offices and as she was standing over the camera that was pointed to the front of the Plaintiffs home and recorded what was stated by the City Supervisor who stated (as recorded) 'they have no business parking and blocking your driveway, I will call them right now to have them remove their truck'. This was one of many incidents of harassment by city workers.



This was completely random. These men in the truck had been video at 2:45am parked in front of the Plaintiffs home flashing lights and creating a noise campaign, then returned later that morning with these men who were city workers with no reason to be in the driveway of the Plaintiff, again the home to the left had been vacant for nearly nine years and an empty lot was to the right of the Plaintiffs home. There was no reason for any of them to be parked at the end of Plaintiffs driveway to meet. Take note of the dark vehicle parked at the corner, this was one of my private investigators filming from another angle.



Cleveland heights workers parked for no reason in front of the Plaintiff home in a 'no parking or no stopping zone' then began watching into the Plaintiffs front windows for nearly an hour. This was a two lane 'one-way' road in front of the Plaintiffs home. This man was blocking traffic for hours just standing as though he was crazed, not moving, just standing and staring. Crazy.



After the Plaintiff was terrorized out of her home in Cleveland Heights Ohio she moved to Youngstown, this was the Plaintiffs front glass door as the Plaintiff was shot at while working in the yard in front of her residence. It took the police four hours for the Youngstown Ohio police to respond to her police call.



November 13, 2010 – Chemical trails over my Youngstown Ohio neighborhood 4:26pm



November 13, 2010 – Chemical trails from the backyard of my home 4:41pm that went on all day.

EXHIBIT – B.; Second letter to Congress and Senator Dick Durbin who was conducting Human and Civil Rights Hearings. A letter of 'Collaborated Victims of Covert War Weaponry Crimes as proof of Treason' by government funded agencies, corporations, researchers, and others as 'Criminal Enterprise' crimes.

Letter to Congress representing additional victims of covert war weaponry assaults, attacks and torture. A request for Congressional Investigation and Hearings request to Senate Appropriations Committee Chairs, the President of the United States and Senate Intelligence Committee Members amongst others.

Request for Civil Rights Commission Hearings and Investigation Consideration; Submitted by United States of America Citizens who are victims of Human and Civil Rights Violations by Government Funded Agencies, Corporations and Technologies
www.GMNKen.com (for downloading of supporting documentation)

December 4th, 2014

The United States of America
President Barack H. Obama
The White House
West Wing-2nd Floor
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington D.C. 20500
(Phone) 202-456-1414
(Fax) 202-456-7041
Email: President@Whitehouse.gov
Email: legal@barackobama.com

Senator Dick Durbin, Senate Civil Rights Commission Hearings
Chairman of the Senate Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Human Rights
711 Hart Senate Bldg.
Washington, DC 20510
info@judiciary-dem.senate.gov
(202) 224-2152 - phone
(202) 228-0400 - fax
(202)244-9516 – Hearing Fax

U.S. Senator Barbara A. Mikulski, (D-Md.), Chairwoman
Congressional Committee Members on Senate Appropriations

U.S. Senator Thomas R. Carper, Chairman
U.S Senator Tom Coburn, MD

Congressional Committee Members on Homeland Security and Government Affairs

U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer, Chairwoman

U.S. Senator John Isakson, Co-Chair

Congressional Committee Members on Ethics

U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, Chairwoman

U.S. Senator Saxby Chambliss, Co-Chair

U.S. Senate Committee on Intelligence

Phone/Fax:202-244-1700 - FAX:202-224-1772

*Several Intelligence Committee Members Emailed Direct

United States Senate

Washington, D.C. 20510

U.S. Senator Sherrod Brown, Ohio

200 North High Street Room 614

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Phone: 614-469-2083

Fax:614-4469-2171

D.C Fax: (202) 228-6321

To The President of The United States of America and Members of Congress,

As decades of Senate Appropriations Budgets, Bills and Justifications have authorized the development, testing and evaluation of 'Weapons of Mass' destructions (WMDs), in addition, and within these Appropriations, Budgets, Bills and Justifications 'blind testing' and evaluation has also been authorized to millions of contractors and agencies as well.

These 'blindly issued' and 'unaccountable authorizations' to test and evaluate weapons, chemicals, biological chemicals, and other (WMDs) 'Weapons of Mass destruction' has allowed those with their 'hands on these many triggers' to point their technologies on (knowledgeable) 'WITTING' and 'UNWITTING' CITIZENS' of this country, and abroad. Citizens are knowledgeable of their torture, and inhumane unethical and unconscionable testing of war technology being conducted without their consent against their body. Innocent citizens and their family members, millions of innocent tax paying citizens with war technologies 'knowledgeable and unknowledgeable' pointed at themselves and their family members. These are unlawful corporation and agency actions of intent to harm and kill human beings, citizens; children, women and men, for money and at the pleasure of 'Drone and Military grade Hovercrafts handlers' criminal bullies on steroids.

These actions alone are acts of HUMAN TRAFFICING of our body without consent nor controls to protect and defend ourselves from these harms. These actions are HUMAN AND

CIVIL RIGHTS ABUSES, and all equate to HUMAN TORTURE of millions to billions of citizens TODAY.

With the use of hidden directives such as 'DOD Directive 5240.1-r – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation for Intelligence Purposes' allowing the testing and evaluation of any technology on any U.S. Person and those foreigners living on U.S. soil. This 'directive not law' has been unethically and inhumanly passed from agency to agency and contractor to contractor resulting in TORTURE TESTING ON HUMAN BEINGS (defined as U.S. Persons).

With approximately 8000 drones (UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES and more in contracted military grade Hovercrafts) currently in our skies, manned by 'handlers' whose certification requires a 90 to 120 day training rotation period, that **ONLY** includes HUMAN TARGETS, 'Human targets' now being injected with NANO SENSORS of all kinds. UAV sensors payloads that are injecting chemicals into the human body, Infrared sensors that 'light' the human body, GPS sensors that track the human being for more harms and not surveillance, and more. All actions that are not only PAINFUL injections of 'TORTURE' devices (sensors) but also 'INHUMANE' and 'UNETHICAL' treatment of any human being protected by the CONSTITUTION of these UNITED STATES.

WE ALL ARE AT RISK, even those in Congress as these drone technologies are being perfected on the backs of your human tax payers. Should these inhumane torturous acts be allowed to continue to genocidal proportion? **No they should not.**

Why since 1950 have US tax dollars been used to fund tortuous mind-control experiments on our citizens that include our children, now the experimentation continues remotely by UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES also known as DRONES such as the REAPER.

Why after the 1977 and 1995 Congressional Hearings on human experiments where survivors testified as to their child torture by government employees, have taxpayers continued to fund US government agencies that torture men, woman and innocent children?

Why today are taxpayers funding through the National Institute of Health, 20 universities in a trial of restricted blood and oxygen for premature babies when hundreds of babies have already died in the experiment?

Why is the HHS (Health and Human Services), a government funded agency that governs the psychiatric facilities and the citizens who are being encouraged to report that they have a mental disorder, also used to supply citizens for targeting to the Department of Defense per their own DOD Drone Joint Targeting training documentation that targets the citizens of this country? Why?

Why today are taxpayers funding through the Health and Human Services, (also a documented source to provide victims names for 'Joint Drone Targeting' to DOD and other agencies) also has an 'open' contractor request for 'Radiation Experimentation on Women and Minorities'? Radiation experimentation (torture burns) that is also a part of the remote assaults on citizens by UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES (Drones), HOVERCRAFTS and more.

Why is the public being purposely mislead to think that the US and Canadian governments are not continuing to perform human experimentation on all citizens including our children?

THE PLAINTIFF am a TORTURED SURVIVOR of these crimes against this country and its citizens; men, woman and even our children. We are forced to endure 24 hour pain, and torture through the walls of our homes from government funded technology; **war payloads** of inhumane **painful nano sensors** installed on UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES hovering over our heads as high as 65,000 feet. This goes without saying ALL of the additional technologies designed for war such as dangerous levels of exposure by Directed Energy, Microwave Energy, Radiation exposure, Chemical Gassing, Bio-chemicals resulting in cancers, deadly Sensors that collapse our lungs or inject heart attacks in health citizen and more; all being shot at the citizens of this country and now ALL can also be injected, applied or dispersed by UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES, military grade hovercrafts and military planes.

Helpless citizens victimized by BILLION DOLLAR government funded technology designed for wars that we do not have and only designed to harm its citizens.

We are forced to endure the daily and nightly flights over her homes and communities as these UAVs and Hovercraft handlers swarm in 'packs' are seeking out citizens by their skin attached GPS nano sensors. Our entire body endure torturous attacks to our head and brains (as unethical brain experiment continues), our skin as sensors slice and sting to the bone, our muscles as our muscles are sent into severe spasms, our nervous system that is now advertised as fibromyalgia when it is the attachment of nano sensors to the nervous system; out bones and joints and even internal origins, all under continuous 'remote' attacks. Our skin has been sliced by the array of UAV and Hovercraft sensors splattered into her homes resulting in 'open flesh wounds', and IN AMERICA, and the assaults continue as military grade aircraft continue to stalk us and all other citizens by day with the mark of an X in the skies over our communities. There are no names on the spraying of Chemtrail that are now also being used to spray nano sensors over neighborhoods, not one victims name, only reckless disregard for human life.

IT IS TIME FOR SUCH HEARINGS AS THE FORMER '1975-76 CHURCH HEARINGS'

that were conducted to address the misdeeds and citizen abuses by many of our government agencies. Government agencies that included the CIA, FBI, NSA and IRS, amongst others. Well THE PLAINTIFF am here to inform you all that these same abuses exist and continue today, but now at GENOCIDAL proportion. What makes this 'period in time' different than the 70s Church Hearing is that UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES and military grade HOVERCRAFTS (hovering in our skies) are killing machines, KILLING MACHINES being perfected (tested and evaluated) on the lives of the citizens of this country. **Citizens that most agencies hire** to conduct surveillance, or as 'law enforcement contractors' or 'first responders', or police officer and sadly our MILITARY SOLDIERS and VETERANS, all are now being victimized as well as these citizens are hired to troll our freeways, roads and neighborhoods as false SAR (suspicious activity reports) or FALSE NSL (National Security Letters) are being issued on innocent citizens into the millions. Innocent citizens into the millions used by corporations, contractors and government agencies with an unknown 'price on their heads' as non-consensual victims of crimes.

It is time for Hearings to address these criminal and genocidal acts against the citizens (human beings) of these United States of America now documented and proven.

As a representative of survivors who are enduring tortuous US government experiments that involved the remote rape, human torture, murder, kidnapping and exploitation of innocent children, woman and even men we are requesting an immediate Congressional Investigation and Hearings into this ongoing travesty.

'AS A FINAL THOUGHT TO YOU ALL', Does any of you truly know who is responsible for the acts of the genocide against the citizens, and our leaders of this country? Are these 'Acts of

Genocide and Human Destruction against the 'People' of this country **Foreign or Domestic entities?**

What is clear to all VICTIMS OF TORTURE are the number of government agencies that have received SENATE APPROPRIATIONS to development, test and evaluate war technologies, there is no authorization for HUMAN TORTURE and KILLINGS. These crimes against YOUR citizens who voted you all into office are affecting every citizen of this country and any 'quiet approach' to resolution is not appropriate. The health of YOUR citizens is being damaged, citizens are being disabled, maimed and killed. Men, women and children assaulted as they 'try' to sleep, work, walk, drive vehicles, or even our children at play.

It is time for PUBLIC CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS and to stop these genocidal, deadly acts against the citizens of this country NOW.

Respectfully Requested,

Darlene R. Miles, Author and Information Systems Technology Consultant
U.S. Funded Victims of Inhumane Torture Ohio (over 200 victims networked)
P.O.Box 218335
Columbus, Ohio 43221
Phone: 216-469-4327
Email: drmiles01@aol.com

Robert L. LaBoudiere
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New Jersey
Phone: 201-525-4792
Email: theonlyjerz@juno.com

Kenneth Rhodes Jr.
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Former Military Veteran
20836 Hall Rd
Clinton Township, MI. 48038
Phone: 586-295-6062
Email: kenrhodes2008@yahoo.com

Robert Newton, Student/Researcher
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Former Military Veteran
Washington D.C. U.S. Victims of Inhumane Torture (over 100 victims networked)

U.S. Victim - Washington D.C.
P.O. Box 18467
Washington, D.C. 20036
Email: robtnew@gmail.com

Tanya Thomas, Opera Singer
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Florida (over 500 victims networked)
Email: tanyathom70@yahoo.com
Phone: 786-623-1588

Cynthia M. Elias, Retired
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Florida
Email: cmmelias2@gmail.com

Loraine Thompson
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New Jersey
Email: lponbay@aol.com

Lisa Becker
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Wisconsin
230 Old Pine Circle
Racine, WI 53402
Email: beckerlisa28@gmail.com
Phone: 414-988-5404

Ms. Eurdis Benita Gonzales
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture
Email: nina_gonzales3@yahoo.com

Elvira Williams, Disabled
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture - Florida
451 SW 27th Terr
Ft. Lauderdale, Fl. 33312
Email: elvirawilliams2@aol.com
Phone: 561-302-8872

Connie Marshall
U.S. Victim of Inhumane Torture - Kentucky
Former Mayoral Candidate
Louisville, Kentucky

Email: conniemarshall@justiceforallcitizens.com
Phone: 502-772-5245

Dr. Monica Rochelle Hawkins, DD
Former News Anchor
U.S. Victim of Inhumane Torture – Kansas City
4507 East 105th St.
Kansas City, MO 64137
Email: lovinlife2007@yahoo.com
Phone: 816-298-6676

Sheila Epstein
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Florida
2965 Ashley Dr. W.
Villa H
West Palm Beach, Florida 33415
Phone: 561-493-6511

Benjamin Miller
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New York
Rome, New York
Phone: 315-271-3254

LOLITA Taylor
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New York
New York, New York
Phone: 315-271-3254
Email: herenigma62@gmail.com

Debra Poulson, former Asset Manager for Worldwide Pharmaceutical Corp (representing 5 additional victims)
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Wisconsin
112 Eames St.
Elkhorn, Wisconsin 53121
Phone: 262-723-1107
Email: moosebite83@gmx.com

Ken Posner, Former Movie Producer
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New Jersey
729 Jamaica Blvd.

Toms River, NJ. 08757
(h)732-608-9696,
(c)908-500-1329
e-mail: kennethposner65@gmail.com

U.S. Senator Barbara A. Mikulski (D-Md.),
Chairwoman of the Senate Appropriations Committee
Phone/Contact/Fax number: 202- 224-7363/ FAX 202-224-2100

U.S. Senator Thomas Carper, Chair and U.S. Senator Tom Coburn, Ranking Member –
Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
Phone/Contact/Fax number 202-244-2627/ FAX 202-228-3792

U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer, Chairwoman of Ethics
U.S. Senator John Isakson, Co-Chair
220 Hart Building, United States Senate, Washington, DC 20510
Telephone: (202) 224-2981 Fax: (202) 224-7416 TDD: (202) 228-3752
casework@isakson.senate.gov Senator Isakson Fax: 770-661-0768

U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, Chairwoman
U.S. Senator Saxby Chambliss, Co-Chair
U.S. Senate Committee on Intelligence
Phone/Fax:202-244-1700 - FAX:202-224-1772
*Several Intelligence Committee Members Emailed Direct

U.S. Senator Sherrod Brown (D-OH) – Plaintiff informed that Casework Investigation was opened on her behalf in January 2015.

*Sent to President Barack Obama, Congressional Committee Members and Congressional Members websites, and confirmation
Sent by U.S. mail and phone fax confirmation as well.

EXHIBIT B1 – Original version of the ‘Collaborated Victims of Covert War Weaponry Crimes letter to Congress’ as proof of Treason by government funded agencies, corporations, researchers, and others as ‘Criminal Enterprise’ crimes.

Letter to Congress representing additional victims of covert war weaponry assaults, attacks and torture. A request for Congressional Investigation and Hearings request to Senate Appropriations Committee Chairs, the President of the United States, and Senate Intelligence Committee Members amongst others.

Request for Congressional Investigation by United States of America Tortured and Abuse Survivors of Unethical Non-Consensual Human Experimentation by Unmanned Ariel Vehicles and Other War Technologies

The United States of America
President Barack H. Obama
The White House
West Wing-2nd Floor
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington D.C. 20500
(Phone) 202-456-1414
(Fax) 202-456-7041
Email: President@Whitehouse.gov
Email: legal@barackobama.com

U.S. Senator Barbara A. Mikulski, (D-Md.), Chairwoman
Congressional Committee Members on Senate Appropriations

U.S. Senator Thomas R. Carper, Chairman
U.S Senator Tom Coburn, MD
Congressional Committee Members on Homeland Security and Government Affairs

U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer, Chairwoman
U.S. Senator John Isakson, Co-Chair
Congressional Committee Members on Ethics

U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, Chairwoman
U.S. Senator Saxby Chambliss, Co-Chair
U.S. Senate Committee on Intelligence
Phone/Fax:202-244-1700 - FAX:202-224-1772
*Several Intelligence Committee Members Emailed Direct

United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

U.S. Senator Sherrod Brown, Ohio
200 North High Street Room 614
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: 614-469-2083
Fax: 614-4469-2171
D.C Fax: (202) 228-6321

To The President of The United States of America and Members of Congress,

As decades of Senate Appropriations Budgets, Bills and Justifications have authorized the development, testing and evaluation of 'Weapons of Mass' destructions (WMDs), in addition, and within these Appropriations, Budgets, Bills and Justifications 'blind testing' and evaluation has also been authorized to millions of contractors and agencies as well.

These 'blindly issued' and 'unaccountable authorizations' to test and evaluate weapons, chemicals, biological chemicals, and other (WMDs) 'Weapons of Mass destruction' has allowed those with their 'hands on these many triggers' to point their technologies on 'WITTING' and 'UNWITTING' CITIZENS of this country and abroad. Innocent tax paying citizens with war technologies 'wittingly and unwittingly' pointed at the families.

With the use of hidden directives such as 'DOD Directive 5240.1-r – Procedure 13 Human Experimentation for Intelligence Purposes' allowing the testing and evaluation of any technology on any U.S. Person and those foreigners living on U.S. soil. This 'directive not law' has been unethically and inhumanly passed from agency to agency and contractor to contractor resulting in TORTURE TESTING ON HUMAN BEINGS (defined as U.S. Persons).

With approximately 8000 drones (UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES) currently in our skies, manned by 'handlers' whose certification requires a 90 to 120 day training rotation period, that includes HUMAN TARGETS, 'Human targets' now being injected with NANO SENSORS of all kinds. UAV sensors payloads that are injecting chemicals into the human body, Infrared sensors that 'light' the human body, GPS sensors that track the human being for more harms and not surveillance, and more. All actions that are not only PAINFUL injections of 'TORTURE' devices (sensors) but also 'INHUMANE' and 'UNETHICAL' treatment of any human being protected by a CONSTITUTION of these UNITED STATES.

WE ALL ARE AT RISK, even those in Congress as these drone technologies are being perfected on the backs of your human tax payers. Should these inhumane torturous acts be allowed to continue to genocidal proportion?

Why since 1950 have US tax dollars been used to fund tortuous mind-control experiments on our children, now the experimentation continues remotely by UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES also known as DRONES such as the REAPER.

Why after the 1977 and 1995 Congressional Hearings on human experiments where survivors testified as to their child torture by government employees, have taxpayers continued to fund US government agencies that torture men, woman and innocent children?

Why today are taxpayers funding through the National Institute of Health, 20 universities in a trial of restricted blood and oxygen for premature babies when hundreds of babies have already died in the experiment?

Why today are taxpayers funding through the Health and Human Services, (also a documented source to provide victims names for 'Joint Drone Targeting' to DOD and other agencies) also has an 'open' contractor request for 'Radiation Experimentation on Women and Minorities'? Radiation experimentation (torture burns) that is also a part of the remote assaults on citizens by UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES (Drones).

Why is the public being purposely mislead to think that the US and Canadian governments are not continuing to perform human experimentation on all citizens including our children?

I am a TORTURED SURVIVOR of these crimes against this country and its citizens; men, woman and even our children. We are forced to endure 24 hour pain, and torture in the walls of our homes from technology payloads of inhumane painful nano sensors installed on UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES hovering over our heads as high as 65,000 feet. This goes without saying ALL of the additional technologies designed for war such as dangerous levels of exposure by Directed Energy, Microware Energy, Radiation exposure, Chemical Gassing, Bio-chemicals, deadly Sensors and more, all being shot at the citizens of this country and now ALL can also be injected, applied or dispersed by UNMANNED ARIEL VEHICLES, military grade hovercrafts and military planes.

Helpless citizens victimized by BILLION DOLLAR government funded technology designed for wars that we do not have and only designed to harm its citizens.

We are forced to endure the daily flights over my homes as these UAVs in 'packs' are seeking out citizens by their skin attached GPS nano sensors. Our entire bodies endure torturous attacks to brains (as unethical brain experiments), our skin, muscles, nervous system, joints and even internal origins, all under 'remote' attack. Our skin has been sliced by the array of UAV sensors splattered into my homes resulting in 'open flesh wounds', and IN AMERICA.

As a representative of survivors who are enduring tortuous US and Canadian government experiments that involved the remote rape, human torture, murder, kidnapping and exploitation of innocent children, woman and even men we are requesting an immediate Congressional Investigation of this ongoing travesty.

Respectfully Requested,

Darlene R. Miles, Author and Information Systems Technology Consultant
U.S. Funded Victims of Inhumane Torture Ohio (over 200 victims networked)
P.O.Box 218335
Columbus, Ohio 43221
Phone: 216-469-4327
Email: drmiles01@aol.com

Robert L. LaBoudiere
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New Jersey
Phone: 201-525-4792
Email: theonlyjerz@juno.com

Kenneth Rhodes Jr.
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Former Military Veteran
20836 Hall Rd
Clinton Township, MI. 48038
Phone: 586-295-6062
Email: kenrhodes2008@yahoo.com

Robert Newton, Student/Researcher
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Former Military Veteran
Washington D.C. U.S. Victims of Inhumane Torture (over 100 victims networked)
U.S. Victim - Washington D.C.
P.O. Box 18467
Washington, D.C. 20036
Email: robtnew@gmail.com

Tanya Thomas, Opera Singer
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Florida (over 500 victims networked)
Email: tanyathom70@yahoo.com
Phone: 786-623-1588

Cynthia M. Elias, Retired
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Florida
Email: cmmelias2@gmail.com

Loraine Thompson
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New Jersey
Email: lponbay@aol.com

Lisa Becker
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Wisconsin
230 Old Pine Circle
Racine, WI 53402
Email: beckerlisa28@gmail.com
Phone: 414-988-5404

Ms. Eurdia Benita Gonzales
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture
Email: nina_gonzales3@yahoo.com

Elvira Williams, Disabled
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture - Florida
451 SW 27th Terr
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33312
Email: elvirawilliams2@aol.com
Phone: 561-302-8872

Connie Marshall
U.S. Victim of Inhumane Torture - Kentucky
Former Mayoral Candidate
Louisville, Kentucky
Email: conniemarshall@justiceforallcitizens.com
Phone: 502-772-5245

Dr. Monica Rochelle Hawkins, DD
Former News Anchor
U.S. Victim of Inhumane Torture – Kansas City
4507 East 105th St.
Kansas City, MO 64137
Email: lovinlife2007@yahoo.com
Phone: 816-298-6676

Sheila Epstein
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Florida
2965 Ashley Dr. W.

Villa H
West Palm Beach, Florida 33415
Phone:561-493-6511

Benjamin Miller
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New York
Rome, New York
Phone:315-271-3254

LOLITA Taylor
U.S.Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New York
New York, New York
Phone: 315-271-3254
Email: herenigma62@gmail.com

Debra Poulson, former Asset Manager for Worldwide Pharmaceutical Corp (representing 5 additional victims)
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – Wisconsin
112 Eames St.
Elkhorn, Wisconsin 53121
Phone: 262-723-1107
Email:moosebite83@gmx.com

Ken Posner, Former Movie Producer
U.S. Funded Victim of Inhumane Torture – New Jersey
729 Jamaica Blvd.
Toms River, NJ. 08757
(h)732-608-9696,
(c)908-500-1329
e-mail: kennethposner65@gmail.com

U.S. Senator Barbara A. Mikulski (D-Md.), Chairwoman of the Senate Appropriations Committee
Phone/Contact/Fax number: 202- 224-7363/ FAX 202-224-2100

U.S. Senator Thomas Carper, Chair and U.S. Senator Tom Coburn, Ranking Member – Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
Phone/Contact/Fax number 202-244-2627/ FAX 202-228-3792

U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer, Chairwoman of Ethics
U.S. Senator John Isakson, Co-Chair


220 Hart Building, United States Senate, Washington, DC 20510
Telephone: (202) 224-2981 Fax: (202) 224-7416 TDD: (202) 228-3752
casework@isakson.senate.gov Senator Isakson Fax: 770-661-0768

U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, Chairwoman
U.S. Senator Saxby Chambliss, Co-Chair
U.S. Senate Committee on Intelligence
Phone/Fax:202-244-1700 - FAX:202-224-1772
*Several Intelligence Committee Members Emailed Direct

U.S. Senator Sherrod Brown (D-OH)

*Sent to Congressional Committee websites and confirmation
Sent by U.S. mail and phone fax confirmation

EXHIBIT C.: UAV/UAS Nano Sensor types and details. GPS nano sensors to track the human body, IR Infrared Sensors to light the human body, Chemical and Biological to inject bodies and living things with chemicals and biological chemicals remotely and without consent.



UAV SENSOR TYPES AND DETAILS

Chapter 2

Threat

The enemy employs a variety of sensors to detect and identify US soldiers, equipment, and supporting installations. These sensors may be visual, near infrared (NIR), IR, ultraviolet (UV), acoustic, or multispectral/hyperspectral. They may be employed by dismounted soldiers or ground-, air-, or space-mounted platforms. Such platforms are often capable of supporting multiple sensors. Friendly troops rarely know the specific sensor systems or combination of systems that an enemy employs. When possible, friendly troops should protect against all known threat surveillance systems.

DOCTRINE

2-1. Many threat forces were trained and equipped by the former Soviet Union. Its long-standing battlefield doctrine of *maskirovka* is a living legacy in many former Soviet-client states. Maskirovka incorporates all elements of CCD and tactical battlefield deception into a cohesive and effective philosophy. During the Gulf War, Iraq used maskirovka to effectively maintain its capability of surface-to-surface missiles (Scuds) in the face of persistent coalition-force attacks. Enemy forces that are trained in maskirovka possess a strong fundamental knowledge of CCD principles and techniques. Friendly forces must be very careful to conduct CCD operations so that a well-trained enemy will not easily recognize them.

2-2. Typical threat doctrine states that each battalion will continuously maintain two observation posts when in close contact with its enemy. An additional observation post is established when the battalion is in the defense or is preparing for an offense.

2-3. Patrolling is used extensively, but particularly during offensive operations. Patrols are used to detect the location of enemy indirect- and direct-fire weapons, gaps in formations, obstacles, and bypasses.

2-4. Enemy forces use raids to capture prisoners, documents, weapons, and equipment. A recon-in-force (usually by a reinforced company or battalion) is the most likely tactic when other methods of tactical recon have failed. A recon-in-force is often a deceptive tactic designed to simulate an offensive and cause friendly forces to reveal defensive positions.

ORGANIZATION

2-5. A typical enemy force conducts recon activities at all echelons. A troop recon is usually conducted by specially trained units. The following types of enemy units might have specific intelligence-collection missions:

Troops. An enemy uses ordinary combat troops to perform recon. One company per battalion trains to conduct recon operations behind enemy lines.

Motorized rifle and tank regiments. Each regiment has a recon company and a chemical recon platoon.

Maneuver divisions. Divisions have a recon battalion, an engineer recon platoon, a chemical recon platoon, and a target-acquisition battery.

DATA COLLECTION

2-6. An enemy collects information about United States (US) forces for two basic reasons—target acquisition and intelligence production. Enemy weapons systems often have sensors that locate and identify targets at long ranges in precise detail. Soldiers and units should take actions to hinder the enemy's target-acquisition process. These actions include all

practical CCD
operations

expected to reduce the identification of soldiers, units, and facilities.

2-7. An enemy uses sensor systems to locate and identify large Army formations and headquarters (HQ) and to predict their future activities. Enemy detection of rear-area activities, such as logistics centers and communications nodes, may also reveal friendly intentions.

2-8. An enemy uses tactical recon to provide additional information on US forces' dispositions and the terrain in which they are going to operate. The enemy's tactical recon also attempts to identify targets for later attack by long-range artillery, rockets, aircraft, and ground forces.

SENSOR SYSTEMS

2-9. An enemy uses many different types of electronic surveillance equipment. Sensor systems are classified according to the part of the EM spectrum in which they operate. *Figure 2-1* shows the EM spectrum and some typical enemy sensors operating within specific regions of the spectrum. An enemy uses detection sensors that operate in the active or passive mode:

Active. Active sensors emit energy that reflects from targets and is recaptured by the emitting or other nearby sensor, indicating the presence of a target. Examples of active sensors are searchlights and radar.

Passive. Passive sensors do not emit energy; they collect energy, which may indicate the presence of a target. Examples of passive sensors are the human eye, night-vision devices (NVDs), IR imaging devices, acoustic sensors, and photographic devices.




Figure 2-1. EM spectrum

VISUAL

2-10. Visual sensors work in the parts of the EM spectrum that are visible to the human eye. Enemy soldiers' eyes are the principle sensors on a battlefield. They may be aided by binoculars, telescopic sights, and image intensifiers. Civilian populations, enemy agents, recon teams, and patrols are visual-sensor systems from the enemy's intelligence viewpoint. Three types of enemy visual sensors are—

- **Image intensifiers.** Image intensifiers are passive night-observation devices. They amplify the low-level light that is present on even the darkest nights. These devices are used for surveillance and as weapon sights on small arms and vehicles. Airborne platforms are also capable of supporting image intensifiers.
- **Low-light television (LLTV).** LLTV combines image intensification with television technology, and it is usually mounted on airborne platforms.
- **Aerial recon, remote sensing, and imagery.** Aerial photography, satellite imagery, and video imagery allow image analysts to record and study visual information. These analysts then produce target nomination lists that are, in effect, priority lists of targets in a given target scene. Since analysts often have to make subjective determinations of the identity and/or importance of a given target, the ranking of targets provides the defender with an opportunity to use CCD to impact an enemy's target-prioritization process. Video systems allow transmission of visual images to the ground while the manned aircraft, satellite, or unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) is still in flight.

NEAR INFRARED

2-11. NIR sensors operate at a wavelength immediately above the visible light wavelength of the EM spectrum (Figure 2-1). NIR energy reflects well from live vegetation but reflects better from dead vegetation and most man-made materials. NIR sensors, such as sights and periscopes, allow the human eye to detect targets based on differences in their reflection of NIR energy. NIR sensors are partially blocked by fog, mist, and smoke operations, although not as completely as visual sensors. An enemy's combat vehicles use active NIR sensors that employ searchlights, scopes, and sights; but these sensors are rapidly being replaced with image intensifiers and thermal gun sights.

INFRARED

2-12. IR sensors detect the contrasts in heat energy that targets radiate on the battlefield and display the contrasts as different colors or shades. Because longer wavelength IR radiation is more susceptible to atmospheric absorption than NIR radiation, IR sensors are less affected by typical concentrations of fog or conventional smoke.

2-13. Differences in thermal mass and surface properties (reflectivity) of man-made and natural materials result in target-to-background contrasts. These contrast levels change dramatically over a daily cycle. For example, operating vehicles and generators, heated buildings and tents, and soldiers are usually hotter than their background. Also, equipment exposed to direct sunlight appears hotter than most natural backgrounds. At night, however, equipment might appear cooler than its background if it is treated with special emissivity coatings. In other words, military equipment, particularly metallic equipment, generally heats up and cools off more quickly than its background.

2-14. Sophisticated, passive IR sensors (such as the Forward-Looking Infrared System [FLIRS]) can be mounted on aircraft. FLIRS sensors provide aircrews and enemy ground forces with real-time IR imagery that is displayed on video monitors.

2-15. Recon aircraft often employ special IR films to record temperature differences. Due to film processing, however, these systems are subject to time delays in obtaining the data. Newer versions of this sensor produce non-film-based images.

ULTRAVIOLET

2-16. The UV area is the part of the EM spectrum immediately below visible light. UV sensors are more important in snow-covered areas, because snow reflects UV energy well and most white paints and man-made objects do not reflect UV energy very well. Photographic

intelligence systems with simple UV filters highlight military targets as dark areas against snow-covered backgrounds. These backgrounds require specially designed camouflage that provides a high UV reflectance.

RADAR

2-17. Radar uses high-frequency radio waves to penetrate atmospheric impediments such as fog, mist, and smoke. Radar works by transmitting a very strong burst of radio waves and then receiving and processing the reflected waves. In general, metal objects reflect radar waves well, while radar waves are either weakly reflected by or pass through most other objects. The shape and size of a metal object determine the strength of the reflected signal. A large, metal object generally reflects more signal than a small object. Therefore, large, metal objects can be detected from greater distances. The method by which the received radio wave is processed determines the type of radar. Radar systems commonly used against ground forces on the battlefield include—

- **Moving-target indicators (MTIs).** When an EM wave hits a moving target, the wave is reflected and changes frequency. The faster the target moves, the larger the changes in frequency. The simplest and most common battlefield radar detects this frequency change. Threat forces use MTIs for target acquisition. More sophisticated developmental radar systems, such as the Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS), use airborne surveillance platforms that downlink captured data to ground-station modules in near real time. Ground-based operators are then able to manipulate the data and gain heightened situational information, which is forwarded to command-and-control (C²) nodes to enhance tactical decision-making.
- **Imaging radar.** An imaging radar's receiver and processor are so sensitive that an image of the detected target is displayed on a scope. Imaging radar, such as side-looking airborne radar (SLAR), is generally used on airborne or space-borne platforms. Imaging radar typically does not provide the same resolution as the FLIRS and is less likely to be used for terminal target acquisition.
- **Countermortar (CM) and counterbattery (CB) radar.** CM and CB radar usually transmit two beams of energy that sweep above the horizon. An artillery or mortar round or a rocket passing through the beams reflects two signals that are received and plotted to determine the origin of the round.

ACOUSTIC

2-18. The three predominant types of acoustical detection systems are—

Moving-target indicators (MTIs). When an EM wave hits a moving target, the wave is reflected and changes frequency.

The faster the target moves, the larger the changes in frequency. The simplest and most common battlefield radar detects

this frequency change. Threat forces use MTIs for target acquisition. More sophisticated developmental radar systems, such as the Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS), use airborne surveillance platforms that downlink captured

data to ground-station modules in near real time. Ground-based operators are then able to manipulate the data and gain heightened situational information, which is forwarded to command-and-control (C²) nodes to enhance tactical decision-making.

Imaging radar. An imaging radar's receiver and processor are so sensitive that an image of the detected target is displayed on a scope. Imaging radar, such as side-looking airborne radar (SLAR), is generally used on airborne or space-borne platforms. Imaging radar typically does not provide the same resolution as the FLIRS and is less likely to be used for terminal target acquisition.

Countermortar (CM) and counterbattery (CB) radar. CM and CB radar usually transmit two beams of energy that sweep above the horizon. An artillery or mortar round or a rocket passing through the beams reflects two signals that are received and plotted to determine the origin of the round.

ACOUS

TIC

2-18. The three predominant types of acoustical detection systems are—

Human ear. Every soldier, whether engaged in normal operations or at a listening post, is an acoustic sensor. However, visual confirmation is usually preferred.

Flash-sound ranging. Flash-sound ranging is used against artillery. Light travels faster than sound, so enemy sound-ranging teams can determine the distance to a gun tube by accurately measuring the time between seeing a muzzle flash and hearing the sound. If the sound is detected by two or more teams, analysts plot the ranges using automated data-processing computers. The target is located where the plots intersect.

Ground-based microphone array. Ground-based microphone-array systems allow listeners to record acoustic signatures and accurately triangulate their positions.

RADI

O

2-
19.
Thre
at
forc

es make a great effort to search for, detect, and locate the sources of US radio communications. They use various direction-finding techniques to locate opposing emitters. Once an emitter is detected, an enemy can take a number of actions, ranging from simply intercepting the transmissions to jamming or targeting the emitter for destruction. (See FM 34-1 for more information on radio sensors.)

MULTISPECTRAL AND HYPER SPECTRAL

2-20. Recent advancements in sensor acquisition and information-processing technologies have fostered the advent of multispectral and hyperspectral sensors:

Multispectral. Multispectral sensors typically scan a few broad-band channels within the EM spectrum. An example of a multispectral sensor might be one which coincidentally scans the visual and thermal IR portions of the EM spectrum. Such sensors allow an enemy to assess a cross section of EM wavelengths and acquire a target in one wavelength even though it might be effectively concealed in another.


Hyperspectral. Hyperspectral sensors collect data across a continuous portion of the EM spectrum. These sensors scan many channels across a relatively narrow bandwidth and provide detailed information about target spatial and spectral patterns. Absorption and emission bands of given substances often occur within very narrow bandwidths. They allow high-resolution, hyperspectral sensors to distinguish the properties of the substances to a finer degree than an ordinary broadband sensor.

CCD VERSUS THREAT SENSORS


2-21. Target acquisition can be accomplished by a variety of sensors that operate throughout the EM spectrum. This poses a challenge in CCD planning and employment—determining which enemy sensor(s) that CD operations should be designed to defeat. Unfortunately, no single answer is correct for all situations. Unit commanders without specific guidance from higher echelons assess their tactical situation and plan CCD operations accordingly. If intelligence data indicate that an enemy will use visual sensors for recon and target acquisition, then visual countermeasures must be employed. For IR or radar sensors, countermeasures that are effective in those spectra must be employed. If a multispectral or hyperspectral threat is anticipated, CCD operations are conducted to protect a unit in its most vulnerable EM bandwidths. Very few available camouflage materials or techniques provide complete

broadband protection.

EXHIBIT D.; (DOD ORG CHART) Department of Defense organization chart linking all divisions of the military, the Secretary of Defense, the Inspector General, Joint Chiefs over each military agency, field agencies, Defense Agencies and Combatant Commands including Special Operations amongst others



to allow non-consensual human experimentation and permanent physical and psychological damage to any citizen (U.S. Persons and Others). Our civil rights, human rights, FBI, States Attorney Generals, Homeland Security Fusion Centers, Community Oriented Policing, local police, IRS/taxes, banking, health care and more is controlled by the DOD/DOJ and its ancillary agencies.)



APPROVED BY: *Eric H. Hahn* DATE: Mar. 2, 2009

EXHIBIT F.; Examples of U.S. Patents and Senate Appropriations Budgets Approved that included testing and evaluation within, and across the United States of America.

43. U.S. Patents Perfected by Government Agencies

43a. The following are some of the U.S. patents that are and have been perfected by government agencies that are involved in these attacks on the Plaintiff, and the non-consensual human testing and evaluation of technology that has infringed upon her basic human rights, civil rights, civil liberties and her GOD given right to be left alone.

Psycho-Acoustic Projector: U.S. patent #3,566,547

Silent Subliminal Messages: U.S. patent #5,159,703

Method of Systems of Altering Consciousness: U.S. Patent #5,123,844 and #5,289,438

Dream Detection Method and System: U.S. Patent #4,735,199

Microwave and Direct Energy Weapons Patents:

A hearing system: U.S. Patent #4,877,027

A hearing device: U.S. Patent#4,858,612

Microwave Auditory Effect: U.S. Patent #5,774,088

Direct Energy Weapon: U.S. patent#20,070,040,735

Lethal and non-lethal direct energy portions: U.S. Patent#7,490,538

Pulsed Energy Projectiles:

Electromagnetic rail gun: U.S. Patent#7,526,988

Flash Bang Weapon: U.S. Patent #7,173,540

Telepathy Mind Reading Machine: U.S. Patent#5,830,064

Methods and Systems of Altering Consciousness

US Patent # 5,123,844. June 23rd, 1992

US Patent # 5,289,438. February 22nd, 1994

These systems stimulate the brain with different frequencies and wave forms to alter the subject's state of consciousness.

Electro Magnetic Field (EMF) monitoring/interference is one of the most insidious and secretive of all methods used by the agencies.

N.B. Similarly, EEG cloning feeds back the results of EMF monitoring in an attempt to induce emotional responses (e.g. fear, anger, even sleep etc.).

This could possibly work on certain members of a crowd or audience....again this could facilitate scams etc.

Dr Ross Adey concludes that all aspects of human behavior can be affected, even controlled. He used 0.75 milliwatts per square centimeter of pulsed, modulated microwave at a frequency of 450 MHz

Notably the Alaskan HAARP project (featuring the B.J.Eastland patented technology – U.S. patent #4,686,605, 11/4/87 – “Method and Apparatus for altering a region in the Earth’s atmosphere, ionosphere or magnetosphere”. AND others) also facilitates experiments in the disruption of human mental processes. It’s the largest, most versatile radio frequency radiation transmitter in the world also allowing experimentation in weather “modification”, wireless, electrical power beaming and communications “disruption”. Its systems like this which could one day see attempts made to brainwash/control entire populations. And that is just as feasible as a wholesale nuclear holocaust.

Microwave Weapons

Twenty years ago a scientist, Allan Frey, found that if a microwave carrier were to be sliced and carried audio modulation, that modulation could be heard by someone in the signals path. The thin pulses of radio carrier wave cause currents to flow through the nervous system – the result is a remote transmission; no wires or contact is needed.

“A hearing system” U.S. patent #4,877,027, 31/10/89. Wayne Brunker.

“A hearing device” U.S. patent #4,858,612, 22/8/89. Philip L.Stocklin.

Eg. The latter involves microwaves aimed at the auditory cortex. A mike turns the sounds to electrical signals which are treated so as to provide multi frequency microwaves which are applied to the brain area. Whatever sound the mike picks up (like a voice) is relayed to the target.

The first known experiment with microwaved voices was conducted by Sharp and Grove in the early 70’s. However, the Defense Intelligence Agency and ARPA (The Advanced Research Projects Agency) are principally utilizing these technologies today which included scientists working in the Energy and Defense department labs across the U.S.

Brain Wave Monitors / Analyzers

Lawrence Pinneo, a neurophysiologist and electronic engineer working for Stanford Research Institute (a military contractor) is the first “known” pioneer in this field.

In 1974 he developed a computer system which correlated brain waves on an electroencephalograph with specific commands.

In the early 1990s, Dr Edward Taub reported that words could be communicated onto a screen using the thought-activated movements of a computer cursor.

EXHIBIT G.; One example of an outrageous Senate Appropriations

Authorization for Brain Experimentation, High Power Lasers and more considering all testing and evaluation is being conducted on all U.S. Persons per DOD Directive 5240.1-r.

MOST OUTRAGEOUS SENATE APPROVAL YET

Brain Experimentation, High Powered Laser Testing and Evaluation across these United States and in many location, UNDEFINED and in one of many current Senate Appropriations Budgets

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015 AND THE FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM

TUESDAY, APRIL 8, 2014

U.S. SENATE,
SUBCOMMITTEE ON EMERGING
THREATS AND CAPABILITIES,
COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES,
Washington, DC.

THE ROLE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ENTERPRISE FOR INNOVATION AND AFFORDABILITY

The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 2:14 p.m. in room SR-222, Russell Senate Office Building, Senator Kay R. Hagan (chairman of the subcommittee) presiding.

Committee members present: Senators Hagan and Fischer.

Majority staff member present: Arun Seraphin, professional staff member.


Minority staff member present: Daniel C. Adams, minority asso

AN EXCERP FROM THIS Appropriations

'The Army and the Navy are testing together down at Eglin—we're there now and we're starting our testing—to do high power, solid-state laser testing from a ground perspective, but in an environment that is not the desert. A lot of the Army testing has been done out at Wisner at our High Energy Laser Science and Technology Facility out there. But that's not where the Army's going to be. We're going to be a lot of places. Our solid-state laser program is aligned to a program of record and we should see it show up in about the 2020, 2022 timeframe, and we've got progress along the way. The Navy's already going out on a ship and they'll tell you about—Mary Lacey will tell you about when we're going to deploy on a ship. And the Air Force is also looking at how they can use solid-state lasers.

Then there's DARPA, which always bring in another alternative way to do high energy laser, perhaps in a different construct, but as effectively and efficiently. If we can get the technology to prove out, we can insert it. So sometimes we all sound the same, but we're different.'

EXHIBIT H.; – The below symptoms highlight a fraction of the vast array of Nano technologies, Nano Sensors and Neuro-Electromagnetic (Microwave, Radiation, Chemical and other devices as well) .



Frequency Assaults perpetuated by the military agencies, corporations, researchers and 'criminal enterprises; utilizing Military Intelligence Agencies toward Remote Mind and Body Control Experiments, Behavioral Manipulation and even death of the citizen target.

EXHIBIT I: 'Letter of Intent to Sue' the IRS, State of Ohio Department of Taxation and Others regarding Tax Fraud and Targeting of the Plaintiff into Financial Distress

(Plaintiff comment as of March 2015, aware that not every citizen is aware of the targeting of innocent citizens by DOD/DOJ Agencies, the Plaintiff chose to only address the facts surround the Tax Fraud and the Targeting of the Plaintiff by the IRS that resulted in the harassment and false collections by the State of Ohio Department of Taxation to this date (March 2015) have not performed their verifications on the Plaintiffs pending funds either.)

11.12.2013 (Date and List of Names Revised as of 11.18.2013)

Mr. Gregory Beasley ID#10131078
Ohio Tax Compliance for State of Ohio
Phone: 888-297—2911
Fax: 206-888-0415

*Spoke with this gentleman in length and faxed to total of all documents on 11-18-2013. He stated he would walk the document over to the Tax Compliance Department to correct their paperwork and remove the added tax falsely reported as income. I believe he did as stated, however I just received a 'tax lien' against property I do not own from the Mahoning County Tax Commissioner Joseph W. Testa, Tax Commissioner. Adding a tax lien for \$1,750.18 to a case #11JD6009 and dated 10-13-11; who would be responsible for this false targeting? I do not own any property and did not own this property that a lien now exist in Mahoning County. When will this stop.

Attorney Jennifer Zapp
Ohio Attorney Generals Office
Bankruptcy Office
Phone: 866-452-9893
Fax: 888-871-8838

UPDATED: Forwarded all documents to her directly for resolutions.

Another Notice Reviewed:

Judge Joseph W. Testa
Tax Commissioner Mahoning County
Judge Durkin: 330-740-2168

12-2-2013 - A notification of your Satisfaction of Judgment dated 10-13-2013, for the above claim that required that I come to the Court of Commons Pleas to pay any fines and cost? A lien was placed on Choice Court as property that I did or do not own. I called the courts and they referred me to Mahoning County Judge Durkin's office; left a message **with his secretary Gloria (in detail) and left the case number and my phone number** for a return call. Explained that I needed clarification, and that I do not own any property their and am unable to drive to Mahoning County due to my injuries.

Atty. Michael H. Igoe
(Collections for State of Ohio Attorney General)

4681 Winterset
Columbus, Ohio 43220
Phone:614-453-1200
Fax:614-453-1197

(His assistant Pam Shular has refused to tell me what I owe, if anything because I will not give my drivers license number but faxed my drivers license without the license number for my protection after identity and bank fraud in 2012.) **Update from Atty. Igoe, his office was forwarding my information to the Ohio State Tax Fraud Division?**

Jason Jarvis, Special Agent
T.I.G.T.A. (Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration)
230 South Dearborn St. Ste-2064
Chicago, IL. 60604
Phone:502.582.5298
Fax:502.625.7501

(Assigned to investigate the misconduct of each IRS Agent noted also faxed the Federal Tax Transcript from 2007 to 2013 that did not reflect the increase in income posted by John Heini and Glenda Leon of Columbus Ohio that has resulted in another false increase on Ohio Tax as I have been accused of being Tea Party)

J.Russell George
Inspector General for Tax Administration
Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration
P.O. Box 589
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0589
Phone:1.800.366.4484
Fax:202.927.7018

(Assigned by J.Russell George of Washington to investigate Tea Party and other targeting and false audit assessment #66-1306-0002-C)

Stephanie Taylor, T.I.G.T.A. Special Agent assigned to gather information. Sent all tax transcripts, and contractor contract by fax to her supervisors office that proves my Contractor status.)

Marcia Macon-Bruce
Chief, Collection Enforcement
Office of the Ohio Attorney General
150 E. Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: 614-466-4510 (UPDATED)
Fax: 614-752-9070 (UPDATED)

United States of America,
(Name in Charge of IRS)
United States Attorney for the

District of Columbia
United States Attorney's Office
ATTN: Civil Process Clerk
555 4th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20530

(Will be used if a lawsuit is needed to obtain the return of my refunds illegally held due to a false audit and targeting.)

Eric H. Holder
Attorney General for the United States
Department of Justice
Room B-103
950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001
(Copies to be sent of this letter along with proof documents)

Traci Thornton, IRS Examiner ID#02-70704
Tax Compliance Officer
Department of the Treasury
5990 West Creek Road
Independence Ohio 44131
Phone No: 216-328-2875
Fax No: 216-520-7126
(IRS Agent who committed false audit of my 2007 taxes)

Ms. Jenkins – ID#0270490
IRS Tax Advocate – Cleveland Ohio
P.O. Box 99709
Cleveland, Ohio 44199
Phone No: 216-522-2141
Fax No: 216-522-2947
(Worked with me for nearly 2 years after finding no errors in my 2007 scheduled deductions and filing. Sent my documents for review to Tax Compliance Glenda Leon who then targeted me and added another false assessment and increased my income by an additional 20,000 plus thousand resulting in another increase in Ohio State taxes.)

Glenda Leon, ID. 686133
Internal Revenue Service
Department of the Treasury
Small Business/Self Employed
200 N. High Street – Room 404
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Phone No: 614-280-8690

Fax No: 614-280-8761

(Received the copy of my Contractor Contract but rejected the information then added an additional \$20,000 plus to my 2007 income without case as I am being targeted. .; also after not finding any problems with my 2007 return requested from an unethical I.T. Consulting company, was I salaried or contracted, then refused to acknowledge my copy of my I.T. contract and other proof that I was a contractor. Accused of being associated Tea Party in her office.)

John J. Heini, ID. 31-03795

Internal Revenue Service

Appeals Office

Department of the Treasury

One Cleveland Center Suite 815

1375 East Ninth Street

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Phone No: 216-623-2006

Fax No: 216-522-7910

(Approved Glenda Leon's false audit and targeting of me, then approved the false increase on income to my Federal taxes for 2007 as questioned about a non-profit that I served as volunteer director founded by a Tea Party political person, as I was not aware. The non-profit has nothing to do with politics.)

Additional NAMES ADDED TO THIS DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE:

RE: IRS Targeted Citizen as Tea Party Member and Unethical Gift Request made by an IRS Agent during an Audit and 'NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE'

To All Addressed,

My name is Darlene Miles and I have been fighting a fraudulent (targeted) IRS audit of my 2007 taxes since 2009 due to my invoicing an IRS Agent for her requested gift of books from myself and finally, after having an IRS Tax Advocate review my 2007 taxes and found that I proved the audit, this Advocate forwarded my tax information to more than five IRS Agents who all returned my documentation stating (per the Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins) they were not authorized to return all of the refunds that had been taken, nor the penalties I had been forced to pay. IRS Advocate Ms. Jenkins did not give up for nearly two years as I too spoke and wrote letters to nearly 20 IRS Agents and departments which included my filing a formal compliant with the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration in Washington D.C.

In IRS Advocates many attempts to resolve what she clearly saw was mistreatment of me and the theft of each years refund from 2009 to current year 2013 along with my monthly payments, added penalties, stress and more, she contacted an IRS Agent Glenda Leon in Columbus as a last attempt to obtain my money and stop these false actions against me. IRS Agent Glenda Leon found no errors either but decided to contact a former contractor that I worked for as an Independent Contractor to ask if I were a salaried employee. Ms. Leon, returned to me by letter that I was a salaried employee thus she agreed with the audit and increased the balance to a nearly \$6,000, where the already fraudulent balance was at \$579.00 Federal, and approximately \$179.00

with the State of Ohio as I had been making payments monthly and my refunds were being taken each year. I immediately contacted Ms. Glenda Leon and supplied copies of my contract that proved that I was a contractual consultant, forced to work from home every third week 24/7 supporting the Mittal Steel manufacturing plants as this consultant firm was fraudulently refusing and stealing the income of their consultants and refusing to pay the additional work hours, our mileage and more, as contractually agreed. I provided proof of my contract as a contractor to Ms. Leon of Columbus IRS office, and it was refused by her. She then stated that my only option was to appeal her decision. She then sent an increase of my income to the State of Ohio, by not an increase in income to the IRS (?). At this point the already false increase from my TRUE reported income for 2007 of \$59,525, increased by IRS Agent Traci Thornton (without cause) to \$73,785 on 12.24.09, was increased by an additional income to \$98,906 (on December 17th 2012 with proof of details, per Donny of the State of Ohio) plus by IRS Glenda Leon based on false information and as I was then informed by Appeals Agent John Heini about my involvement in a Tea Party organization by the name of A.H.A. for which I was an unpaid Director and volunteer. Appeals Agent John Heini stated that I did not understand Ms. Leon's letter and that the salaried employee status was not the reason for the increase, and that if I wanted to fight this additional \$5,662.00 penalty I would have two years to file a lawsuit against the IRS.

At the point that Appeal Agent Heini made his statement in December 2012 I had no idea of the importance of his question, nor that I was being targeted, nor that the non-profit Founder was also under an IRS audit of the non-profit for the year of 2012 as I had finally gotten my information in front of a possible and fair IRS Agent (I thought as did the Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins) Glenda Leon. I did not know that I was now being TARGETED AS PART OF THE TEA PARTY as well as a fraudulent audit by IRS Traci Thornton who had requested gifted books then returned them when I send a zero invoice to cover the inventory. The books were valued at \$79.00 and were returned used in and IRS envelope that still remains sealed to this day and can never be sold.

This is my official NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE if this hell in my life can not be stopped and my refunds, penalties paid, and monthly payments paid to both the Federal IRS and the increase in my State of Ohio Taxes due to this IRS Agent Fraud, are not returned to me by December 30, 2013.

Chronology:

On December 2008 I received a request from IRS Agent Traci Thornton of the Independence Ohio office as I then lived in Cleveland Heights Ohio; requesting an audit of my 2006 and 2007 tax returns. I met with her initially and with all requested information and proved my scheduled deductions for both years, 2006 and 2007. During that meeting she then requested four additional proof items (that were not on the original request for 2007) and requested to see the two books that I had self published by my publishing company, and fully at my own expense. Shortly after the December audit meeting I received the release letter that I met the 2006 audit and that an additional refund was due that I never received.

Another meeting was scheduled at the December audit meeting for February 2009, for which I was to provide the additional information for the four schedule deductions plus provided the copies of the two (different) books.

What was also interesting during the first review of my scheduled deductions and proof of those deductions, IRS Traci Thornton informed me of two deductions that my accountant had missed; one was for a case of books

donated to a community non-profit organization that valued over \$4,000, and that my accountant did not consider the use of the area in my home that I was storing nearly 1000 books, training materials for my clients and had a home office as I worked around the clock for most contractual clients. I was informed that **these items would result in a refund as well** because they were missed and valid deductions.

During this second meeting in February 2009 I met all requirements and proved all deductions with IRS Agent Traci Thornton of the Independence Ohio office, and presented the two copies of my book that I thought was to verify that they were printed and did exist, not that they were a gift. Just prior to being verbally informed that I met and proved all scheduled deductions I was asked for the two copies of my books that I had written and self published at my expense. As those books were handed to Ms. Thornton, she informed me that she would be giving one copy of one of the books to her mother as a gift. I felt like an idiot. My assumption for the book request, I thought was to prove that the books existed and were printed (per the printing companies invoice paid by me) as I had proved the cost and lost under my publishing company for the printing of the books for which my first order was printed with several errors and unsellable, at my expense and had to be written off at my expense and cost me thousands of dollars. IRS Agent Traci Thornton had also found a 'case of the reprinted books' that was donated to a non-profit community organization but the deduction was missed by my accountant, she also stated that my accountant did not account for the use of my entire family room for storage and free training to displaced workers as I used that room to conduct, and to the store the books in my home, as well as had a home office required for my work as an Independent I.T. Consultant.

At this delivery of these two books, and after hearing the intent of the request... an undesired, unethical gift to an IRS Agent, I walk away afraid to question her intent for the book she requested, yet knowing that I proved my deductions and would be receiving a letter to release me of the 2007 audit, with no cost or penalties. I waited for a month or so for the final letter releasing me for the 2007 audit as I had also been audited for 2006 and had received a letter stating that I would receive an additional refund as a result the 2006 audit but never received it.

I mailed and faxed a 'zero' invoice to Ms. Thornton for the two copies of the books that she requested. I waited another month for my 'release letter for the 2007 audit' then phoned her office but she would not accept my phone calls, nor return them as messages were left. I called for at least two months then mailed and faxed a letter to her asking for the release letter.

Nearly six months later I received the books back in an IRS envelope as photographed and attached and never opened but will be opened in court if needed. One month later, now nearly six month I received an invoice for nearly \$7000.00 without explanation other than that it was for tax year 2007 from Ms. Thornton. I immediately phoned IRS Agent Thornton for an explanation and received no return call or documentation. I contacted Ms. Thornton's supervisor to ask what did this amount cover on my scheduled deductions and that I deserved to know so that I could bring back the proof documents. I was informed by her supervisor that I would get a response but did not.

I then sent a certified letter to IRS Traci Thornton asking to Appeal her decision and still requested what did this about cover on my schedule? She did not respond and would still not take my calls.

I contacted the main IRS office for the State of Ohio to see if the information was available there and was forwarded to the Kentucky IRS office, the Kentucky IRS office forwarded me back to Cincinnati, then Cincinnati forwarded me back to Kentucky, and they forwarded me back to Cincinnati. I got nothing but would not quit.

When I got no response from Ms. Thornton to my request to an Appeal, I wrote a letter to the Cincinnati IRS office to request a redetermination of my 2007 taxes as I had no idea why I was being billed. I received a letter stating that they could not change the determination or grant my request for reconsideration. This letter supplied a phone number to call so I did and left two messages. This office responded finally a HUMAN BEING, a caring IRS Agent in Cincinnati who informed me that I needed to contact and report all of this unethical behavior to (TIGTA) Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration and to file a compliant against the IRS Agent Traci Thornton, and I did. TIGTA informed me that I was entitled to have a Tax Advocate to assist me with getting this issue resolved and too file my compliant. I then contacted via the web, the National Tax Advocate office and spoke with a Mr. Harmon ID# 0728066 this was on May 14, 2010. and I was put in contact with a Tax Advocate by the name of Ms. Jenkins of Cleveland Ohio IRS office. Mr. Harmon also stated that I should make payment arrangements until this issue was resolved on installment form 9465 and I did and began making monthly payments.

I was directed to the Tax Advocates office in Cleveland where a Ms. Jenkins was assigned to assist me as my Appeal was still unanswered that was sent by certified mail, to IRS Agent Traci Thornton though the letter was signed and received in her office.

Ms. Jenkins spent nearly two years assisting me. I feel that I have never met such a person of honesty within the Internal Revenue Service. Ms. Jenkins requested my copies of all the documents provided to IRS Agent Traci Thornton for the 2007 audit because we still did not know what the requested nearly \$7000.00 was for. Ms. Jenkins spent several weeks going over all of the documents, schedules, receipts and proof and replied that she found that I met the proof of my deductions and did not understand why IRS Thornton billed me, and billed me without explanation.

I continued to call and write as well and by the end of 2011 I finally reached an IRS Agent Mr. Malone ID#1000270540 who after I explained what had happened to me, he pointed me to the IRS website that showed me the regulations for which my deductions status and deduction did apply and that I had committed no error and should not have been paying payments, but continue paying them or someone within the IRS could cause me more harm. He also stated that HE would request my AUDIT REPORT that would finally tell me what IRS Traci Thornton had changed FRAUDULENTLY to cause me financial harm then I could forward the AUDIT REPORT to my Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins and know what we needed to re-supply again to release this audit. It took several months and he had warned me of that, to obtain the AUDIT REPORT which was received on August 6th 2011. I faxed it to Ms. Jenkins of the Cleveland office and continue making monthly payments as each years tax refunds were being taken by the IRS due to this false audit.

Tax Advocate Jenkins stated that she would forward my information to another IRS Agent within the Cleveland office and I should be cleared from the audit. A couple months later, I received a call and a letter from Ms. Jenkins that she is being FORCED to submit my tax information to another IRS Agent because the agent that reviewed the documentation of my taxes and audit also agreed with her but did not have the

authorization to release my refund that had now been taken from me, along with a penalty that had been assessed upon me that forced me to go on a payment plan now also with the State of Ohio had been notified and had now billed me as well for a \$20,000 plus (false) increase in my income due to Ms Thornton's removal of the proven scheduled deductions in retaliation of the invoice for the books that she had requested from me. Now I was forced to make payment arraignments with the State of Ohio as well.

I immediately contacted the State of Ohio and spoke with a Chad Cheesebrew who stated that this was unbelievable and to only schedule a minimum payment arrangement with the State of Ohio Taxation until this mess could be cleaned up because the more I pay, the more they would have to repay to me. So I did as he stated.

The passing of ALL of my documents, tax information, scheduled deductions, proof and personal information was passed to at least five or six IRS Agents by Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins of Cleveland Ohio. She would phone me or send me a letter, all in dismay, with disappointment, and in disbelief that I was being targeted in the matter in which I was and forced to pay money that I did not owe.

Now years of payments I could not afford, penalties mounting, every refund taken, and not one IRS Agent would release my refunds or close my audit because they each said they did not have the authority to release my money, per Ms. Jenkins. No authorization to release my money?

Ms. Jenkins would not give up. She stated, I am going to try one more IRS Agent and I feel she will close this for you.

Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins forwarded all of my information again along with the VERIFIED PROOF of those scheduled deductions in early 2012 to IRS Glenda Leon ID#686133 of the Columbus IRS office, since I now lived in Columbus. At this point I had lost my income, my home, and now disabled since November 2011. After the loss of my income, home, and now disabled I filed bankruptcy in November 2012 and had informed both the IRS Federal office and The State Attorney General Bankruptcy attorney (of the bankruptcy) who advised me not to pay any additional state of Ohio payments because the balance was now approximately \$179.00 for the state of Ohio and all penalties would be removed and if I was able to obtain a release letter from this Federal review I needed to send it to them and they would recalculate then return my money deducted, so I did as the Attorney Generals Bankruptcy Attorney stated while the bankruptcy was in progress. The bankruptcy was filed on November 1, 2012, Discharged in July 2013.

In 2012 IRS Glenda Leon received my documents from the Cleveland Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins and agreed that there was no money owed by me and that I had proved my deductions, but instead of releasing me, she decided to contacted the disgruntled contractor that I worked for as an hourly independent sub-contractor (under contract) and asked if I was a salaried employee of contractor. Columbus IRS Agent Glenda Leon stated to me that I was a salaried employee and not a contractor.

I was informed of this action conducted by Ms Leon (without cause) and sent the copies of the letters and other information sent to Hudson Global Consulting, sent to me by the Cleveland Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins who found this action to be unethical by Ms. Glenda Leon ID#686733 who's title is also Tax Compliance Officer. Why would Ms. Glenda Leon contact an unethical contractor, supplying my personal tax information and

asking if I were a salaried employee or a contractor when I had already supplied a copy of my contract as a independent contractor to the Tax Advocate and EVERYONE ELSE and had proven all scheduled deductions for tax year 2007.

I then received a letter from Tax Compliance IRS Agent Glenda Leon that she agreed with the original tax audit and penalty, then increased my income from the already fraudulent amount of \$73,785 to over \$98,000 meaning now that I had an additional income of over \$40,000 in 2007 without any proof of what this was for.....AGAIN. I received the increase from Ms. Leon by mail then immediately phoned her to provide the contract and other documentation to prove that I was not a salaried employee and she refused the information.

I stated I had proof of my contract in writing and faxed and mailed certified to her. I also stated that this contractor was lying because I was forced out of contract because I would not force other contractors on my team, to continue to work for free where the General Contractor Hudson Global Consulting was stealing ever hour worked from each Contractor for every hour worked over the 40 hour week, and would not reimburse per agreement the contractual travel mileage or expenses, and required as contractors we were required to travel to various Mittal Steel manufacturing locations to address I.T. systems problems and as agreed with me to pay my mileage which was nearly 100 miles daily.

Hudson also forced each contractor worked over 40 hours and was forcing me to work every third week, seven days a week, 24 hours a call on call which required that I work from home, on my computer, my phone for long distance, throughout every night managing and monitoring the steel manufacturing automated systems for stoppages that if I did not respond by staying awake to monitor these systems, using my own computer equipment, home office and own materials; if a steel plant anywhere within the U.S. had a stoppage in a manufacturing of steel it could cost Mittal Steel millions of dollars to their clients.

This 24/7 on-call was hell and they refused to pay per my contract, for their client Mittal Steel. This on-call required that I immediately drove home, could not leave, work holidays without pay (proof available), keep my laptop with me at all time; not even go into a grocery store because I could be called with a problem and must be near my computer at all time of day and night. This was torture. In addition, they had committed to paying the travel expenses to and from the plants and refused after I took the contract. I had never had such a client, one who did not stick to the written contract agreement, yet I stayed because there was no work in Cleveland in IT and with over 20 years of experience, it was not easy to obtain even the minimum entry level position because I was considered over qualified.

Without being paid per my contract I was refusing to continue to work for free at my own expense because Hudson would not honor their contracts with their consultants at Mittal Steel.

I provided proof of my contract to Tax Advocate Ms. Jenkins and to Compliance Officer Ms. Leon of my contractual hourly rate and more, including contractor emails, contractor reporting schedule and training material that I created for the team of contractors, as none of us were even trained for the level of work that we performed. I wrote the training documentation for the consultants as I figured out the Steel Queue reading process and was the only author. I say this because Hudson Global stated that they provided training for their consultants.

IRS Agent Glenda Leon, stated that she was not going to accept my proof of contract information even though it was in her possession and that my only option was an Appeal of her decision. She stated that I would here from an Appeals Agent in a couple of months.

I immediately contacted IRS Advocate Ms Jenkins and informed her of what had happen to me, she was upset and said that she could not believe that (she) Glenda Leon went to a contractor when you (I) had a clear contract as a contractor, so Ms Jenkins faxed to me all the letters and documents that Ms. Leon sent to Hudson Global Consultants so I would have proof for my lawsuit and suggested that I appeal. She went further to say that this was unbelievable and she found no purpose for her to contact a contractor because I had proven everything for my audit. It was now December 2012.

As I did not here from an Appeals Agent, I called Glenda Leon again and she gave me the phone number of the Appeals Agent John Heini. Mr. Heini stated to me that I did not understand what Ms. Leon was stating and asked of my involvement with a non-profit organization A.H.A. and my role with that organization. A.H.A. stands for The Association for Honest Attorneys and was founded by Joan Heffington. I was asked to be a volunteer Director (without) pay to assist the organization, and I accepted in 2011, AND this information was not on my tax information but I later found out that it was on the Founders Tax Audit who had run for office as part of the Tea Party. So the non-profit was considered a Tea party organization and I did not know that at the time that I was being targeted further.

I found question from Mr. Heini interesting because I was a volunteer Director and never received any income nor reported it on my taxes because it had no importance to my 2007 taxes. I was asked who was the founder and I gave her name. Appeals Agent Heini than stated **'that was the problem'** this Tea Party organization was audited, and that he agreed with my audit finding, and that I had two years to file a law suit if I wanted my money back.

What was of issue here was the founder of A.H.A. ran for office in her state as Tea Party long before I became involved with the organization and it had no impact on my life. I don't even understand what the foundation of the Tea Party is to this date, nor am I a Tea Party representative, yet nearly 5 years of IRS fraud, unethical behaviors and theft of every refund for each year, I was now accused of being part of a Tea Party and could not stop this HELL that I was now in.

It did not end there. IRS Glenda Leon and or Appeals Agent John Heini sent a notice to the state of Ohio in December 2012 as I was notified when I phoned to reactivate the payment plan until a case could be filed in court; I spoke with the legal bankruptcy department and a supervisor who stated that someone from Columbus just increased my 2007 audit by and additional 20,000 plus thousand and that was the most unethical thing that he had seen yet. He KINDLY instructed me on how to obtain an **IRS Federal dated Transcript that would cover all transactions from 2007 to 2013**, beyond the December 2012 illegal and unjustified increase, so I made the request and received the Federal Tax (detailed) Transcript.

The purpose of this was to prove further fraud within the Columbus IRS office and unethical actions against me. This State of Ohio Supervisor stated that, no one can officially increase your income without providing where the income came from, or why there was an increase.

The result, as stated was that the IRS Federal Department of Taxation had not increased my income again, only a document was sent to the State of Ohio Attorney General to increase my income to now a total FALSE INCREASE of over \$98,000 and the last increase was performed and approved by Glenda Leon and John Heini of Columbus IRS office.

As my bankruptcy was discharged knowing that I would now have to file a lawsuit in court to fight to receive my refunds back and stop the hell that I was in, I called the Ohio Attorney Generals office bankruptcy department and was notified that my Ohio case was now in the hands of **an Attorney Michael Igoe, yet I had not received any information from him.**

As my case was being prepared, on my own I contacted Attorney Michael Igoe this month to schedule a payment plan because in the process of fighting all this hell, I missed that I owed taxes for the Ohio taxes for year 2011 because I purposefully filed an extension that year and filed in October under stress yet hoping for an honest IRS Agent to stop all of this and it did not happen. In addition, I lost my home and had moved twice, yet kept a forwarding address to my P.O. address.

I contacted Attorney Igoe and left a message. I was contacted by his assistant who requested proof of my identity so I provided a copy of my drivers license without my license number due to bank fraud and theft of thousand of my disability income that lasted for six month last year 2012 from January to June 2012. Money that I never received back, so I refused to give my drivers license number but provided my mailing address, phone number, and copy of my drivers license as requested.

Mr. Igoe's assistant stated that she was refusing to give me the amount that I now owed that State of Ohio for to date or for 2011, though my 2012 refund of over \$2000 was taken by the IRS as well as all of the others refunds since this false audit began in 2008.

This is my **NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE** for all violations committed against me unless this issue can me resolved by December 20th, 2013 by returning each refund taken, including penalties and a release of this false audit of 2007 finally ends.

The harassment has affected my health, my income, cause me undue stress and years of hell and I request that it stop immediately. I am still requesting, until this is resolved to schedule a payment plan for the Ohio taxes and that information should not be held hostage as my life has been.

So Mr. Igoe, if there is an amount owe, I want to schedule a payment arrangement until this issue is resolve by agreement of by a lawsuit. Please.

Regretfully,

Darlene R. Miles
P.O.Box 218335

Columbus, Ohio 43221

Phone: 216-469-4327

Email: drmiles01@aol.com

EXHIBIT J.; A lawsuit filed against the U.S. National Security Agency reveals a frightening array of technologies and programs designed to monitor individuals without cause, yet for the purpose of destroying the citizens character, support system, family support, career, health and finances amongst others in order to force the citizen into isolation for unethical testing and evaluation of war weaponry and corporate greed as even our own Senate is allowed to accept donations from the same corporations that commit these crimes against innocent targeted citizens as the Plaintiff Darlene R. Miles.

http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/scalar_tech/esp_scalartech12.htm

A lawsuit filed against the U.S. National Security Agency reveals a frightening array of technologies and programs designed to monitor individual's.

John St Clair Akwei

vs

National Security Agency

Ft George G. Meade, MD, USA

(Civil Action 92-0449)

The following document comprises evidence for a lawsuit filed at the U.S. Courthouse in Washington, DC, by John St Clair Akwethe Plaintiff against the National Security Agency, Ft George G. Meade, Maryland (Civil Action 92-0449), constitutes his knowledge of the NSA's structure, national security activities proprietary technologies and covert operations to monitor individual citizens Ed.

THE NSA'S MISSION AND DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATION

Communications Intelligence (COMINT)

Blanket coverage of all electronic communications in the US and the world to ensure national security. The NSA at Ft Meade, Maryland has had the most advanced computers in the world since the early 1960s.

NSA technology is developed and implemented in secret from private corporations, academia and the general public.

Signals Intelligence (SIGINT)

The Signals Intelligence mission of the NSA has evolved into a program of decoding EMF waves in the environment for wirelessly tapping into computers and track persons with the electrical currents in their body. Signals Intelligence is based on fact that everything in the environment with an electric current in it has a magnetic flux around it which gives off EMF waves.

The NSA/DoD [Department of Defense] developed proprietary advanced digital equipment which can remotely analyze all objects whether manmade or organic, that have electrical activity.

Domestic Intelligence (DOMINT)

The NSA has records on all US citizens. The NSA gathers information on US citizen who might be of interest to any of the over 50,000 NSA agents (HUMINT). These agents are authorized by executive order to spy on anyone. The NSA has a permanent national security anti-terrorist surveillance network in place. This surveillance network is completely disguised and hidden from the public.

Tracking individuals in the US is easily and cost-effectively implemented with NSA's electronic surveillance network. This network (DOMINT) covers the entire US, involves tens of thousands of NSA personnel, and tracks millions of persons simultaneously. Cost-effective implementation of operations is assured by NSA computer technology designed to minimize operations costs. NSA personnel serve in quasi-public positions in their communities and run cover businesses and legitimate businesses that can inform the intelligence community of persons they would want to track.

NSA personnel in the community usually have cover identities such as social workers, lawyers and business owners.

Individual Citizens Occasionally Targeted for Surveillance by Independently Operating NSA Personnel

NSA personnel can control the lives of hundreds of thousands of individuals in the US by using the NSA's domestic intelligence network and cover businesses.

The operations independently run by them can sometimes go beyond the bounds of law. Long-term control and sabotage of tens of thousands of unwitting citizens by NSA operatives is likely to happen.

NSA DOMINT has the ability to assassinate US citizens covertly or run covert psychological control operations to cause subjects to be diagnosed with ill mental health.

2. NSA'S DOMESTIC ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE NETWORK

As of the early 1960s, the most advanced computers in the world were at the NSA, Ft Meade. Research breakthroughs with these computers were kept for the NSA.

At the present time the NSA has nanotechnology computers that are 15 years ahead of present computer technology. The NSA obtains blanket coverage of information in the US by using advanced computers that use artificial intelligence to screen all communications, regardless of medium, for key words that should be brought to the attention of NSA agents/cryptologists.

These computers monitor all communications at the transmitting and receiving ends.

This blanket coverage of the US is a result of the *NSA's Signals Intelligence (SIGINT)* mission. The NSA's electronic surveillance network is based on a cellular arrangement of devices that can monitor the entire EMF (electromagnetic frequency) spectrum.

This equipment was developed, implemented and kept secret in the same manner as other electronic warfare programs.

Signals Intelligence Remote Computer Tampering

The NSA keeps track of all PCs and other computers sold in the US.

This is an integral part of the Domestic Intelligence network. The NSA's EMF equipment can tune in **RF** (remote frequency) emissions from personal computer circuit boards (while filtering out emissions from monitors and power supplies).

The RF emission from PC circuit boards contains digital information in the PC. Coded RF waves from the NSA's equipment can resonate PC circuits and change data in the PCs. Thus the NSA can gain wireless modem-style entry into any computer in the country for surveillance or anti-terrorist electronic warfare.


Detecting EMF Fields in Humans for Surveillance

A subject's bioelectric field can be remotely detected, so subjects can be monitored anywhere they are.

With special **EMF** equipment NSA cryptologists can remotely read evoked potentials (from EEGs). These can be decoded into a person's brain-states and thoughts. The subject is then perfectly monitored from a distance. NSA personnel can dial up any individual in the country on the Signals Intelligence EMF scanning network and the NSA's computers will then pinpoint and track that person 24 hours a day.

The NSA can pick out and track anyone in the US.

Mind Control Information Feedback Paths: Disparity and Repression



3. NSA SIGNALS INTELLIGENCE USE OF EMF BRAIN STIMULATION

NSA Signals Intelligence uses *EMF Brain Stimulation* for *Remote Neural Monitoring (RNM)* and *Electronic Brain Link (EBL)*.

EMF Brain Stimulation has been in development since the MKULTRA program of the early 1950s, which included neurological research into radiation (non-ionizing EMF) and bioelectric research and development.

The resulting secret technology is categorized at the National Security Archives as "*Radiation Intelligence*", defined as, "information from unintentionally emanated electromagnetic waves in the environment, not including radioactivity or nuclear detonation". Signals Intelligence implemented and kept this technology secret in the same manner as other electronic warfare programs of the US Government.

The NSA monitors available information about this technology and withholds scientific research from the public. There are also international intelligence agreements to keep this technology secret.

The NSA has proprietary electronic equipment that analyze electrical activity in humans from a distance. NSA computer generated brain mapping can continuously monitor all of the electrical activity in the brain continuously. The NSA records and decode individual brain maps (of hundreds of thousands of persons) for national security purposes. EMF Brain Stimulation is also secretly used by the military for brain-to-computer link (in military fighter aircraft, for example).

For electronic surveillance purposes, electrical activity in the speech center of the brain can be translated into the subject's verbal thoughts. RNM can send encoded signals to the brain's auditory cortex, thus allowing audio communications direct to the brain (bypassing the ears). NSA operatives can use this covertly to debilitate subjects by simulating auditory hallucinations characteristic of paranoid schizophrenia.

Without any contact with the subject, Remote Neural Monitoring can map out electrical activity from the visual cortex of a subject's brain and show images from the subject's brain on a video monitor. NSA operatives see what the surveillance subject's eyes are seeing. Visual memory can also be seen. RNM can send images direct to the visual cortex, bypassing the eyes and optic nerves.


NSA operatives can use this surreptitiously to put images into a surveillance subject's brain while they are in REM sleep for brain-programming purposes.

Capabilities of NSA Operatives Using RNM

There has been a Signals Intelligence network in the US since the 1940s.

The NSA, Ft Meade has in place a vast two-way wireless RNM system which is used to track subjects and non-invasively monitor audio-visual information in their brains. This is all done with no physical contact with the subject. RNM is the ultimate method of surveillance and domestic intelligence. Speech, 3D sound and subliminal audio can be sent to the auditory cortex of the subject's brain (bypassing the ears), and images can be sent into the visual cortex. RNM can alter a subject's perceptions, moods and motor control.

Speech cortex/auditory cortex link has become the ultimate communications system for the intelligence community. RNM allows for a complete audio-visual brain-to-brain link or brain-to-computer link.



The above is a simple flowchart of Nuero-Electromagnetic Frequency Assaults showing methods that can be perpetuated by the Police and Military Intelligence Agencies toward Remote Mind Control Experiments, Behavioral Manipulation and Murder.

4. NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY SIGNALS INTELLIGENCE ELECTRONIC BRAIN LINK TECHNOLOGY

NSA SIGINT can remotely detect, identify and monitor a person's bioelectric fields.

The NSA's Signals Intelligence has the proprietary ability to monitor remotely and non-invasively information in the human brain by digitally decoding the evoked potentials in the 30-50 Hz, 5 milliwatt electromagnetic emissions from the brain.

Neuronal activity in the brain creates a shifting electrical pattern that has a shifting magnetic flux. This magnetic flux puts out a constant 30-50 Hz, 5 milliwatt electromagnetic (EMF) wave.

Contained in the electromagnetic emission from the brain are spikes and patterns called "evoked potentials". Every thought, reaction, motor command, auditory event and visual image in the brain has a corresponding "evoked potential" or set of "evoked potentials". The EMF emission from the brain can be decoded into the current thoughts, images and sounds in the subject's brain.


NSA SIGINT uses EMF-transmitted Brain Stimulation as a communications system to transmit information (as well as nervous system messages) to intelligence agents and also to transmit to the brains of covert operations subjects (on a non-perceptible level).

EMF Brain Stimulation works by sending a complexly coded and pulsed electromagnetic signal to trigger evoked potentials (events) in the brain, thereby forming sound and visual images in the brain's neural circuits. EMF Brain Stimulation can also change a person's brain-states and affect motor control.

Two-way electronic Brain Link is done by remotely monitoring neural audio-visual information while transmitting sound to the auditory cortex (bypassing the ears) and transmitting faint images to the visual cortex (bypassing the optic nerves and eyes). The images appear as floating 2D screens in the brain.

Two-way electronic Brain Link has become the ultimate communications system for CIA/NSA personnel. Remote neural monitoring (**RNM**, remotely monitoring bioelectric information in the human brain) has become the ultimate surveillance system.

It is used by a limited number of agents in the US Intelligence Community.



The above is a simple flowchart of Nuero-Electromagnetic Frequency Assaults

showing methods that can be perpetuated by the Police and Military Intelligence Agencies toward Remote Mind Control Experiments, Behavioral Manipulation and Murder.

5. [NO HEADING IN ORIGINAL DOCUMENT]

RNM requires decoding the resonance frequency of each specific brain area.

That frequency is then modulated in order to impose information in that specific brain area. The frequency to which the various brain areas respond varies from 3 Hz to 50 Hz. Only NSA Signals Intelligence modulates signals in this frequency band. (See *Table 1* below)

This modulated information can be put into the brain at varying intensities from subliminal to perceptible. Each person's brain has a unique set of bioelectric resonance/entrainment frequencies. Sending audio information to a

person's brain at the frequency of another person's auditory cortex would result in that audio information not being perceived.

The Plaintiff learned of RNM by being in two-way RNM contact with the Kinnecome group at the NSA, Ft Meade.

They used RNM 3D sound direct to the brain to harass the Plaintiff from October 1990 to May 1991.


As of 5/91 they have had two-way RNM communications with the Plaintiff and have used RNM to attempt to incapacitate the Plaintiff and hinder the Plaintiff from going to the authorities about their activities against the Plaintiff in the last 12 years. The Kinnecome group has about 100 persons working 24 hours a day at Ft Meade.

They have also brain-tapped persons the Plaintiff is in contact with to keep the Plaintiff isolated.

This is the first time ever that a private citizen has been harassed with RNM and has been able to bring a lawsuit against NSA personnel misusing this intelligence operations method.

An overview of how the weapons are deployed by the Mind Control Police

Mass Remote Mind Control by Blanket Coverage of the Population which is achieved via the Mobile Phone Network



6. NSA TECHNIQUES AND RESOURCES REMOTE MONITORING AND TRACKING OF INDIVIDUALS

Remote monitoring/tracking of individuals in any location, inside any building, continuously, anywhere in the country.

A system for inexpensive implementation of these operations allows for thousands of persons in every community to be spied on constantly by the NSA.

Remote RNM Devices

NSA's RNM equipment remotely reads the evoked potentials (EEGs) of the human brain for tracking individuals, and can send messages through the nervous systems to affect their performance. RNM can electronically identify individuals and track them anywhere in the US.

This equipment is on a network and is used for domestic intelligence operations, government security and military base security, and in case of bioelectric warfare.

Spotters and Walk-Bys in Metropolitan Areas

Tens of thousands of persons in each area working as spotters and neighborhood/business place spies (sometimes unwittingly) following and checking on subjects who have been identified for covert control by NSA personnel.

Agents working out of offices can be in constant communication with spotters who are keeping track of the NSA's thousands of subjects in public. NSA agents in remote offices can instantly identify (using~ RNM) any individual spotted in public who is in contact with surveillance subject.

Chemicals and Drugs into Residential Buildings with Hidden NSA Installed and Maintained Plastic Plumbing lines.

The NSA has kits for running lines into residential tap water and air ducts of subjects for the delivery of drugs (such as sleeping gas or brainwashing-aiding drugs).

This is an outgrowth of CIA pharmapsychology (psychopharmacology).

Brief Overview of Proprietary US Intelligence/Anti-Terrorist Equipment Mentioned

Fixed network of special EMF equipment that can read EEGs in human brains and identify/track individuals by using digital computers. **ESB** (*Electrical Stimulation to the Brain*) via EMF signal from the NSA Signals Intelligence is used to control subjects.

EMF equipment that gathers information from PC circuit boards by deciphering RF emissions, thereby gaining wireless modem-style entry into any personal computer in the country. All equipment hidden, all technology secret, all scientific research unreported (as in electronic warfare research).

Not known to the public at all, yet complete and thorough implementation of this method of domestic intelligence has been in place since the early 1980s.

An example of ELECTROMAGNETIC FREQUENCY BRAIN AND BODY Stimulation
(AS THE PLAINTIFF HAS RECORDED AS EVIDENCE UP TO 60HZ OF ENERGY BEING SHOT INTO
HER HOME)

Table 1

Brain Area	Bioelectric Resonance Frequency	Information Induced Through Modulation
------------	---------------------------------	--

Motor Control Cortex	10 Hz	Motor Impulse co-ordination
Auditory Cortex	15 Hz	Sound which bypasses the ears
Visual Cortex	25 Hz	Images in the brain bypassing the eyes
Somatosensory	9 Hz	Phantom touch sense
Thought Center	20 Hz	Imposed subconscious thoughts

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, PLAINTIFF Darlene R. Miles pray for judgments against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. Compensatory damages according to proof;
2. Medical and related expenses according to proof;
3. Damages to professional reputation, character and personality according to proof;
4. Damages for loss of personal possessions;
5. General damages according to proof;
6. Special damages according to proof.
7. Incidental damages according to proof;
8. Exemplary damages according to proof;
9. Restitution according to proof;
10. Civil penalties available under federal and state law by proof, including treble damages, under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(a).
11. Reimbursement of the costs of suit as available under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(a) and as otherwise available under state and federal law.
12. Reasonable attorney fees as available under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(a) and as otherwise available under state and federal law.
13. Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.
14. Injunctive relief against all named Defendants and unnamed conspirators 1 through 100,000 to discontinue their unlawful triggering of aforementioned war technologies, technologies and devices; to stop the torture and assaults, community spreading of propagandas and slander of the Plaintiff and to stop all non-consensual human experimentation against the Plaintiff as in all other harms being committed.

PROOF OF SERVICE
STATE OF OHIO COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

I am unemployed in the county of Franklin, State of Ohio. I am over the age of 18 and am the party Plaintiff to the within action; my mailing address is Darlene R. Miles, P.O. Box 218335, Columbus Ohio 43221.

On March XX, 2015, I served the foregoing document described as PLAINTIFF FOURTH AMENDED CIVIL "RICO" COMPLAINT on the interested parties in this action as attached:

- () BY MAIL. I deposited such envelope in the mail at Columbus Ohio. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.
- () BY PERSONAL SERVICE I delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee.
- () BY FACSIMILE I transmitted such document by facsimile at Columbus Ohio, to the facsimile telephone number maintained by each of the above persons as last indicated by that person on a document that he or she has listed as an office, business or personal facsimile number in the above-entitled cause and served on this party.
- () ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION By agreement of the parties, or by published email addresses to accept electronic transmissions services, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification address listed within their address information.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Ohio that the above is true and correct. Executed on March 18nd 2015, at Columbus Ohio.

Respectfully submitted,

Darlene R. Miles, Plaintiff and Pro Se
P.O. Box 218335
Columbus, Ohio 43221
Phone: 216-469-4327
Email: drmiles01@aol.com

By: _____ February __ 2015
Darlene R. Miles, Individually *pro se*

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff designates Columbus, Ohio as the location for the Jury trial in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

DARLENE R. MILES
P.O. Box 218335
Columbus, Ohio 43221
Phone: 216-469-4327
Email:drmiles01@AOL.COM

By: _____
Darlene R. Miles, Individually *pro se*

RESOURCES

Most of the Nano technology **publications** have only been discovered since May 2014, after the Plaintiff had already notified authorities in multiple requests for help and assistance in stopping the horrific torture, assaults and crimes against her. Notifying the President of the United States, Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett, the Senator Sherrod Brown who stated that casework would be opened; the Senate Intelligence Committee on several occasions, the NSA, the Department of Defense and Department of Justice, including the FAA and Human Rights Agencies within the United States and Worldwide Human Rights agencies as well, then the finally requesting the formal 'Request for Congressional Investigation and Hearings into the covert torture and abuse of the Plaintiff and other victims of the same covert abuses; and to other agencies named as government funded entities or government funded employees; DOJ, DOD and others to no avail.

When no action was taken against these inhumane torture actions against the Plaintiff, THE PLAINTIFF continued her researched into the Intelligence Community electronic surveillance and NANO, AND UAV/UAS technology involved and discovered the following publications.

- Department of Defense 'Joint Targeting' document for individuals and groups (Joint Publication 3-60)
- Department of Defense Directive (not law) 5240.1-r (highlighting Procedure 13 – Human Experimentation on ALL U.S. Persons and Others for Intelligence Purposes)
- Senate Appropriations Budgets, Bills and Justifications for Unmanned Ariel Vehicles with Nano Sensor Payloads for GPS tracking of citizens, Infrared Sensors to light the human body, and Chemical/Biological Sensor to inject chemicals into living beings (report 109-360 Library of Congress)
- UAV Sensor Warfare 2025 by **Dennis M. Bushnell Chief Scientist, NASA Langley Research Center**
- UAV Roadmap to 2015
- Senate Appropriations Bill for 30,000 additional kill Drone to 2025 (and more)
- Remote Sensing : ISSN 2072-4292 www.mdpi.com/journal/remotesensing
- Northrop Corporation releasing their announcement that 'they' will be testing their UAVs over the skies of the United States of America and Canada beginning June 2024.
- Nano Sensor Injection training documents produced by **[Future Strategic Issues/Future Warfare [Circa 2025]**
- ACLU DOD Drone FOIA website listing all drone handler targeting of citizens and groups of these United States and others
- 'A Top Secret America' July 2010 detailing the over 3000 government contractors and agencies developing war weaponry of all forms Post 9/11 as a 'War on Terror' with blind authorizations detailed in Senate Appropriations Budgets and Bill to test and evaluate these technologies on ALL U.S. PERSONS and others.
- 'Monitoring America' December 2010 also by the Washington Post detailing the use of Homeland Security Fusion centers to monitor, and place under surveillance millions of innocent citizens utilizing CERTS, Citizens Corps, Community Oriented Policing, and more yet inciting violence against innocent citizens falsely labeled by these groups or individuals.

- The Body Electric: Electromagnetism and the Foundation of Life, by Robert Becker, M.D. Monitoring neuroelectric information in the brain ESB. (p. 265,313,318)
- Cross currents, by Robert Becker. Simulating auditory hallucinations. Remote computer tampering using RF emissions from the logic board. (p. 70,78,105,174,210,216,220,242,299,303)
- Currents of Death, by Paul Brodeur. Driving brain electrical activity with external EM; magnetophosphenes; Delgado. (p. 27,93)
- The Zapping of America, by Paul Brodeur. DoD EM ESB research; simulating auditory hallucinations.
- Of Mice, Men and Molecules, by John H. Heller 1963 Bioelectricity; probing the brain with EM waves. (p, 110)
- The Three-Pound Universe, by Judith Hooper. CIA EEG research; EEGs for surveillance. (p.29,132,137)
- In the Palaces of Memory, by George Johnson. EM emissions from the brain; the brain as an open electromagnetic circuit.
- The Puzzle Palace, by James Bamford. Signals Intelligence; most advanced computers in the early 'sixties.
- The US Intelligence Community. Glossary terms at National Security Archives; Radiation Intelligence (information from unintentionally emanated electromagnetic energy, excluding radioactive sources).
- The Search for the "Manchurian Candidate", by John Marks. Electrical or radio stimulation to the brain; CIA R&D in bioelectrics. (p.227)
- Secret Agenda, by Jim Hougan. National security cult groups.
- Crimes of the Intelligence Community, by Morton Halperin. Surreptitious entries; intelligence agents running operations against government workers.
- War in the Age of Intelligent Machines, NSA computer supremacy, complete control of information.
- Alternate Computers, by Time-Life Books. Molecule computers.
- The Mind, by Richard Restak, M.D. EEG Systems inc.; decoding brain EM emanations, tracking thoughts on a computer. (p. 258)
- MedTech, by Lawrence Galton. Triggering events in the brain, direct to auditory cortex signals.
- Cyborg, by D.S. Halacy, Jr, 1965. Brain-to-computer link research contracts given out by the US government.
- Psychiatry and the CIA: Victims of Mind Control, by Harvey M. Weinstein M.D. Dr. Cameron; psychic driving; ultraconceptual communications.
- Journey Into Madness: The True Story of Secret CIA Mind Control and Medical Abuse, by Gordon Thomas, Intelligence R&D; Delgado; psychic driving with radio telemetry. (p. 127,276,116,168,169)
- Mind Manipulators, by Alan Schefflin and Edward M. Opton. MKULTRA brain research for information-gathering.
- The Brain Changers, by Maya Pines. Listening to brain EM emissions. (p.19)
- Modern Bioelectricity. Inducing audio in the brain with EM waves; DoD cover-up; EM wave ESB; remote EEGs
- Magnetic Stimulation in Clinical Neurophysiology, by Sudhansu Chokroverty. Magnetophosphenes; images direct to the visual cortex.
- The Mind of Man, by Nigel Calder. US intelligence brain research.
- Neuroelectric Society Conference, 1971. Audio direct to the brain with EM waves; 2-way remote EEGs.

- Brain Control, by Elliot S. Valenstein. ESB., control of individuals.
- Towards Century 21, by C.S. Wallia. Brain Stimulation for direct-to-brain communications (p21)
- Mind Wars, by Ron McRae (associate of Jack Anderson). Research into brain-to-brain electronic communications., remote neural EM detection (PP. 62 106, 136).
- Mind Tools, by Rudy Rucker. Brain tapping; communications with varying biomagnetic fields (p82).
- US News and World report, January 2nd 1984. EM wave brain stimulation; intelligence community hi-tech (p38).
- Ear Magazine. Article on extremely low frequencies radio emissions in the natural environment; radio emissions from the human body.
- City Paper, Washington DC January 17, 1992. Article FCC and NSA "complete radio spectrum" listening posts.
- Frontiers in Science, by Edward Hutchings Jr 1958 (p48).
- Beyond Bio Feedback, by Elmer and Alyce Green, 1977 (p118)
- The Body Quantum, by Fred Alan Wolf
- Cloning; A Biologist Reports, by Robert Gillmore McKinnell. Ethical review of cloning humans.
- Hoovers' FBI, by Former agent William Turner. Routines of electronic surveillance work. (p280).
- July 20th 2019, by Arthur C. Clarke LIDA; Neurophonics; Brain-computer link.
- MegaBrain, by Michael Hutchison. Brain stimulation with EM waves; CIA research and information control. (pp.107,108,117,120,123).
- The Cult of Information, by Theodore Rosnak, 1986. NSA Directive #145; personal files in computers; computer automated telephone tapping.
- The Body Shop, 1986 implantation of an electrode array on the visual cortex for video direct to the brain; other 1960's research into electronically triggering Phosphenes in the brain, thus bypassing the eyes.
- Evoked Potentials, by David Regan. Decoding neuroelectric information in the brain.

CASE REFERENCES

John St Clair Akwethe Plaintiff vs National Security Agency t George G. Meade, MD, USA
(Civil Action 92-0449)

Christine Lynn Harris

CASE NO. CV11 00803 RS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1095 Natoma Street #8

San Francisco, CA 94103

415-235-6466 cell 415-861-1041 home

Richard Cain

P.O. Box 91756

Santa Barbara, CA 93190

Case: 1402930

Superior Court of California, Santa Barbara

Defendants Addresses:

The United States of America

President Barack H. Obama

The White House

West Wing-2nd Floor

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue

Washington D.C. 20500

(Phone) 202-456-1414

(Fax) 202-456-7041

Email: President@Whitehouse.gov

Email: legal@barackobama.com

United States Department of Defense –

Ash Carter, Secretary of Defense

1400 Defense Pentagon

Washington, D.C. 20301-1400

Phone: 703-571-3343

United States Department of Justice –

Juan P. Osuna, Director

Department of Justice

Room B-103

950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20530-0001

Phone: 202-514-2000

Email: Askdoj@askdoj.gov

Central Intelligence Agency –

John O. Brennan, Director,

Office of Public Affairs

Washington, D.C. 20505

Phone: 703-482-0623

Fax: 571-204-3800

Senator Barbara A. Mikulski

Committee on Appropriations –

Room S128, The Capitol,

Washington, DC 20510 –

Telephone 202-224-7257

United States Department of Homeland Security –

The Honorable Jeh Johnson,
Secretary of Homeland Security
Washington, D.C. 20528

Department of DOD/DOJ Homeland Security
Bill Schenkelberg, Director
Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion Center, et al.,
The Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion Center
Department of Justice Affairs,
1276 West 3rd Street,
Cleveland, Ohio 44113
Phone: 216-515-8477
Fax: 216-348-4828
Email: info@neorfc.us

Department of DOD/DOJ
Ohio Homeland Security Advisor
Richard C. Baron, Executive Director
1970 West Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 432182081
Phone: 614-387-6171

Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center
1800 Elmerton Ave
Harrisburg, Pa. 17110

National Security Agency (NSA) –
Keith B. Alexander, Director,
9800 Savage Road
Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755
Phone: 301-688-6524
Fax: 301-688-6198
Email: nsapao@nsa.gov

Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al. –
Robert S. Mueller III, Director
935 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20535-0001

Health and Human Services (HHS) –
Sylvia Mathews Burwell, HHS Secretary
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Phone:1-877-696-6775

(IRS) Internal Revenue Service –
Commissioner, **Internal Revenue** — John Koskinen
United States of America,
Internal Revenue Service
1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20224

United States Attorney General
Eric H. Holder, Jr.
District of Columbia
United States Attorney's Office
ATTN: Civil Process Clerk
555 4th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20530

Lisa Iannotta, Chief Collection Enforcement for Ohio Attorney General
Andrew Littlefield, Executive Assistant
Office of the Ohio Attorney General
150 E. Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: 614-466-4510 (UPDATED)
Fax: 614-752-9070 (UPDATED)

Office of the Ohio Attorney General
Mike DeWine
30 E. Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: 614-466-4320
Case#14 JG 008246 Certificate of Judgment requested and granted on 03/06/2014

The Ohio Department of Taxation
Joseph W. Testa, Tax Commissioner
4485 Northland Ridge Blvd
Columbus, Ohio 43229
800-282-1780

City of Cleveland Heights Ohio
Jim Juliano, Law Director
40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44118
Phone: 216-291-3810

Fax: 216-291-3731
Phone: 216-291-4444

City of Youngstown Ohio
Martin Hume, Law Director
4th Floor, City Hall
26 South Phelps Street
Youngstown, Ohio 44503
Email: mhume@cityofyoungstownoh.com
Phone: 330-742-8874
Fax: 330-742-8867

City of Campbell Ohio
Law Director, Brian J. Macala
351 Tenney Avenue
Campbell, Ohio 44405
Phone: 330-755-1483

University Hospitals Information Technology Management Center –
Information Technology Center
Nathan Hunt, Director
Shaker Heights, Ohio
Phone: 261-844-2555
Phone: 216-844-8447
Phone: 1-866844-2273

Grace Vaughn Evans
3017 Seifert St
Youngstown, Ohio 44505

Named Co-Conspiritors:

Traci Thornton, IRS Examiner
Tax Compliance Officer
Department of the Treasury
5990 West Creek Road
Independence Ohio 44131
Phone No:
Fax No:

Glenda Leon, ID. 686133
Internal Revenue Service
Department of the Treasury
Small Business/Self Employed

200 N. High Street – Room 404
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone No: 614-280-8690
Fax No: 614-280-8761

John J. Heini, ID. 31-03795
Internal Revenue Service
Appeals Office
Department of the Treasury
One Cleveland Center Suite 815
1375 East Ninth Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Phone No: 216-623-2006
Fax No: 216-522-7910

Congressional Committee Members on Senate Appropriations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

U.S. Senator Thomas R. Carper, Chairman
U.S. Senator Tom Coburn, MD
Congressional Committee Members on Homeland Security and Government Affairs

U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer, Chairwoman
U.S. Senator John Isakson, Co-Chair
Congressional Committee Members on Ethics

U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, Chairwoman
U.S. Senator Saxby Chambliss, Co-Chair
U.S. Senate Committee on Intelligence
Phone/Fax: 202-244-1700 - FAX: 202-224-1772
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

U.S. Senator Sherrod Brown, Ohio
200 North High Street Room 614
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: 614-469-2083
Fax: 614-4469-2171
D.C. Fax: (202) 228-6321

(JURY DEMANDED)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

THE PLAINTIFF certify that on the 26 day of MARCH, 2015 a true and correct copy of the COMPLIANT was forwarded via U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid and properly addressed, to the following at the addresses shown below:

The United States of America - President Barack H. Obama,
United States Department of Defense - Robert O. Work, Secretary of Defense,
United States Department of Justice - Attorney General Eric H. Holder Jr.,
Central Intelligence Agency - John O. Brennan, Director,
United States Department of Homeland Security - Jeh Johnson, Secretary,
National Security Agency (NSA) - Keith B. Alexander, Director,
Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al. - Robert S. Mueller III,
Health and Human Services (HHS) - Sylvia Mathews Burwell, Director
(IRS) Internal Revenue Service - Commissioner, Internal Revenue - John Koskinen
U.S. Senator Barbara A. Mikulski, (D-Md.), Chairwoman
Congressional Committee Members on Senate Appropriations-(and unnamed co-conspirators),
Department of DOD/DOJ Homeland Security Northeast Ohio Regional Fusion Center Bill Schenkelberg,
Director; Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center - (and unnamed conspirators); Ohio Strategic Analysis
and Information Center (and unnamed co-conspirators),
(and unnamed co-conspirators),
Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine and Attorney Gen. for Tax Collections (and unnamed co-conspirators)
The Ohio Department of Taxation Collections Division (and unnamed co-conspirators)
City of Cleveland Heights Ohio - Law Director; Police, Detective Bureau and Fire Departments (and unnamed
co-conspirators),
City of Youngstown Ohio Police - (and unnamed co-conspirators),
City of Campbell Ohio Police - (and unnamed co-conspirators),
City of Columbus Ohio Police and Fire Department - (and unnamed co-conspirators),
(UH) University Hospitals - Information Technology Management Center Shaker Heights Ohio (and unnamed
co-conspirators),
Grace Vaughn Evans - (and unnamed co-conspirators),
And unnamed defendants 1 to 100,000 plus, who participated as unnamed co-conspirators

DEFENDENTS

Darlene R. Miles

Plaintiff's Name, Individually *Pro Se*

BE IT REMEMBERED that on this 26 day of March 2015, Darlene R. Miles, personally known to me to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument of writing, appeared before me personally and duly acknowledged and executed same.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, THE PLAINTIFF have hereunto set her hand and notaries seal for the County of Franklin and State of Ohio, the day and year above written.

Allison Camechis

Notary Public

Her appointment expires:

Darlene R. Miles, Plaintiff Compliant - Page 209 of 209

